# Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (Pinus densiflora) and Sumatran Pine (Pinus merkusii) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

by Dewa Made Wedagama

**Submission date:** 30-Apr-2023 10:22AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID: 2079497871** 

File name: JIDMR Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum Indonesia.pdf (639.49K)

Word count: 2687

Character count: 14752

### Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (Pinus densiflora) and Sumatran Pine (Pinus merkusii) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

Dewa Made Wedagama<sup>1</sup>, Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum<sup>2\*</sup>, Ari Subiyanto<sup>2</sup>, Fami Widya Pangestika<sup>3</sup>, Kirana Guspiari<sup>3</sup>, Setyabudi Goenharto<sup>2</sup>, Velayutham Gopikrishna<sup>4</sup>

- 1. Dept. of Conservative Dentistry. Faculty of Dental Medicine. Universitas Mahasaraswati. Indonesia.
- 2. Dept. of Conservative Dentistry. Faculty of Dental Medicine. Universitas Airlangga. Indonesia.
- 3. Undergraduate Student of Faculty of Dental Medicine. Universitas Airlangga. Indonesia.
- 4. Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education & Research, Chennai, India.

#### **Abstract**

The aim of the experiment was to find out the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of P. densiflora and P. merkusii leaf extracts. Dental and oral diseases are potentially caused by some oral pathogens. Various antimicrobial agents have been developed to prevent dental diseases because they have more minimal side effects than synthetic ones. Red pine (Pinus densiflora) and Sumatran pine (Pinus merkusii) have active compounds such as Triterpenoid, Limonene, Benzoic acid, Cinnamic acid, Tannin, Flavonoid, and Saponin that potentially develop natural antibacterial agents. P. densiflora and P. merkusii leaf extracts were tested for their antibacterial activity against Enterococcus faecalis, Streptococcus mutans, and Phorymonas gingivalis which grew in BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) Broth. The MIC and MBC values were determined by calculating the growth of bacterial colonies on NA media in CFU/ml. The data were analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey with SPSS for windows. The result showed a very significant different (P<0.05) with MIC of P. merkusii was at 0.39%, 0.39%, and 0.78%, and MBCs of 0.78%, 0.78%, and 1.56%. While P. merkusii were at the MICs of 1.56%, 1.56%, and 3.125%, and at the MBCs of 3.125%, 3.125%, and 6.25% against E. Faecalis, S. mutans, and P. gingivalis. The result indicates that P. densiflora and P. merkusii had the antibacterial activity against E. faecalis, S. mutans, and P. gingivalis.

Experimental article (J Int Dent Med Res 2021; 14(2): 559-562)

Keywords: Antimicrobial activity, Pinus densiflora, Pinus merkusii, MIC, MBC.

Received date: 22 March 2021. Accept date: 21 April 2021

#### Introduction

Dental caries and periodontitis are the most common oral diseases and major causes of tooth loss<sup>1</sup>. Dental and oral diseases can result in severe infection, and thus antibacterial agents in many forms are necessary for the prevention or treatment of the diseases. Antibacterial agents consist of synthetic and natural substances. The use of synthetic antibacterial agents has been reported to cause some side effects, including bacterial resistance and tooth discoloration. The natural antibacterial agents have been developed because they

\*Corresponding author:

Dian Agustin Wahjuningrum,
Departement of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dental
Medicine, Universitas Airlangga
Jl. Prof. Moestopo 47, Surabaya – Indonesia, 60132
E-mail: dian-agustin-w@fkg.unair.ac.id

have more effective antibacterial effects and minimal side effects<sup>2</sup>.

Medicinal plants that can produce natural antibacterial agents include *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii*. Some studies have reported active compounds in pine leaf extract have antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant effects<sup>3,4</sup>. Both types of pines have been proven to have antibacterial properties against some positive and negative gram bacteria<sup>3,5</sup>.

Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate whether or notred pine and Sumatran pine leaf extracts can inhibit and kill oral bacteria.

#### Materials and methods

This present study has been approved by Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya (No.241/HRECC.FODM/V/2019). The sample used was a stock of bacteria obtained from

patients examined by the Research Center Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga.

P. densiflora leaf extract at a 100% concentration was obtained from Seoul National University, Korea. It was then diluted at BPKI (Indonesian Institute of Research and Consultation) Surabaya. As much as 2 ml of the extract was taken and then diluted with 1 ml of aquadest and 2-3 drops of glycerin to accelerate dissolution. The extract was diluted in several concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%.

The P. merkusii leaves were collected from PTPN XII Mumbul Garden, Jember, East Java, Indonesia. The leaves were dried in 6 hours, then cut 0.5-1 cm long. One kilogram of leaves was mashed, soaked in 2 L of 70% ethanol, stirred several times, and stored in an Erlenmeyer flask in 24 hours. The leaves were soaked at a room temperature on a shaker at a speed of 120 rpm continuously for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the solution was filtered using the Whatman filter paper No. 41 to obtain brown mass. The solvent (ethanol) in the macerate was then evaporated with a rotary vacuum evaporator at a temperature of 50-60°C. Finally, thick ethanol-free liquid (100% pure extract) was obtained, and 2 ml of the extract was then diluted in several concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%6.

The phytochemical test results of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts were conducted first at BPKI Surabaya before the antibacterial activity test. The results can be seen in Table 1.

Phytochemical compounds	Pinus densiflora concentration	Pinus merkusii concentration
Triterpenoid	4. 80%	3.61%
Alpha-pinene	2.44%	1.22%
Beta-pinene	2.36%	2.38%
Limonene	3.19%	2.88%
Benzoic acid	0.21%	0.38%
Cinnamic acid	0.55%	0.41%
Tannin	1.08%	6.05%
Flavanoid	3.18%	2.48%
Saponin	2.18%	1.42%

Table 1. Phytochemical analysis results (in %).

Bacteria culture was taken using sterile osse, planted on BHI Broth, and incubated

within 24 hours at 37°C. The culture was adjusted with the Mc. Farland standard which resulted in 0.5 or equivalent to 1.5x108 CFU/ml to obtain bacteria with a specific concentration. After obtaining the same turbidity as the standard, the suspension is diluted<sup>7</sup>.

This study employed a dilution method for the antibacterial test. Twenty sterile tubes were prepared. They consisted of 8 tubes for the administration of P. densiflora leaf extract and 2 tubes for control, and 8 tubes for the administration of P. merkusii leaf extract with various concentrations, and 2 tubes for control. The 0.05 ml bacterial suspension standardized with 0.5 Mc Farland (1.5x108 CFU/ml) was planted in a tube of BHIB and P. densiflora and merkusii leaf extracts at various concentrations, i.e., 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.56%, 0.78%, 0.39%, 0.195%, and 0.0975%. The 0.05 ml bacterial suspension and BHIB without the mixture of P. densiflora and P. merkusii leaf extracts were added to K + (positive control) test tube. While the K-(negative control) test tube only contained BHI Broth without additional S. mutans, P. densiflora, and P. merkusii leaf extracts to ensure no bacterial contamination in the media. Each group consisted of 4 samples. Then, all test tubes were incubated in an anaerobic incubator at 37°C for 1 x 24 hours.

The growth of bacteria from *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* extracts could be observed by counting the number of colonies growing in 0.1 ml bacterial subculture from each test tube. Moreover, positive and negative control groups on nutrient agar were isolated using the spreader method and were incubated in an anaerobic environment at 37°C for 2 x 24 hours.

The MIC and the MBC were determined by counting the number of colonies growing on nutrient agar manually and comparing the number with that under the positive control, expressed as CFU/ml. The results showed the lowest concentrations of *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* leaf extracts could inhibit the growth of the bacteria known as MIC. While MBC was taken from the lowest concentration that results in 99.9% bacterial death compared to the positive control<sup>8</sup>. The oral pathogens test results of the MIC and MBC can be seen in table 2.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed to identify the normal distribution of data. Besides, this study also utilized the

Levene test to examine the homogeneity of the data and the One-way ANOVA to identify the significance of all treatment groups.

Bacteria	MIC	MBC
Enterococcus faecalis	1.56%	3.125%
Streptococcus mutans	1.56%	3.125%
Pheromones gingvalis	3.125%	6.25%

Table 2. MIC and MBC of Pinus merkusii (in %).

#### Results

P. densiflora leaf extract could inhibit E. faecalis and S. mutans when its MIC and MBC was at 0.39% and 0.78%, respectively. Meanwhile, the MIC and MBC of P. merkusii against the bacteria were at 1.56% and 3.125%, respectively. P. densiflora leaf extract inhibiting P. gingivalis had the MIC and MBC at 0.78% and 1.56%, respectively. The MIC and MBC of P. merkusii to inhibit the bacteria were at 3.125% and 6.25%, respectively. The One-Way ANOVA test showed a significance value of 0.000 (p<0.05), meaning there were significant differences in the number of bacterial colonies between groups.

#### Discussion

Antibacterial activity is influenced by several factors, such as the concentration of the extract, the content of antibacterial compounds, the diffusion power of the extract, and the types of bacteria that are inhibited9. The antibacterial activity test on Gram-positive (E. faecalis and S. mutans) was better than Gram-negative (P. Gingivalis) due to the nature of the bacteria's cell walls. In Gram-positive bacteria, the cell wall structure is simpler and lavered with low lipid content (1-4%), allowing bioactive materials to enter the cell and find targets to work according to its mechanism more easily<sup>10</sup>. Additionally, the phytochemical test showed that the two pines had the antibacterial activity from the contained of the Triterpenoids, Alphapinene, Beta-pinene, Limonene, As. Benzoate, As. Cinammic, Tannin, Flavonoids, Saponins.

Alpha-pinene and beta-pinene are the main terpenoids in pine trees. Terpenoids work by forming lipid monolayer in cell walls, causing damage to the porin structure. Porin damage results in decreased cell permeability and

inhibits the nutrients entry to bacteria<sup>11</sup>.

Benzoic acid in pine trees plays a role in the acid molecular diffusion. Low pH level of the mouth causes interference with cellular activities such as glycolysis and active transport. Benzoic acid also causes damage to several enzymatic components which affect the acetic acid metabolic activity, oxidative phosphorylation, and the citric acid cycle<sup>12</sup>.

In the bacterial activities, the bacteria need ATP derived from glucose. Cinnamic acid inhibits enzymes that play a role in glucose intake, resulting in decreased the amount of ATP. Decreased ATP inhibits the bacterial activities<sup>13</sup>.

Meanwhile, tannins are antibacterial in three mechanisms. First, tannins can prevent bacterial adhesion in the host, and thus no colonies and bacterial replication occur. Moreover, tannins react on cell walls, and then protein denaturation occurs. Denatured proteins cause bacterial cell walls to lyse easily and kill the bacteria. Finally, tannins can inhibit the reverse transcriptase and DNA topoisomerase that can prevent the growth bacterial cells due to strong iron-binding capacity<sup>14</sup>.

Flavonoid compounds have an antibacterial activity in three mechanisms. Initially, extracellular protein complexes formed by flavonoids on bacterial cell walls allow phenolic compounds to enter and damage the cell membranes leading to bacterial death<sup>15</sup>. Besides, flavonoids inhibit the topoisomerase activity, DNA replication process, and DNA synthesis 16,17. Eventually, flavonoids can inhibit the reduction of cytochrome C which causes reduced oxygen and disrupts bacterial metabolism and biomolecules<sup>15</sup>.

Saponins are glycoside (polar) and triterpenoid (non-polar) compounds. Saponins are classified as surfactants that can dissolve polar and non-polar compounds<sup>16</sup>. Saponins diffuse across the cell wall membranes and are bound with the plasma membranes, leading to instability of permeability in the cell walls and cytoplasmic leakage. These results cause interference with some bacterial physiological activities18. The research trials of P. densifora and P. merkusii leaf extracts showed that the higher the concentration was, the more effective the extracts reduced the number of oral colonies. Observed from mechanism of the active compounds, both Pinus densiflora and Pinus merkusii are quite effective both in inhibiting and killing oral pathogens. As a result, further examinations are required to develop natural antibacterial agents from alternative materials in pine trees. The findings of study can also be references for PERHUTANI Indonesia to explore the potentials of Pinus merkusii optimally.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, *P. densiflora* and *P. merkusii* have an antibacterial activity against *E. faecalis*, *S. mutans*, and *P. gingivalis*.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thanked the Indonesian Ministry of Research and Technology for supporting the research and its publication.

#### **Declaration of Interest**

The authors report no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Frencken JE, Sharma P, Stenhouse L, Green D, Laverty D, Dietrich T. Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis – a comprehensive review. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 2017; 44(S18): S94-S105. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12677.
- Isnarianti R, Wahyudi IA, Puspita RM. Muntingia calabura L Leaves Extract Inhibits Glucosyltransferase Activity of Streptococcus mutans. Journal of Dentistry Indonesia 2013; 20(3);59-63. DOI: 10.14693/jdi.v20i3.195
- Kim H, Lee B, Yun KW. Comparison of chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oils from three Pinus species. Industrial Crops and Products 2013; 44: 323-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.10.026.
- Lee DG, Lee SJ, Rodriguez JP, Kim IH, Chang T, Lee S. Antifungal activity of pinosylvin from *Pinus densiflora* on turfgrass fungal diseases. Journal of Applied Biological Chemistry 2017; 60(3): 213-8. doi:JABC-60-213. [PubMed:SC000027568].
- Patra JK, Kim SH, Hwang H, Choi JW, Baek K-H. Volatile Compounds and Antioxidant Capacity of the Bio-Oil Obtained by Pyrolysis of Japanese Red Pine (*Pinus Densiflora* Siebold and Zucc.). Molecules 2015; 20(3): 3986-4006. Doi:10.3390/molecules20033986.
- Azwanida N. A review on the extraction methods use in medicinal plants, principle, strength, and limitation. Med Aromat Plants 2015; 4(3): 1-6 196. doi:10.4172/2167-0412.1000196
- Delost MD. Introduction to diagnostic microbiology for the laboratory sciences. United States of America: Jones & Bartlett Publishers; 2014: 51-57; 83-119
- Balouiri M, Sadiki M, Ibnsouda SK. Methods for in vitro evaluating antimicrobial activity: A review. Journal of pharmaceutical analysis 2016; 6(2): 71-9.

- Carroll KC, Butel J, Morse S. Jawetz Melnick and Adelbergs Medical Microbiology 27 E. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education; 2015: 371-379; <a href="http://microbiology.sbmu.ac.ir/uploads/jawetz">http://microbiology.sbmu.ac.ir/uploads/jawetz</a> 2015 medical miceobiology.pdf
- Silhavy TJ, Kahne D, Walker S. The bacterial cell envelope. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 2010; 2(5): a000414:1-6.
- Lopez-Romero JC, González-Ríos H, Borges A, Simões M. Antibacterial effects and mode of action of selected essential oils components against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2015; 2015: 1-10; <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/795435">https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/795435</a>
- Ding Q. The Antimicrobial Effect of Benzoic Acid or Propyl Paraben Treatment combined with UV-A Light on Escherichia Coli O157: H7; Faculty of the Graduate School: University of Maryland; 2017: 9-17; 31-37; http://hdl.handle.net/1903/19521.
- Rastogi N, Domadia P, Shetty S, Dasgupta D. Screening of natural phenolic compounds for potential to inhibit bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. Indian J Exp Biol 2008; 46(11): 783-
- Dubey S. Comparative antimicrobial efficacy of herbal alternatives (Emblica officinalis, Psidium guajava), MTAD, and 2.5% sodium hypochlorite against Enterococcus faecalis: An in vitro study. Journal of oral biology and craniofacial research 2016; 6(1): 46-9.
- Cushnie TT, Lamb AJ. Recent advances in understanding the antibacterial properties of flavonoids. International journal of antimicrobial agents 2011; 38(2): 99-107.
- Faizal A, Geelen D. Saponins and their role in biological processes in plants. Phytochemistry Reviews 2013; 12(4): 877-93
- Xie Y, Yang W, Tang F, Chen X, Ren L. Antibacterial activities of flavonoids: structure-activity relationship and mechanism. Current Medicinal Chemistry 2015; 22(1): 132-49.
- Netala VR, Ghosh SB, Bobbu P, Anitha D, Tartte V. Triterpenoid saponins: A review on biosynthesis, applications, and mechanism of their action. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2015; 7(1): 24-8.

## Antibacterial Activity of Red Pine (Pinus densiflora) and Sumatran Pine (Pinus merkusii) Leaf Extracts against Oral Pathogens

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

%
SIMILARITY INDEX

0%
INTERNET SOURCES

1%
PUBLICATIONS

0% STUDENT PAPERS

**PRIMARY SOURCES** 

1

Jo E. Frencken, Praveen Sharma, Laura Stenhouse, David Green, Dominic Laverty, Thomas Dietrich. "Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis - a comprehensive review", Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2017

%

Publication

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 1%

Exclude bibliography