hasil no 6 11097-Article Text-1053650-1-10-20220531 (1) (1).docx

by Info Cek plagiasi 088806944054

Submission date: 05-May-2024 01:56PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2360415930

File name: hasil_no_6_11097-Article_Text-1053650-1-10-20220531_1_1_.docx (731.38K)

Word count: 4423

Character count: 24778

ISSN: 1412-033X E-ISSN: 2085-4722 DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d230618

High impact of *Clerodendrum paniculatum* leaf extract to suppress zucchini yellow mosaic virus infection in zucchini plants

NI PUTU PANDAWANI^{1, v}, LISTIHANI LISTIHANI¹, I KETUT WIDNYANA¹, PUTU EKA PASMIDI ARIATI¹, DEWA GEDE WIRYANGGA SELANGGA²

Program of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture and Business, Universitas Mahasaraswati. Jl. Kamboja No.11A, Dangin Puri Kangin, Denpasar 80233, Bali, Indonesia. Tel./fax.: +62-361-227019, *email: pandawaniputu@unmas.ac.id

Program of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Warmadewa. Jl. Terompong No. 24, Sumerta Kelod, Denpasar 80239, Bali, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 2 May 2022. Revision accepted: 23 May 2022.

Abstract. Pandawani NP, Listihani L, Widnyana IK, Ariati PEP, Selangga DGW. 2022. High impact of Clerodendrum paniculatum leaf extract to suppress zucchini yellow mosaic virus infection in zucchini plants. Biodiversitas 23: 2914-2919. Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV) is an important virus in zucchini plants (Cucurbita pepo L.). ZYMV infection is prevalent in C. pepo in Bali and is extremely difficult to eradicate. This study aimed to determine the efficacy of pagoda leaf extract (Clerodendrum paniculatum L.) in reducing yieldloss in C. pepo. A factorial randomized block design with two variables was employed in this study. The application time of the C. paniculatum extract was the first variable, and concentration was the second. Plant extract was applied during seed immersion, one day before and after inoculation, and on the same day of virus inoculation. The concentrations of C. paniculatum extract employed were 10%, 30%, and 50%. The crude extract was sprayed onto the C. pepo leaves. Plants treated with extract one day after virus inoculation at 10%, 30%, and 50% concentrations exhibited yellowing, yellowing with cupping, mosaic, mosaic with cupping, and stunting. While application of extract one day before virus inoculation at 30% and 50% concentrations resulted in yellowing and yellowing with cuppingsigns. Plants infected with ZYMV first showed flowering at 37 DAP, whereas plants treated with C. paniculatum leaf extract one day before virus inoculation on C. pepo effectively suppressed 40% yield loss. The findings of present investigation revealed information about the potential of C. paniculatum leaf extract as a plant virus control agent through the mechanism of induction in C. pepo.

Keywords: Flowering, pagoda leaf extract, yield loss, zucchini, Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV)

INTRODUCTION

ZYMV is one of the most critical viruses causing mosaic disease in Cucurbitaceae plants worldwide, which could cause yield reduction (Simmons et al. 2011; Simmons et al. 2013). The incidence of viral disease due to ZYMV infection in cucumber plants could reach 100%, with varying attack intensities (Tymchyshyn et al. 2017). The loss due to viral infection depends on the time of infection and can result in yield losses of up to 100% (Coutts et al. 2011). Plants become very susceptible to viral infection at young age, affecting the high incidence of disease. When young plants become infected, the incubation period of virus is shorter, and the virus distribution and translocation process is accelerated (Coutts et al. 2013). Host range is one way of determining the biological nature of a virus (Spadotti et al. 2015). The most frequently employed host range test plants for ZYMV are Gomphrena globosa L., Chenopodium amaranticolor Coste et Reyn, C. quinoa L., Citrullus lanatus, Cucumis melo L., Cucumis sativus L., Luffa acutangula, Momordica charantia, Sechium edule, Phaseolus vulgaris L., Vigna sinensis, Capsicum annuum, Datura stramonium, Lycopersicon esculentum, Nicotiana tabacum L. cv. White barley, N. benthamiana, and Physalis floridana (Jaroszewska et al. 2013; Massumi et al. 2013; Spadotti et al. 2015).

Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV) has a onemolecule positive senseRNA genome of approximately 10 kb and encodes a poly-protein that is proteolytically processed into mature protein; P1 (protease), HC (helper component/protease), P3, 6K1, CI (cylinder inclusion), 6K2, NIa (nuclear inclusion a), VPg (viral proteinassociated genome), NIb (nuclear inclusion b) and CP (Gal-On 2007; Moradi et al. 2019). Until now, four out of ten potyviral proteins have been identified in ZYMV- infected plants, namely P1, HC-Pro, cylindrical inclusions, cylindrical inclusions (CI) pinwheel type 1, and CP (Valli et al. 2017; Maghamnia et al. 2018). Other proteins (Third protein (P3), 6K1, 6K2, viral genome-linked protein (VPg), Nuclear Inclusion A (NIa), and NIb replicas) have not been identified in ZYMV-infected plants and are based on potyvirus sequence homology.

ZYMV was first reported in Italy in 1973 (Lisa et al. 1981) and then France (Lecoq et al. 1981). ZYMV distribution has been found in Africa, America, Asia, Europe, the Middle East, and Oceania (Spadotti et al. 2015; Bubici et al. 2020). In Egypt, ZYMV has been associated with significant yield losses in many cucurbit crops, including cucumbers, melons, pumpkins, marrow vegetables, watermelons, and especially zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L.) (Aleem et al. 2021). ZYMV infection result in symptoms, such as mosaicism, yellowing, and eventually "shoeing" on the leaves. The fruit is stunted,

twisted, and distorted, resulting in reduced yield and inability to market the product, especially chayote zucchini (Massumi et al. 2011). ZYMV is commonly transferred in two ways: horizontally via aphid vectors and vertically by transmission from the first generation of ZYMV-infected seeds to the following generation of ZYMV-infected seeds (Simmons et al. 2011; Wang and Li 2017). Several species of aphids are ZYMV vectors that transmit viruses nonpersistently, such as A. gossypii, Myzus persicae (Maina et al. 2017; Romay et al. 2014), Acyrthosiphon pisum, Acyrthosiphon kondoi, Aphis craccivora, Aphis citricola, Aphis middletonii, Aphis spiraecola, Macrosiphum euphorbiae, Toxoptera aurantii, and Uroleucon ambrosiae (Spadotti et al. 2015). ZYMV can also be transmitted mechanically easily through cutting tools that have been contaminated with the virus (Nasr-Eldin et al. 2016; Prendeville et al. 2012).

Viral diseases can be controlled by eradicating insect vectors, quarantining, and planting healthy and virus-free seeds. Additionally, the usage of antiviral compounds derived from plant extracts has been proven to be effective against a variety of viruses due to their ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) (Gal-On 2007). One of the agents capable of inducing systemic resistance in plants is a plant extract (Elbeshehy 2017). The effective control of viral diseases is the use of resistant varieties, but there are not many commercial virus-resistant cultivars available.

Systemic resistance of a plant can be activated by inducing resistance genes present in plants by utilizing resistance-inducing agents (Elbeshehy 2017). One of the agents that induce systemic resistance of plants is plant extracts (Gal-On 2007). Spinach leaf extract (Amaranthus spinosus), four o'clock flower leaf (Mirabilis jalapa), and pagoda leaf (Clerodendrum paniculatum L.) were reported to induce systemic resistance to anthracnose and CMV pathogens in chili (Hersanti 2003). Other plant extract such as Chenopodium amaranticolor is reported to have antiviral activity for the tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and antitumor for Ehrlich tumor (EA) (De Oliveira et al. 1993). Extracts of C. paniculatum leaf, thorn spinach, four o'clock flower, C. amaranticolor, and sambiloto are able to suppress BCMV infection in yardlong bean plants (Kurnianingsih and Damayanti 2012).

The utilization of efficacious plant extracts have been used to benefit human health, but are still of little use in plant protection. Therefore, it is necessary to explore potential plant species to control pathogens, including viruses. The objective of this study was to test the effectiveness of plant extract to suppress ZYMV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of zucchini plant (Cucurbita pepo L.)

The seeds of the Carisa cultivar C. pepo were sown on plastic composite trays containing sterile growth media of a mixture of soil and compost. After 15 days, the grown seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots measuring 35 cm \times 30 cm containing 5 kg of sterile planting medium, i.e. soil and manure (2:1 ratio). Each pot was planted with

three seeds, and after one week of growth, one healthy plant was selected for the research object. Plants were irrigated daily with appropriate volume.

Propagation of ZYMV inoculum

The ZYMV inoculum was obtained from the Laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Mahasaraswati University in Denpasar, then confirmed its nucleic acid sequence via DNA sequencing and designated it as ZYMV-zucchini isolate Bali (Pandawani and Widnyana 2021) (Figure 1). The inoculum was propagated mechanically by inoculating ZYMV inoculum on *C. pepo* two weeks after planting. Mechanical transmission of ZYMV was carried out by grinding sick leaves (source of inoculum) in phosphate buffer pH 7 with a ratio of 1:10 (w/v). The sap was then applied to the leaves of healthy plants which were treated with 600 mesh carborundum. After inoculation, leaves were rinsed with running water. The plants were then reared in a greenhouse, and symptomatic young leaves were used as a source of inoculum in this study.

Preparation and application of *Clerodendrum* paniculatum extract

The leaves of *C. paniculatum* was obtained from the area around Baturiti, Tabanan, Bali, Indonesia. *C. paniculatum* extract was prepared through a drying process to obtain a simplicia form. The simplicia was then macerated to produce a concentrated leaf extract with a concentration of 100% (Verma et al. 1998). Application of *C. paniculatum* extract to *C. pepo* was carried out according to the application time determined with a volume of 100 mL per plant. The *C. paniculatum* extract was applied on *C. pepo* leaves after three weeks of transplanting.

Inoculation of ZYMV in zucchini plants (*Cucurbita pepo* L.)

Three-week-old *C. pepo* were mechanically inoculated with ZYMV. Virus inoculum was prepared by grinding symptomatic young leaves of *C. pepo* plant (source of ZYMV inoculum) in 1:10 (w/v) phosphate buffer. The virus was inoculated by applying the inoculum on the first leaf of *C. pepo* plant, which was sprinkled with 600 mesh carborundum.

Experiment design and data analysis

This study was conducted in a completely randomized design with a factorial pattern. The first factor was the time of application of the inducer extract, which consisted of five treatments, namely: (i) application of *C. paniculatum* extract during seed soaking (T1), (ii) application time of *C. paniculatum* extract one day before inoculation of ZYMV sap (T2), (iii) application of *C. paniculatum* extract on the same day as ZYMV applied (T3), (iv) application of *C. paniculatum* extract one day after ZYMV (T4), and no application of *C. paniculatum* extract (T0) (control).



Figure 1. Inoculum source of ZYMV isolates Bali, Indonesia on zucchini plants



Figure 2. Disease symptoms of ZYMV isolates Bali, Indonesia on zucchini plants: A. mosaic, B. yellowing with cupping, C. mosaic with cupping, and D. Yellowing

The second factor was the concentration of inducer extract, which consisted three treatments, namely: (i) 10% of C. paniculatum extract (C1), (ii) 30% of C. paniculatum extract (C2), and (iii) 50% of C. paniculatum extract (C3). The treatments were combined to obtain (5×3) 15 combination treatments, and each combination treatment was repeated ten times to obtain 45 experimental units. Observation parameters included disease incidence, type of disease symptoms, disease severity, virus concentration, and loss of fruit yield. Data were analyzed using ANOVA according to a completely randomized design with a factorial pattern $(5\times3\times10)$ with Duncan's follow-up test (DMRT) at 5% level.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)

The detection of ZYMV virus was performed on the leaves of *C. pepo* plant four weeks after inoculation. Serological virus detection was carried out by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA) using Potyvirus antiserum (DSMZ). Virus accumulation was quantitatively read using ELISA Reader model 550 (Bio-Rad, USA) at a wavelength of 405 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incubation period

The incubation period of ZYMV in plants treated with *C. paniculatum* leaf extract was the most prolonged average incubation period (18.27 DAP) compared to the treatment without *C. paniculatum* leaf extract (C+) (3.60 DAP). Both factors (inoculation time and concentration of *C. paniculatum* leaf extract) exhibited a significantly longer incubation period when compared with the control treatment. The application of 50% *C. paniculatum* leaf extract one day before virus inoculation significantly slowed the incubation period of virus (21.00 DAP) compared with other treatments (Table 1). Kurnianingsih and Damayanti (2012) found that *C. paniculatum* leaf extract could slow the incubation period of BCMV in yardlong beans.

Symptoms of infection

ZYMV inoculation produced various symptoms, such as yellowing, yellowing with cupping, mosaic, mosaic with cupping, and stunting (Figure 2 and Table 1). Plants treated with leaf extract one day after virus inoculation at concentrations of 10%, 30%, and 50% showed symptoms of yellowing, yellowing with cupping, mosaic, mosaic with cupping, and stunting. The application of extract one day before inoculation of viral sap at concentrations of 30% and 50% only produced yellowing and yellowing with cupping symptoms.

Disease incidence

Plants treated with *C. paniculatum* leaf extract one day before sap virus inoculation showed the lowest (20%) these incidence until the end of observation. The other treatments resulted in 40% - 60% disease prevalence. In control plants where no *C. paniculatum* leaf extract was applied, disease incidence reached 100% (Table 4).

Disease severity

Clerodendrum paniculatum extract treatment significantly reduced the severity of disease. Among the treatments, the highest disease severity was recorded in extract application treatment one day after inoculation of viral smear. In comparison, the lowest was observed in the application of extract one day before the inoculation of virus (Table 2). The best time for application of C. paniculatum leaf extract was one day before ZYMV inoculation with a concentration of 50% because it could reduce disease severity up to 60.79% (Table 4). It was observed that C. paniculatum leaf extract suppressed the

disease incidence and severity of ZYMV infection. This is in accordance with Damayanti and Pebriyeni (2015), Kurnianingsih and Damayanti (2012), who reported that *C. paniculatum* leaf extract can suppress BCMV infection reaching 100% in yardlong beans.

Virus detection with ELISA

ELISA absorbance value (NAE) showed that no virus was detected in the samples given *C. paniculatum* leaf

extract at a concentration of 30% and 50% one day before virus inoculation. NAE was not significantly different from the negative control (Table 4). Based on ELISA test, *C. pepo* showed presence (positive) of ZYMV in all treatments, except one day before virus sap inoculation with a concentration of 30 and 50%, *C. pepo* showed absence (negative) of ZYMV.

Table 1. Incubation period in ZYMV-infected zucchini plants in response to differences in application time and concentration of Clerodendrum paniculatum leaf extract

C manipulatum loo	c	Incubation pe	A			
C. paniculatum lease extract concentration (%)	No extract application (Positive control, C+)	• •	Extract application one day before virus sap inoculation		Extract application one day after viral sap inoculation	-Average effect of extract concentration
10	3.40 a	14.0 e	16.00 fg	6.20 b	6.00 b	9.12 a
30	3.60 a	15.2 f	17.80 h	8.60 d	7.20 c	10.48 b
50	3.80 a	16.8 g	21.00 i	9.40 d	8.40 d	11.88 c
Average	3.60 a	15.33 d	18.27 e	8.07 c	7.20 b	

Note: day after planting (DAP); Different letters in the same row show a significant effect compared to the positive control (C+)

Table 2. Disease severity in ZYMV-infected zucchini plants in response to differences in application time and concentration of Clerodendrum paniculatum leaf extract

C. paniculatum leaf extract concentration (%)	No extract application (Positive control, C+)	Extract application during seed soaking	Extract application one day before virus sap inoculation	Extract application concurrently with viral sap inoculation	Extract application one day after viral sap inoculation	Average effect of extract concentration
10	66.37 j	39.96 e	31.80 bc	51.42 g	61.93 hij	50.30 c
30	64.30 ij	37.01 cd	27.33 ab	49.71 fg	58.78 hi	47.43 b
50	62.59 j	32.87 bc	23.59 a	45.14 ef	56.88 h	44.21 a
Average	64.42 e	36.61 b	27.57 a	48.76 c	59.19 d	

Note: Different letters in the same row show a significant effect compared to the positive control (C+)

Table 3. Flowering in zucchini plants in response to differences in application time and concentration of *Clerodendrum paniculatum* leaf extract

C. paniculatum leaf extract concentration (%)	No extract application (Positive control, C+)	Extract application during seed soaking	Extract application one day before virus sap inoculation	Extract application concurrently with viral sap inoculation	Extract application one day after viral sap inoculation	Average effect of extract concentration
10	37.00 f	33.60 bcd	32.80 b	35.80 e	36.80 f	35.20 b
30	37.20 f	32.60 b	33.80 bcd	33.20 bc	37.40 f	34.84 b
50	36.80 f	33.40 bc	30.80 a	34.60 cd	35.00 de	34.12 a
Average	37.00 c	33.20 a	32.47 a	34.53 b	36.40 c	

Note: day after planting (DAP); Different letters in the same row show a significant effect compared to the positive control (C+)

Table 4. Effect of application time and concentration of *Clerodendrum paniculatum* leaf extract on disease incidence, type of symptoms, suppression of ZYMV disease severity, and yield loss

Application time of	Extract	Disease	Type of	Disease severity	ELISA	results	Yield loss
C. paniculatum leaf extract	concentration (%)	incidence (%)	disease symptom*	suppression (%)	Average of NAE**	Reaction	(%)
C+	10	100 (10/10)	M, Mc, St	-	0.418	+	58.42
	30	100 (10/10)	M, Mc, St	-	0.43	+	55.90
	50	60 (6/10)	Y, Yc, M	-	0.395	+	55.29
Extract application	10	60 (6/10)	M, Mc, St	39.77	0.557	+	35.56
during seed soaking	30	40 (4/10)	Y, Yc, Mc, St	42.58	0.486	+	33.69
	50	40 (4/10)	Y, Yc Mc, St	47.70	0.458	+	37.41
Extract application one	10	40 (4/10)	Y, Yc	52.04	0.426	+	23.50
day before virus sap	30	20 (2/10)	Y, Yc	58.99	0.184	-	22.18
inoculation	50	20 (2/10)	Y, Yc	60.79	0.112	-	19.99
Extract application	10	60 (6/10)	M, Mc, St	22.57	0.608	+	55.31
concurrently with viral	30	40 (4/10)	M	23.25	0.515	+	40.74
sap inoculation	50	40 (4/10)	Y, Yc	26.96	0.489	+	43.93
Extract application one	10	60 (6/10)	Y, Yc, M, Mc,	6.68	0.638	+	55.44
day after viral sap			St				
inoculation	30	60 (6/10)	M	8.96	0.588	+	55.19
	50	40 (4/10)	M	8.90	0.550	+	54.63

Note: C+ (without extract application). Type of disease symptom*: Y = yellowing, Yc = yellowing with cupping, M = mosaic, Mc = mosaic with cupping, St = stunting. Negative control: healthy plants (without virus inoculation and extract application). Positive control: sick plants (virus inoculation and without extract application). **NAE: Elisa Absorbance value

Flowering and yield loss

Infection with ZYMV inhibits flowering in C. pepo. ZYMV-infected plants showed reduced flowering and yield loss in C. pepo (Kheder et al. 2017; Kone et al. 2017; Maghamnia et al. 2018; Maina et al. 2017). Plants infected with ZYMV first flowered at 37 DAP, while plants treated with C. paniculatum leaf extract one day before virus inoculation was flowered at 32 DAP (Table 3). Treatment of C. paniculatum leaf extract one day before virus inoculation on C. pepo resulted in a yield loss of 19.99% -23.50% compared with other treatments. This indicated that applying C. paniculatum leaf extracts one day before ZYMV inoculation on C. pepo effectively reduced yield loss by 40%. Application of C. paniculatum leaf extract one day before virus inoculation increased the resistance of C. pepo. The low severity and virus accumulation in this treatment indicated the potential of the C. paniculatum leaf as an inducer of systemic resistance of C. pepo. The mechanism of induction of systemic resistance of C. pepo by C. paniculatum leaf extract has been reported by Verma et al. (1998). The active compound in the form of 34 kDa protein in C. paniculatum flower leaf extract causes tobacco leaves to become immune to viruses. In addition, C. paniculatum flower leaf extract can suppress BCMV because it contains virus inhibitors and has antiviral activity (De Oliveira et al. 1993). The active compounds of the C. paniculatum flower are known as antiviral proteins and are known as ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs). RIPs are also present in the root and leaf extract of M. jalapa and are referred to as Mirabilis antiviral protein (MAP) (Verma et al. 1998). MAP can reach the ribosome's active site earlier than the virus to prevent viral infection at an early stage before the virus undergoes encapsidation (Vivanco et al. 1999).

In the present study *C. paniculatum* leaf extract showed 80% effectiveness in inhibiting ZYMV infection, whereas against BCMV and CMV, inhibition was observed to be 100% and 82.6%, respectively (Hersanti 2003; Kurnianingsih and Damayanti 2012). It indicates that the same plant extract showed different effectiveness against different viruses.

Application of *C. paniculatum* leaf extract one day before ZYMV inoculation with a concentration of 50% was able to reduce disease incidence and severity of, accelerate flowering, and reduce yield loss of *C. pepo* fruit compared to the control treatment. The results of this study enrich the information about the potential of *C. paniculatum* leaves as agent to control plant viruses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgments are conveyed to the farmer groups in Banjar Sandan Village, Baturiti, Tabanan, Bali, Indonesia for their assistance and support.

REFERENCES

Aleem EEA, Rabie M, Fattouh FA. 2021. Molecular characterisation of zucchini yellow mosaic virus infecting *Cucurbita pepo* in Egypt. Plant Prot Sci 57 (4): 263-270. DOI: 10.17221/191/2020-PPS.

Bubici G, Navarro B, Carluccio AV, Ciuffo M, Serio FD, Fabrizio Cillo F. 2020. Genomic sequence variability of an Italian zucchini yellow mosaic virus isolate. Eur J Plant Pathol 156: 325-332. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-019-01886-w.

Coutts BA, Kehoe MA, Jones RAC. 2011. Minimising losses caused by zucchini yellow mosaic virus in vegetable cucurbit crops in tropical, subtropical and Mediterranean environments through cultural methods and host resistance. Virus Res 159 (2): 141-160. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2011.04.015.

- Coutts BA, Kehoe MAA, Jones RA. 2013. Zucchini yellow mosaic virus: Contact transmission, stability on surfaces, and inactivation with disinfectants. Plant Dis 97 (6): 765-771. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-08-12-0769-RE.
- Damayanti TA, Pebriyeni L. 2015. Barrier crop and pagoda leaf extract to control Bean common mosaic virus on yard long bean in the field. J Hortic 25: 238-245. DOI: 10.21082/jhort.v25n3.2015.p238-245.
- De Oliveira MM, Sampaio MR, Noronha AB, Vicente M, Vianna S. 1993. Detection of antiviral and antitumoral fractions of Chenopodium amaranticolor leaf extract. Microbios 76: 213-221.
- Elbeshehy EF. 2017. Inhibitor activity of different medicinal plants extracts from *Thuja orientalis*, *Nigella sativa* L., *Azadirachta indica* and *Bougainvillea spectabilis* against Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV) infecting *Citrullus lanatus*. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 31 (2): 270-279, DOI: 10.1080/13102818.2017.1279572.
- Gal-On A. 2007. Zucchini yellow mosaic virus: Insect transmission and pathogenicity-The tails of two proteins. Mol Plant Pathol 8 (2): 139-150. DOI: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2007.00381.x.
- Hersanti. 2003. Testing the potential of extracts of 37 plant species as agents of inducing systemic resistance of red chili plants to Cucumber mosaic virus. J Phytopathol Indones 7: 54-58.
- Jaroszewska BH, Rymelska N, Borodynko N, Pospieszny H. 2013. Biological and molecular characterization of the Polish zucchini yellow mosaic virus isolates. Acta Sci Polonorum Hortorum Cultus 12 (2): 75-85.
- Kheder AA, Taqwa M, Sulaiman TM, Ghanem GAM, Tohamy MR. 2017. Biological, serological and molecular characterization of Egyptian zucchini yellow mosaic virus isolate infecting squash plants in Fayoum governorate. Egypt J Phytopathol 45 (2): 1-14. DOI: 10.21608/ejp.2017.88570.
- Kone N, Asare-Bediako E, Silue S, Kone D, Koita O, Wulf M, Stephan W. 2017. Influence of planting date on incidence and severity of viral disease on cucurbits under field condition. Ann Agric Sci 62 (1): 99-104. DOI: 10.1016/j.aoas.2017.05.005.
- Kurnianingsih L, Damayanti TA. 2012. Lima ekstrak tumbuhan untuk menekan infeksi Bean common mosaic virus pada tanaman kacang panjang. Jurnal Fitopatologi Indonesia 8 (6): 155-155. DOI: 10.14692/jfi.8.6.155.
- Lecoq H, Pitrat M, Cle'ment M. 1981. Identification et caracterisation d'un potyvirus provoquant la maladie du rabougrissement jaune du melon. Agronomie 1: 827-834. DOI: 10.1051/agro:19811001.
- Lisa V, Boccardo G, D'Agostino G, Dellavalle G, D'Aquilio M. 1981. Characterization of a potyvirus that causes zucchini yellow mosaic. Phytopathol 71: 667-672. DOI: 10.1094/Phyto-71-667.
- Maghamnia HR, Hajizadeh M, Azizi A. 2018. Complete genome sequence of zucchini yellow mosaic virus strain Kurdistan, Iran. 3 Biotech 8 (3): 1-7. DOI: 10.1007/s13205-018-1177-3.
- Maina S, Coutts BA, Edwards OR, Almeida L, Kehoe MA, Ximenes A, Jones RAC. 2017. Zucchini yellow mosaic virus populations from EastTimorese and Northern Australian cucurbit crops: Molecular properties, genetic connectivity, and biosecurity implications. Plant Dis 101 (7): 1236-1245. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-11-16-1672-RE.

- Massumi H, Shaabanian M, Heydarnejad J, Hosseini Pour AH, Rahimian H. 2011. Host range and phylogenetic analysis of Iranian isolates of zucchini yellow mosaic virus. J Plant Pathol 93: 187-193.
- Moradi Z, Mehrvar M, Nazifi E. 2019. Population genetic analysis of zucchini yellow mosaic virus based on the CI gene sequence. J Cell Mol Res 10 (2): 76-89. DOI: 10.22067/jcmr.v10i2.76133.
- Nasr-Eldin MA, Hayam S, Abdelkader HS, Abo-Senna AS, Othman BA. 2016. Characterization and phylogenetic analysis of zucchini yellow mosaic virus infecting Cucurbita pepo in Egypt. Am J Sci 12 (3): 93-104. DOI: 10.7537/marsjas12031613.
- Pandawani NP, Widnyana IK. 2021. Identification of virus causes of mosaic diseases in zucchini plants in the Bali Island of Indonesia. Tekirdağ Zinat Fakültesi Dergisi 18 (3): 411-418. DOI: 10.33462/jotaf.707645.
- Prendeville HR, Ye X, Morris J, Pilson D. 2012. Virus infections in wild plants are both frequent and often unapparent. Am J Bot 99 (6): 1033-1042. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1100509.
- Romay G, Lecoq H, Geraud-Pouey F, Chirinos DT, Desbiez C. 2014. Current status of cucurbit viruses in Venezuela and characterization of Venezuelan isolates of zucchini yellow mosaic virus. Plant Pathol 63 (1): 78-87. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12072.
- Simmons HE, Dunham JP, Zinn KE, Munkvold GP, Holmes EC, Stephenson AG. 2013. Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV, Potyvirus): Vertical transmission, seed infection and cryptic infections. Virus Res 176 (1-2): 259-264. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2013.06.016.
- Simmons HE, Holmes EC, Gildow FE, Bothe-Goralczyk MA, Stephenson AG. 2011. Experimental verification of seed transmission of zucchini yellow mosaic virus. Plant Dis 95 (6): 751-754. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-11-10-0843.
- Spadotti D, Wassano D, Rezende J, Camargo LEA, Inoue-Nagata AK. 2015. Biological and molecular characterization of Brazilian isolates of zucchini yellow mosaic virus. Sci Agric 72: 187-191. DOI: 10.1590/0103-9016-2014-0197.
- Tymchyshyn O, Shevchenko T, Shevchenko O, Budzanivska I. 2017. Phylogenetic analysis of seed-transmitted isolate of zucchini yellow mosaic virus. Bull Taras Schevchenko Natl Univ Kyiv 2: 47-50. DOI: 10.17721/1728 2748.2017.74.46-50.
- Valli AA, Gallo A, Rodamilans B, López-Moya JJ, García JA. 2017. The HCPro from the Potyviridae family: an enviable multitasking helper component that every virus would like to have. Mol Plant Pathol 19 (3): 744-763. DOI: 10.1111/mpp.12553.
- Verma HN, Baranwal VK, Srisavasta S. 1998. Antiviral substances of plant origin. Plant Virus Dis Control 539: 154-162.
- Vivanco JM, Querci M, Salazar LF. 1999. Antiviral and antiviroid activity of MAP containing extracts from *Mirabilis jalapa* roots. Plant Dis 83 (12): 1116-1121. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.12.1116.
- Wang D, Li G. 2017. Biological and molecular characterization of zucchini yellow mosaic virus isolates infecting melon in Xinjiang, China. Can J Plant Pathol 39 (1): 48-59. DOI: 10.1080/07060661.2017.1285356.

hasil no 6 11097-Article Text-1053650-1-10-20220531 (1) (1).docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT			
11 %	11%	1%	1%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
1 WWW.Sr Internet Sour			9%
eprints. Internet Soul	unmas.ac.id		2%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography On

hasil no 6 11097-Article Text-1053650-1-10-20220531 (1) (1).docx

PAGE 1			
PAGE 2			
PAGE 3			
PAGE 4			
PAGE 5			
PAGE 6			
	·	·	