


# International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation

ISSN 1473-7192

*(Proudly Serving our Web Based, International Readers Since 1996)*



**A WEB BASED PEER REVIEWED PUBLICATION FOR MENTAL  
HEALTH PRACTITIONERS, CONSUMERS & APPLIED  
RESEARCHERS**

[www.psychosocial.com](http://www.psychosocial.com)



Copyright © 2004 WPA, U.K. All Rights Reserved.



## International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation

ISSN:1475-7192

[Login/Register \(/register-login\)](#)

### **Journal Information**

This peer reviewed Journal was created in 1996 by practitioners, mental health program managers and mental health consumers to provide international practitioners, scholars and consumers with a forum to publish and discuss their work in program development, evaluation research, policy innovations, and therapeutic practices that have been successful in their particular region and cultures. IJPR is not associated with any university or governmental institution, nor is it part of any 'old boy' or other professional network. It was created to provide information to an international readership about issues related to psychosocial rehabilitation and associated topics.

Articles on psychosocial interventions, psychopharmacotherapy, mental health primary care, institutional and community care innovations, decentralization, policy changes, community & regionally based systems, and program evaluation are given particular attention. However, all articles that relate to psychosocial rehabilitation will be considered.

We invite comment from all readers on any and all subjects published in this journal, including the journal format itself. Feel free to comment on the Bulletin Board as well.

#### ***Current Editorial Board***

Dr. Nira Hariyatie Hartani – Malaysia

Asst. Prof. Dr. Mohd Armi Abu Samah – Malaysia

Rathi Aarti, BPT, MPT, – Cardiorespiratory – India

[editor@psychosocial.com](mailto:editor@psychosocial.com)

#### ***Associate Editors***

Ass. Prof. Dr. I Wayan Suryasa, Indonesia

### **Publishing Information**

The International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation is published by ADG, S.A. (ADG) ADG is a private non-profit, limited corporation in Honduras and operating in a limited capacity in the United Kingdom. All information in IJPR is provided for personal use. Reproduction for publication or other use is strictly prohibited. Written permission must be obtained ADG, S.A., to reprint any information contained within the Journal, either in part or its entirety .

[submission@psychosocial.com](mailto:submission@psychosocial.com)

# DNA Mutation in CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA Fragment of Bali Citrus

I Gusti Ayu Diah Yuniti<sup>1\*</sup>, I Gede Putu Wirawan<sup>2</sup>, I Nyoman Wijaya<sup>3</sup>, Made  
Sritamin<sup>4</sup>

**Abstract**--The CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment was identified previously and found in some citrus plants in Bali. This DNA fragment was said to be a resistant factor against citrus vein phloem degeneration (CVPD), or citrus greening, or also called huanglongbing disease. However, the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment also found in some other citrus plants which susceptible to CVPD disease. In this study we analyzed the DNA sequence of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments from 13 citrus plants. The results of this study found some mutations in some citrus plants comparing to the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments of *Triphacia trifolia* which considered to be resistant to the disease. The mutation included deletion, insertion, transversion, and transition on the DNA sequences of each sample. These mutations may affect the character of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment and resulted in susceptible to the disease. The dendogram derived from this sequence analysis showed the genetic distance/similarity of each citrus plants to *T. trifolia*. The citrus plants which cluster near *T. trifolia* showed rather tolerance to CVPD disease.

**Keywords**--Citrus plants, CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment, DNA mutation

## Introduction

*Citrus spp.* is one of the most important horticultural crops in Indonesia. Citrus production in this country was fluctuated during the period 1970-2012. During 2015 to 2016 citrus fruit

---

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Mahasaraswati University, Denpasar, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

---

**Corresponding author:** Yuniti, I.G.A.D. Email: diahyuniti@gmail.com

Manuscript submitted: 09 March 2020, Manuscript revised: 18 April 2020, Accepted for publication: 01 May 2020

production in Bali was decreased 35% compares to the previous period (Ministry of Agriculture, 2016). This reduction was mainly due to the attack of Citrus Vein Phloem Degeneration (CVPD) or - Citrus Greening disease. CVPD has become a serious threat to the sustainability of citrus production in many provinces (Tirtawijaya, 1981, Wirawan, et al, 2004, Wirawan, et al., 2015). It is caused by *Candidatus liberibacter asiaticus*, a bacterium which propagates through the bud asulutor transmitted by an insect vector, viz. *Diaphorina citri* (Tirtawijaya, 1981, Sandrine & Bove, 1996, Wirawan, et al., 2004, Secor, 2009).

The CVPD symptom is very distinct. The leaves of the infested plant are yellowing, with irregular green color, while the dark green veins are bulging. The leaves of the heavily infested plants are small and thick. The symptoms resemble that of particular nutrient deficiency (Mead, 1998; Knapp *et al.* 1999). The pathogen cannot be cultured *in vitro* but can be detected by PCR using 16S rDNA primer (Sandrine & Bove, 1996) and observed under electron microscopy (Hoy, 1998). The whole genome of strain A4 of *L. asiaticus* was already sequenced by Zeng *et al.* (2014).

Almost all of the cultured citrus are susceptible to CVPD. Wirawan *et al.* (2004) found several plants that show resistance to CVPD such as *Citrus aurantifolia* and *Triphasia trifolia*. The considered resistant or tolerant plants are called CVPD<sup>r</sup> and harbor the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment (Wirawan, 2016). This CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment has been cloned into the plasmid vector, pWR27, and patented with the ID number of P 0020148. In the study of the distribution of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment among the citrus plants, we found that this fragment are also distributed in the susceptible plants (Wirawan *et al.*, 2004, Wirawan and Juliasih, 2015). This finding rise a question on the validity of the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment itself, whether it can be regarded as a gene that bore the resistant factor to CPVD. Therefore, we conducted the study to analyse the polymorphism of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment in citrus from Bali and discuss the differences of the resulted DNA sequence compare to CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment of *T. trifolia* which is considered to be resistant to CVPD.

## **Materials and Methods**

### *Sampling sites and plant material*

The sampling sites were the regencies and city in Bali as shown in Fig. 1. Topographically, the sites were located at the altitude of 800 meters above sea level, with the tropical climate, the average annual rainfall between 2000 and 2500 mm, and the temperature of 24°C to 32°C. The samples were taken from citrus cultivated in Buleleng, Karangasem, Bangli, Badung, Tabanan, and Gianyar Regencies, as well as Denpasar City. Leaves were collected from CVPD

resistant citrus (*T. trifolia* and *C. aurantifolia* seedless) and susceptible citrus viz. *Citrus nobilis*, *Citrus reticulata* slayer, *C. reticulata* keprok, and *Citrus grandis*. The leaves are selected from the second leaf below the growing point, wrapped in plastic and taken to the lab for further DNA isolation by using liquid nitrogen. Samples were taken from leaf bones using the NucleoSpint® kit.



**Figure 1.** The sampling sites of the citrus leaves used in the study: 1) Buleleng (Singaraja), 2) Bangli, 3) Karangasem, 4) Tabanan, 5) Denpasar, 6) Gianyar Regency, and 7) Badung.

#### *DNA Isolation and PCR Condition*

Isolation of total DNA was conducted using Mini Kit Plant from nucleoSpin® Plant II (Marchery-Nagel) following the company's instruction. The amplification of the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment was done using GACTAGGTGGTAATAACTACTTTT and CCTTTTGGTCTATCTTACTTAG primer pairs (Wirawan et al., 2016) that targeted 841 bp DNA. PCR reaction contained 1 ng of DNA sample, 100 p mol of each primer of WR-F and WR-R, 2µl dNTP, 2µl PCR Buffer (10X), 0,2 µl Taq polymerase (5 U/µl) and H<sub>2</sub>O to reach a total volume of 20 µl. The PCR products were then sequenced using the Illumina Next Generation Sequencer. The PCR condition used by Wirawan et al., (2014), was employed: one cycle of pre-denaturation at 92°C for 40s, 40 cycles of denaturation at 92°C for 60s, annealing at 60°C for 40s, elongation at 72°C for 90s. This was followed by one cycle of final extension at 72°C for 90s. The PCR


products were examined using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer. The products were then sequenced in Genetika Science Indonesia (GSI) Jakarta.

#### *Data Analysis*

The resulting DNA sequences were edited using UGENE (Okonechnikov et al, 2012). The alignments were processed using MUSCLE algorithm as integrated in UGENE to find the differences in base pairs in each sample. Mutation calculation was carried out in a program (C++ Programming) that was run in C++ programming language. This was aimed to spot the differences in base pairs and specify the types of mutations (insertions, deletions, transitions, or transversion). A dendrogram was then derived from UGENE employing the Maximum Likelihood algorithm on both the DNA and the amino acid translation of the sequences (Vinga & Almeida, 2003; Mulder & Apweiler, 2007).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Gel documentation system records a single DNA band of 841bp from almost all of the citrus samples processed with WR primer pairs (Fig. 1). Only one sample that did not produce a band, that was the *C. nobilis* Petang. Two citrus species used as controls were considered resistant or at least tolerant to the CVPD disease, they were *T. trifolia*, and the seedless *C. aurantifolia*. The rest of the samples, viz. 11 of them, were previously identified to be susceptible to CVPD (Yuniti, 2017).



**Figure 2.** CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments (841 bp) of Bali citrus. Samples identity from 1-14 were: *C. nobilis* Denpasar, *C. reticulata* keprok Kintamani, *C. nobilis* Tabanan, *C. nobilis* Buleleng, *C. nobilis* Pecatu, *C. nobilis* Gianyar, *C. reticulata* selayer Kintamani, *C. nobilis* Petang, *C. aurantifolia* (control), *C. nobilis* Payangan, *C. nobilis* Karangasem, *C. reticulata* keprok Gianyar, *C. reticulata* selayer Buleleng, and *T. trifolia* (control).

Table 1. The mutations of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments in Bali citrus. All newly generated sequences were compared to that of *T. trifolia*.

Species	Location	Mutation (Site)				Similarity (%)	Total Mutation n
		Insertio n	Deletio n	Transversio n	Transitio n		
<i>C. nobilis</i>	Denpasar	0	3	2	3	99	8
	Tabanan	2	1	0	2	95	5
	Buleleng	1	0	1	0	95	2
	Pecatu	0	0	1	1	94	2
	Payangan	0	0	0	0	91	0
	Karangasem	0	0	0	0	89	0
<i>C. reticulata</i>	Gianyar	2	0	1	3	93	6
	Kintamani (keprok)	0	4	2	3	99	9
	Kintamani (slayer)	0	0	1	4	93	5
	Buleleng	160	125	103	196	45	584
Gianyar	0	0	0	3	61	3	
<i>C.</i>	-	25	3	6	23	91	57

*aurantifoli**a*

---

The 11 plants produced CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments are susceptible to CVPD disease. This result indicated that CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment in these citrus plant species or varieties did not work properly. Whether this is caused by this mutation or by other resistant genes is still needed to be confirmed further in other study. The results are shown in Table 1.

There were nine sequences that showed the DNA mutations, while two sequences did not show any mutations (Table 1.). The two unchanged sequences were *C.nobilis* from Payangan and Karangasem. *C.nobilis* Denpasar and *C.reticulate* Kintamani, contained no insertion, but shown the deletion, transversion, and transition of DNA. Both sequences had eight and nine total mutations consecutively and shown 99% homology with *T. trifolia*. *C.nobilis* Tabanan however, underwent insertion, transition, and deletion with a total of 5 mutations. *C.nobilis* Buleleng was only detected to have two insertions and two transversions. *C. nobilis* of Tabanan and Buleleng shown 95% similarity to *T. trifolia*. On the other hand, *C.reticulate* Buleleng had a total of 584 mutations with only 45% similarity to *T. trifolia*. This result indicated that the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA sequences were totally differed from the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA sequence found in *T. trifolia*.

There were 124 DNA polymorphisms found in the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment of the newly sequenced samples. The dendrogram derived from the sequence data showed that the *T. trifolia* located in the same cluster with *C. nobilis* Denpasar, while another sample located in different cluster (Figure 3). This result indicated that polymorphisms in the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment caused the different resistance of citrus plants to CVPD disease. In addition, based on our observation on the field those differences may lead to the resistant, rather tolerant, susceptible and most susceptible to CVPD disease. *C. nobilis* Petang which contain no CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment become the most susceptible (Figure 3 and Table 2).




Figure 3. Maximum likelihood dendrogram of the DNA (A) amino acid sequences (B)

Table 2. Tolerance response of Bali citrus to CPVD

Tolerant	Tolerance		
	Moderate tolerant	Sensitive	Susceptible
<i>T. trifolia</i>	<i>C. nobilis</i> Payangan	<i>C. reticulata</i> Buleleng	<i>C. nobilis</i> Petang
<i>C. nobilis</i> Denpasar	<i>C. nobilis</i> Gianyar	<i>C. aurantifolia</i>	
	<i>C. nobilis</i> Pecatu	<i>C. reticulata</i> kepron Kintamani	
	<i>C. nobilis</i> Karangasem	<i>C. nobilis</i> Buleleng	
		<i>C. nobilis</i> Tabanan	
		<i>C. reticulata</i> slayer Kintamani	
		<i>C. reticulata</i> Gianyar	

The study showed that there were many DNA polymorphisms detected among the samples in CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment. Gene polymorphism occurs because of a change in the nucleotide arrangement of a gene. Changes in the composition of the gene are influenced by several factors such as natural or artificial selection, mating, and mutation. These changes can affect the phenotypic changes of an organism (Frankham, et al., 2002). Polymorphism is a variant in DNA sequences that can cause changes in protein function. Protein, when detected, can estimate the gene owned by the individual, because one type of protein is a representation of the locus owned by the individual concerned. (Ayala and Kiger, 1980).

The occurrence of polymorphisms in a gene, indicating the presence of some differences in DNA sequences in citrus plants sampled with different species and the same. The difference in DNA sequences is due to the presence of deletion, insertion, recombination, low random mating and selection in the population (Schleif, 1993).

The CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments, which were previously identified in *T. trifolia* and *C. aurantifolia* var. seedless lime, were also found to be distributed in other citrus plants. The most susceptible plants to CVPD disease, *Murraya paniculata* and *C. nobilis* Petang did not contain CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment. This result indicated that the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment was a resistant or tolerant factor against CVPD disease.

Previous studies support this analysis. In animal studies, the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) polymorphism was characterized by the presence of many alleles at each locus and the difference in the number of amino acids in each allele. This variability was correlated with the diversity of T cell receptors, which contributes to differences in immune responses and resistance to diseases in each individual (Sommer, 2005). Other study reported that twelve types of native Portuguese cattle were used to investigate polymorphisms of microsatellite loci of BoLA gene and non-BoLA microsatellite locus as additional data to compare the genetic / polymorphic variation found in both genes. Ammer et al. (1992) reported that polymorphisms were found in both exon and intron regions of the BoLA-DRB gene. Ellegren et al., (1993) also found a strong correlation between the sequence of ex-BoLA-DRB3.2 exon polymorphisms and simple repeat microsatellite polymorphisms in the intron 2 region of this gene. It has also been reported that polymorphic microsatellite in the intron 2 region of BoLA-DRB1 (DRBP1) pseudogene and this gene is not genetically related to the BoLA-DRB3 (DRB3) microsatellite (Gwakisa et al., 1994). Using two molecular markers of microsatellite BM1815 and RM185, it was reported that there was a correlation between microsatellite loci of BoLA class II gene with resistance or susceptibility to *Boophilus microplus* livestock infection in cattle (Acosta-Rodriguez et al., 2005). The nature of the BoLA gene polymorphism causes each individual's ability to react to different and very specific antigens.

Citrus that looks healthy has a possibility to contain CVPD pathogenic, because the period of CVPD pathogens incubation in plants host were ranges from three to five months (Tirtawidjaja and Suharsojo, 1990). So that we need a precise and fast way to detect the presence of CVPD pathogens in citrus seedlings. There are citrus plants types that are resistant to CVPD disease and here in after referred to as CVPD<sup>r</sup>. The CVPD<sup>r</sup> gene was found in Siam Kintamani oranges, which were susceptible to CVPD. Polymorphism was carried out to confirm the theCVPD<sup>r</sup> gene presence in all citrus plants. The CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments Polymorphism in citrus plants. These results indicate that CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments are a factor that is resistant to CVPD disease. Although there has been genetic material change (DNA) mutations have not occurred yet. Further research needs to be done whether it is true that a mutation has occurred, even though the results of sequencing have found many nucleotide sequences that caused proteins dis-function. The cells Exists were un-able to tolerate the protein inactivity, it will cause death (lethal mutation).

DNA polymorphism in CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragments caused citrus plants resistance differences to CVPD disease. Another factor is caused by the lack of nutrients, which is due to phloem tissue infection by *L. asiaticum* bacteria. As a result, it inhibits the phloem cells transport mechanism, so the mineral elements are not transported properly to plant cells that's has a function as photosynthesis. The low levels of Zn and Mg in the objects are also thought to be the result of harvesting crops and other agricultural crops, so that nutrients were reduced. The condition of water content, vitamin C levels and antioxidant levels due to the inhibition of nutrient condition absorption which needed by plants. Lack of root ability to absorb nutrients can result in deficiencies of Fe, Zn, and Mn, which makes a chlorosis symptom in plant leaves (Zekri M and Obreza, 2012).

## **Conclusion**

The difference in polymorphism of CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment resulted in difference position in the dendogram. Citrus plants studied could be classified as citrus resistant among citrus plants. In the *T. trifoliata* dendrogram that were resistant to CVPD disease classified in the same group with *C. nobilis* Denpasar which consider being relatively tolerant to the CVPD disease. On the other hand, citrus plants which were sensitive to CVPD disease located in different group. This result indicated that the CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment is a resistant factor against CVPD disease and the resistant respond of citrus plants depended on their polymorphism in CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragment. The polymorphism changes, adds, or reduces nucleotides than it will change the amino acid in the protein which it encodes. This amino acids change certainly will change the

protein character or function, which in turn it will changes the phenotype, especially the phenotype which differentiates resistance to CVPD disease.

### *Acknowledgements*

We thank the Faculty of Agriculture and the Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Biomolecular, Udayana University, Denpasar, Bali for the support and facilities. This study was funded by Udayana University research grant, LoA no. 383-5/UN14.4.A/LT/2018.

### **References**

- [1] Ammer H, Schwaiger FW, Kammerbauer C, Gomolka M, Arriens A, Lazary S and Eppel JT, 1992. Exonic Polymorphism vs Intrinsic Simple Repeat Hypervariability In Mhc-Drb Genes. *Immunogenetics* 35, 332–40.
- [2] Ayala, FJ. And Kiger JA Jr, 1980. *Modern Genetics*. The Benjamin/ Cummings Publishing Company. Inc. California.
- [3] Ellegren H, Davies CJ and Andersson L, 1993. Strong Association Between Polymorphisms in an Intrinsic Microsatellite and in the Coding Sequence of The BOLA-Drb3 Gene – Implications Microsatellite Stability and Pcr-Based Drb3 Typing. *Animal Genetics* 24, 269–75.
- [4] Frankham R, Ballou JD and Briscoe DA, 2002. *Introduction to conservation genetics*. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Gwakisa P, Mikko Sand Andersson L, 1994. Close Genetic-Linkage Between Drbp1 And Cyp21 In the Mhc Of Cattle. *Mammalian Genome* 5, 731–4.
- [6] Hoy MA, 1998. Citrus Psylla. Entomology and Nematology Department University of Florida, p.5. <http://extlab7.entnem.ufl.edu/PestAlert.html>.
- [7] Huang TP, Tzeng DDS, Wong ACL, Chen CH, Lu KM and Lee YH, 2012. DNA Polymorphisms and Biocontrol of *Bacillus* Antagonistic to Citrus Bacterial Canker with Indication of the Interference of Phyllosphere Biofilms. *PLoS ONE* 7, 42-124. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042124>
- [8] Knapp JL, Halbert S, Lee R, Hoy AM, Clark R and Kesinger M, 1999. The Asian Citrus Psyllia and Citrus Greening Disease. *Integrated Pest Management Florida*.
- [9] Mead FW, 1998. Asiatic Citrus Psyllid *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama. University of Florida, Cooperative Extension Service. Institute of Food and Agricultural Services. [http://creaturesifas.ufl.edu/Monograph.edited book. book.](http://creaturesifas.ufl.edu/Monograph.edited%20book.pdf)

- [10] Mulder N and Apweiler R, 2007. InterPro and InterProScan: tools for protein sequence classification and comparison: *Methods Mol Biol* 296:59-70.
- [11] Okonechnikov K, Golosova O and Fursov M, 2012. Unipro UGENE: a unified bioinformatics toolkit. *Bioinformatics*. (28): 1166-1167.
- [12] SandrineJJ and Bove GM, 1996. PCR Detection of the Two Candidatus *Liberobacter* Species Associated with Greening Disease of Citrus. *Molecular and cellular probes*, 10: 43 Schleif, R. 1993. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. The John Hopkins Press Ltd. London.
- [13] Secor GA, Rivera VV, Lee IM, Clover GRG, Liefting LW, Li X, and De Boer SH, 2009. Association of 'Candidatus *Liberobacter solanacearum*' with Zebra chip disease of potato established by graft and psyllid transmission, electron microscopy, and PCR. *Plant Disease*.
- [14] Tirtawidjaya S. 1981. Insect, Dodder and Seed Transmissions of Citrus Vein-Phloem Degeneration (CVPD). *Proc.Int.Soc. Citriculture*.
- [15] Vinga, S and Almeida J, 2003. Alignment-free sequence comparison – a review. *Bioinformatics* 19:512-2.
- [16] Wirawan IGP and Juliasih KSM, 2015. Detection of Citrus Vein Phloem Degeneration Disease in Citrus Plants by PCR and Protein Analysis using SDS PAGE (A Review). *Internasional Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology*. I(3).
- [17] Wirawan IGP, Julyasih KSM, Adiartayasa W, Wijaya IN and Anom, P, 2014. Increasing Local Fruits Competitiveness in Entering the Tourism Market in Bali. *International Journal of Biosciences and Biotechnology*. 2(2).
- [18] Wirawan IGP, Liliek S and Wijaya, 2004. CVPD disease in citrus plant. Denpasar: Udayana University Press. Indonesia.
- [19] Wirawan, IGP, 2016. Distribution of CVPD<sup>r</sup> Gene Among Some Citrus Plants in Bali. Denpasar. *Journal IJBB* 3(2).
- [20] Yuniti IGAD, Wirawan IGP, Wijaya IN, and Sritamin M. 2017. CVPD<sup>r</sup> DNA fragmen affect differences in resistant to citrus vein phloem degeneration (CVPD<sup>r</sup>) disease, Nutrient Deficiencies and quality of fruits. *International Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology*. Vol 5 No 1. p.69-79
- [21] Zheng Z, Deng X and Chen J, 2014. Whole-Genome Sequence of Candidatus *Liberibacter asiaticus* from Guangdong, China. *Genome Announc*. 2(2).