

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

This comment will be visible to the Author :

- Complete the ORCID ID for each author in Biographies section.
- Make sure that each paragraph at least contained three sentences
- Each reference must be completed with DOI and can be traced online
- Each paragraph in Discussion section must be supported with ref. IJERE requires at least 30 ref.
- Re check: there are two Table 1 in this paper

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
 http://ijere.iaescore.com

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2022-11-25 02:42 AM
 The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

- Ref. from Journal article must be completed with vol., issue, pages, DOI

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
 http://ijere.iaescore.com

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2022-12-03 06:05 AM
 The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

- some references missed the DOI
- Mention Table 1 before it appears

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
 http://ijere.iaescore.com

[Close](#)

Scinapse
 Scopus
 ERIC
 Web of Science

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (1)
- Archive (0)
- New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope
 All

[Search](#)

Browse

- By Issue
- By Author
- By Title

INFORMATION

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

29°C Cerah
 Search
 08:25 07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2022-11-12 01:33 AM
 The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "Alignment of EFL Teachers' Understanding of Classroom Assessment Practices" to International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), a SCOPUS and ERIC indexed journal (<https://bit.ly/2E18hDj>).

Our decision is major revisions required.
 Please prepare your revised paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres every detail of the guide of authors (<http://tiny.cc/iaesijere>, or <http://tiny.cc/ijerelatex> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes.

The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.

A high-quality paper MUST has:

- (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/
- (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,
 Dr. Lina Handayani

=====

IMPORTANT!!

For ORIGINAL/RESEARCH PAPER: the paper should be presented with IMRaD model:

1. Introduction
2. Research Method
3. Results and Discussion
4. Conclusion.

29°C Cerah
 Search
 08:26 07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://jere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

=====

IMPORTANT!

=====

For ORIGINAL/RESEARCH PAPER: the paper should be presented with IMRaD model:

1. Introduction
2. Research Method
3. Results and Discussion
4. Conclusion.

We will usually expect a minimum of 30 references primarily to journal papers. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers must be referenced within the body text of the manuscript.

For REVIEW PAPER: the paper should present a critical and constructive analysis of existing published literature in a field, through summary, classification, analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is:

- 1) to organize literature;
- 2) to evaluate literature;
- 3) to identify patterns and trends in the literature;
- 4) to synthesize literature; or
- 5) to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas.

The structure of a review paper includes:

1. Title - in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
2. Abstract - includes a description of subjects covered.
3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
4. Body - structured by headings and subheadings
5. Conclusion - states the implications of the findings and an identifies possible new research fields

Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (included minimum 40 recently journal articles).

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The citations must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4],
Please download & study our published papers for your references:
- <http://jere.iaescore.com>
- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn>
- <http://jece.iaescore.com>
- <http://jjeecs.iaescore.com>
(Please use "Search" menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are cited in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

The following template should be used for responses to reviewers:

I would like to thank the reviewers for their insightful feedback. All comments from Reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow, those from Reviewer 2 are highlighted in red, and those from Reviewer 3 are highlighted in green.

Reviewer 1

Comment 1: There are some references that are not required.
Response: We thoroughly updated our references; 5 references were eliminated, and two were replaced by more recent publications.

Comment 2: The presentation of Figures 2 and 3 should be improved.
Response: The necessary adjustments have been made.

Comment 3: Equation (2) seems to be incorrect.
Response: Equation (2) is correct. This can be proven as follows:...
In order to clarify equation 9 in the manuscript, the following remarks have been added...
etc.

All changes for reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow in the main text.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1:
Response:

29°C
 Cerah

08:26
 07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://jere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are cited in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

The following template should be used for responses to reviewers:

I would like to thank the reviewers for their insightful feedback. All comments from Reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow, those from Reviewer 2 are highlighted in red, and those from Reviewer 3 are highlighted in green.

Reviewer 1

Comment 1: There are some references that are not required.
Response: We thoroughly updated our references; 5 references were eliminated, and two were replaced by more recent publications.

Comment 2: The presentation of Figures 2 and 3 should be improved.
Response: The necessary adjustments have been made.

Comment 3: Equation (2) seems to be incorrect.
Response: Equation (2) is correct. This can be proven as follows:...
In order to clarify equation 9 in the manuscript, the following remarks have been added...
etc.

All changes for reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow in the main text.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1:
Response:

29°C
 Cerah

08:26
 07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

Reviewer 2

Comment 1:
Response:

Comment 2:
Response:

Comment 3:
Response:

All changes for reviewer 2 are highlighted in red in the main text.

Etc.

Such a document clarifies everything and will aid the reviewers in evaluating the work fast.
When providing your amended primary document files, you must also upload your corrections statement. Before your manuscript, the declaration of revisions should appear.

Reviewer F:

The IJERE form to evaluate submitted papers

Content:
Good

Significance:
Good

Originality:
Good

Relevance:
Good

Presentation:
Good

Recommendation:
Good

Comments to the Author

This comment will be visible to the Author
:
Comments on abstract, title, references

29°C
Cerah
08:26
07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

Comments to the Author

This comment will be visible to the Author
:
Comments on abstract, title, references

- Is the aim clear? Yes
- Is it clear what the study found and how they did it? Yes
- Are the references:
 - Relevant? Yes
 - Recent? No
 - Referenced correctly? Yes

The aim is clear. The title is informative and relevant. The references are relevant and referenced correctly but they are not recent. The appropriate key is studies included.

Comments on introduction/background

- Is it clear what is already known about this topic? Yes
- Is the research question clearly outlined? Yes
- Is the research question justified given what is already known about the topic? No

It is clear already known about this topic. The research question is clearly outlined. The research question is not justified given already known about the topic.

Comments on methodology

- Is the process of subject selection clear? Yes
- Are the variables defined and measured appropriately? Yes
- Are the study methods valid and reliable? Yes
- Is there enough detail in order to replicate the study? No

The process of subject selection is clear. The variables defined and measured are appropriate. The study methods are valid and reliable. There is not enough detail in order to replicate the study.

Comments on data and results

- Is the data presented in an appropriate way? Yes
- Tables and figures relevant and clearly presented? Yes
- Titles, columns, and rows labelled correctly and clearly? Yes
- Categories grouped appropriately? Yes
- Does the text in the results add to the data or is it repetitive? The text in the results adds to the data
- Are you clear about what is a statistically significant result? Yes
- Are you clear about what is a practically meaningful result? Yes

The data presented in is an appropriate way. Tables and figures are relevant and clearly presented and appropriate units, rounding, and a number of decimals. Titles, columns, and rows labelled are correctly and clearly. The text in the results adds to the data. I am clear about what is a practically meaningful result.

Comments on discussion and conclusions

- Are the results discussed from multiple angles and placed into context without being

29°C
Cerah
08:26
07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

The data presented in is an appropriate way. Tables and figures are relevant and clearly presented and appropriate units, rounding, and a number of decimals. Titles, columns, and rows labelled are correctly and clearly. The text in the results adds to the data. I am clear about what is a practically meaningful result.

Comments on discussion and conclusions

- Are the results discussed from multiple angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted? No
- Do the conclusions answer the aims of the study? Yes
- Are the limitations of the study fatal or are they opportunities to inform future research

The conclusions answer the aims of the study but the conclusions do not answer the aims of the study. They are opportunities to inform future research.

Major points in the article which needs clarification, refinement, reanalysis, rewrites and/or additional information and suggestions for what could be done to improve the article.

1. Many of the references are not recent.
2. The research question is not justified given what is already known about the topic.
3. There enough is not detail in order to replicate the study.
4. The article needs to be a review of grammatical errors.

Reviewer G:

The IJERE form to evaluate submitted papers

Content:
Good

Significance:
Very good

Originality:
Very good

Relevance:
Very good

Presentation:
Very good

Recommendation:
Very good

29°C
Cerah

08:27
07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge
 https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17397

Comments to the Author

This comment will be visible to the Author
 :
 This research is good, but in the introduction it needs to be strengthened by what ideally the teacher does in evaluating learning

Reviewer H:
 see file

Comments to the Author

This comment will be visible to the Author
 :
 - Complete the ORCID ID for each author in Biographies section.
 - Make sure that each paragraph at least contained three sentences
 - Each reference must be completed with DOI and can be traced online
 - Each paragraph in Discussion section must be supported with ref.
 IJERE requires at least 30 ref.
 - Re check: there are two Table 1 in this paper

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
<http://ijere.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)
 2022-11-25 02:42 AM
 The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

- Ref. from Journal article must be completed with vol., issue, pages, DOI

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
<http://ijere.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)
 2022-12-05 09:05 AM
 The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

- some references missed the DOI
 - Mention Table 1 before it appears

29°C
Cerah

08:27
07/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge

https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17440

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2022-12-10 03:46 AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

-- Paper ID# 25492
-- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Alignment of EFL Teachers' Understanding of Classroom Assessment Practices" is conditionally ACCEPTED and will be published on the International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), a SCOPUS (<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100934092>, SJR: 0.236 (SJR Q3), CiteScore: 1.3 and SNIP: 0.582) and ERIC (<https://bit.ly/2E18hDj>) indexed Journal. Congratulations!

Please prepare your final camera-ready paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres to every detail of the guide of authors (MS Word: <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>, or <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.rar> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Then you should upload your final paper to our online system (as "author version" under our decision, NOT as new submission).

You should submit your camera-ready paper (along similarity report by iThenticate/Turnitin that less than 20%, and with your payment receipt) within 6 weeks.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani

URGENT!! Pay attention to the following instructions carefully! YOU MUST DO!!

1). PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS
<http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx> (Use this file as your paper template!) and pay

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (1)
- Archive (0)
- New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- By Issue
- By Author
- By Title

INFORMATION

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

29°C Berawan 16:54 11/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge

https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17440

Editor Subject: [IJERE] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2022-12-10 03:46 AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

-- Paper ID# 25492
-- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Dr Ida Ayu Made Sri Widiastuti,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Alignment of EFL Teachers' Understanding of Classroom Assessment Practices" is conditionally ACCEPTED and will be published on the International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), a SCOPUS (<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100934092>, SJR: 0.236 (SJR Q3), CiteScore: 1.3 and SNIP: 0.582) and ERIC (<https://bit.ly/2E18hDj>) indexed Journal. Congratulations!

Please prepare your final camera-ready paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres to every detail of the guide of authors (MS Word: <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>, or <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.rar> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Then you should upload your final paper to our online system (as "author version" under our decision, NOT as new submission).

You should submit your camera-ready paper (along similarity report by iThenticate/Turnitin that less than 20%, and with your payment receipt) within 6 weeks.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani

URGENT!! Pay attention to the following instructions carefully! YOU MUST DO!!

1). PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (1)
- Archive (0)
- New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- By Issue
- By Author
- By Title

INFORMATION

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

29°C Berawan 16:52 11/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge

https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17440

URGENT!! Pay attention to the following instructions carefully!! YOU MUST DO!!

- PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS
<http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx> (Use this file as your paper template!!) and pay attention to the checklist for preparing your FINAL paper for publication:
<http://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/about/editorialPolicies#custom-2>
- It is mandatory to present your final paper according to "IMRAD style" format, i.e.:
 - INTRODUCTION
 - The Proposed Method/Framework/Procedure specifically designed (optional)
 - METHOD
 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
 - CONCLUSION
 See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>
- Add biographies of authors as our template (include links to the 4 authors' profiles, do not delete any icons in the template).
 --> Provide links for all authors to the 4 icons (Scholar, Scopus, Publons and ORCID). It is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>
- Use different PATTERNS for presenting different results in your figures/graphics (instead of different colors). It is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>
- Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Use a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting, and choose IEEE style. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3], [4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:
 This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].
 Zadeh [10] has argued that ...
 Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that....
 ... end of the line for my research [16].
- Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (Include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (1)
- Archive (0)
- New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- By Issue
- By Author
- By Title

INFORMATION

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

29°C Berawan 16:55 11/12/2022

Editor/Author Correspondence - Dayu Widia - Microsoft Edge

https://ijere.iaescore.com/index.php/IJERE/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/25492#17440

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that....
 ... end of the line for my research [16].

- Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (Include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijere.docx>

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 325 (~IDR 4900K). This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD50 (~IDR 800K) per page

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: LINA HANDAYANI
 Bank Name: CIMB NIAGA Bank
 Branch Office: Kusumanegara Yogyakarta
 City: Yogyakarta
 Country : Indonesia
 Bank Account #: 760164155700 (formerly: 5080104447117)
 SWIFT Code: BNIADJAXXX

or as alternative, you can pay by using PayPal to email: info@iaesjournal.com

IMPORTANT!!!

- You should submit your payment receipt (along with your camera-ready paper and similarity report by iThenticate/Turnitin that less than 20%) within 6 weeks to email: ijere@iaesjournal.com
- All correspondence should be addressed to the emails (support by phone is not provided).

International Journal of Evaluation and Research In Education (IJERE)
<http://ijere.iaescore.com>

Close

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (1)
- Archive (0)
- New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- By Issue
- By Author
- By Title

INFORMATION

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

29°C Berawan 16:55 11/12/2022

Browser tabs: whats, (5) Wi, Home, PAND, gmail, [IJERE], Sertif, PROS, UI Art

URL: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?hl=en#inbox/FMfcgzGwJvfQHvncNVMZdnqVvhXpdpdF>

Gmail Search in mail Active

6 of 2,423

rendhyaes@gmail.com
to me, k.weir, hsrkom, gunadi.hs

Dear author(s)

We are glad to inform you that IJERE Vol 12, No 4 December 2023 has been published. Herewith we send a certificate for your contribution as author in International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE). We are very thankful to publish your prestige paper. If there is any inquiry about the certificate, do not hesitate to contact us by this email. We hope you will publish more papers in the future and spread the journal among your community.

We encourage authors to share their published articles to make it more visible to others and may increase your chances of citation! Promoting your research is now easy thanks to sharing capabilities on social media websites, where you may already have numerous academic and industry connections, like on Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Google Scholar, ORCID, Mendeley, ResearchGate, Publons, or Academia.edu.

Thank you for your hardwork and cooperation!

Regards
Rendhy Saryanto
IJERE Staff
ijere.iaescore.com

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

PDF

31°C Sebagian cerah 17:29 29/01/2024

iaes International Journal of Evaluation and Research In Education (IJERE)

<http://ijere.iaescore.com> | ISSN 2252-8822, e-ISSN 2620-5440

Scopus CiteScore 2022 **2.1**
SJR 2022 0.308 SNIP 2022 0.821

Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama

HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS

Home > Archives > **Vol 12, No 4**
December 2023
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v12i4>

This is an early-access issue, which is intended to allow authors to publish their work as soon as possible. Every early-access article can be fully cited.

Table of Contents

Reimagining the future of a business education program using appreciative inquiry Alvin Barcelona, Joliber Caminong, Sam Rhyo Dela Cruz	PDF 1745-1754
Exploring the relationship between teacher job satisfaction and professional development in urban schools during a pandemic Juhji Juhji, Encep Syarifudin, Supardi Supardi, Paiman Paiman, Dina Indriana, Sutanto Sutanto, Irma Yunita Ruhawati, Ima Rahmawati	PDF 1755-1763
Student challenging behavior with a positive behavior support training for teachers: A case study Hasna Pratiwi Kuswardani, Pramesti Pradna Paramita	PDF 1764-1770
Indonesian emotion regulation scale for students based on reappraisal and suppression factor: The Rasch	PDF

USER

Username:
Password:
 Remember me
[Login](#)

CITATION ANALYSIS

- Google Scholar
- Scholar Metrics
- Scinapse
- Scopus
- ERIC
- Web of Science

QUICK LINKS

- Author Guideline
- Editorial Boards
- Online Submissions
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Contact Us

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search