



Jurnal Ekonomi Untan <pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id>

Sel, 28
Feb, 21.10

kepada saya, wayanediarsawan, bayu.wisnawa

Yth. Bpk/Ibu.

I Nengah Aristana, I Wayan Edi Arsawan, I Made Bayu Wisnawa

Menyampaikan dari editor,
Bersama ini dikirimkan 5 lampiran;
Reviewer 1 Penilaian
Reviewer 2 Penilaian
Editor catatan
Panduan Mendeley
Template

Mohon kerjasamanya untuk dapat merevisi artikel dengan;

A.Mengembalikan hasil revisi melalui

email pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id

B.Mengisi kolom jawaban penulis pada Penilaian Artikel Reviewer 1, dan 2.

C.Memberikan warna lain pada perubahan redaksi manuskrip artikel.

D.Menggunakan aplikasi Mendeley untuk sitasi dalam *body text* dan daftar pustaka.

Melengkapi sitasi pada daftar pustaka menggunakan aplikasi Mendeley yang di *highlight* warna kuning.

Kelengkapan volume, issue, dan nomor halaman.

Tidak menggunakan huruf besar semua pada sitasi.

Rujukan dengan menggunakan buku ditolerir hanya 1 buku.

Dipersilahkan sebagai penggantinya merujuk pada artikel publikasi 10 tahun terakhir.

E.Menyesuaikan revisi dengan template JEBIK.

Kemudian,

Berdasarkan *author guidelines* JEBIK 2022,

<https://jurnal.untan.ac.id/index.php/JJ/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>

Jumlah kata dalam abstrak 150-170 kata

Dipersilahkan menyesuaikan jumlah kata

dalam abstrak Bahasa Indonesia dan Bahasa Inggris 150-170 kata.

Berikutnya,

The submitted manuscript must be written in English.

Walau penulis sudah menggunakan dalam Bahasa Inggris

dapat melampirkan bukti *proofreading* dengan menggunakan *Grammarly*.

Semoga hasil revisi dapat kami terima paling lambat **Rabu, 15/03/2022.**

Sekian disampaikan.

Terima kasih atas perhatian serta kerjasamanya.

Hormat kami,
Pengelola JEBIK



Jurnal Ekonomi Untan <pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id>

Jum, 24
Mar, 11.01

kepada wayanediarsawan, bayu.wisnawa, saya

Yth. Bpk/Ibu.

I Nengah Aristana, I Wayan Edi Arsawan, I Made Bayu Wisnawa

Menyampaikan dari editor,
Bersama ini dikirimkan 3 lampiran;
Reviewer 1 Penilaian
Reviewer 2 Penilaian
Revisi Penulis yang dikirimkan 6 Maret 2023.

Mohon kerjasamanya untuk dapat merevisi artikel dengan;
A.Mengembalikan hasil revisi melalui
email pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id
B.Mengisi kolom jawaban penulis pada Penilaian Artikel Reviewer 1, dan 2.
Sebagai contoh; telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman ... paragraph

Kemudian,
Berdasarkan *author guidelines* JEBIK 2022,
<https://jurnal.untan.ac.id/index.php/JJ/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>
Jumlah kata dalam abstrak 150-170 kata.

Dipersilahkan menyiapkan abstrak Bahasa Indonesia dan abstrak Bahasa Inggris
150-170 kata.

Berikutnya,
The submitted manuscript must be written in English.
Walau penulis sudah menggunakan dalam Bahasa Inggris
dapat melampirkan bukti *proofreading* dengan menggunakan *Grammarly*.

Semoga hasil revisi dapat kami terima paling lambat **Jumat, 07/04/2022.**

Sekian disampaikan.
Terima kasih atas perhatian serta kerjasamanya.

Hormat kami,
Pengelola JEBIK



Jurnal Ekonomi Untan <pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id>

Sen, 10
Apr, 12.10

kepada saya

Yth. Bapak I Nengah Aristana, I Wayan Edi Arsawan & I Made Bayu Wisnawa
di tempat

Bersama ini disampaikan, terkait persiapan publikasi JEBIK April 2023 terdapat *Article Processing Charge* (APC) sebesar Rp 1.500.000 dan Biaya *Proofread* Rp 35.000/lembar diluar tabel, gambar dan daftar pustaka. Artikel Saudara dikenakan biaya *proofread* sebesar Rp 385.000 (11 hlm).

Sehingga total yang harus dibayarkan sebesar **Rp 1.885.000** ke rekening **BNI 1509844441 an. Metasari Kartika**.

Pembayaran paling lambat tanggal **17 April 2023**. Mohon kerjasamanya untuk mengirimkan bukti penyelesaian transfer atau setor tunai ke pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id .

Sekian disampaikan.

Salam hormat
Yuni Kusuma Wati
Admin JEBIK



Jurnal Ekonomi Untan <pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id>

Sel, 18 Apr,
16.10

kepada wayanediarsawan, bayu.wisnawa, saya

Yth. Bpk/Ibu.

I Nengah Aristana, I Wayan Edi Arsawan, I Made Bayu Wisnawa

Menyampaikan dari editor,

Bersama ini dikirimkan 2 lampiran;

Hasil revisi 01-04.2023 dan hasil publikasi JEBIK.

Berkenaan persiapan publikasi JEBIK April 2023,
Mohon kerjasamanya untuk menyesuaikan gambar pada halaman 13
Sesuai dengan hasil publikasi halaman 268 dimana gambar tidak berwarna.

Dipersilahkan melengkapi abstrak Bahasa Indonesia dan abstrak Bahasa
Inggris
150-170 kata.

Selanjutnya,

Mohon kerjasamanya untuk menyesuaikan dengan reference
Sebagai contoh di halaman 273 pada publikasi JEBIK.

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015).

A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based
structural equation modeling.

Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43(1), 115–135.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>

Nama terakhir, inisial nama depan

Kemudian, nama terakhir penulis kedua, diikuti inisial nama depan dan
tengah,

Selanjutnya, nama terakhir penulis ketiga, diikuti inisial nama depan.

Untuk tahun dalam tanda kurung.

Judul artikel jurnal tidak menggunakan tanda pengutipan.

Nama jurnal dengan huruf miring.

Volume dan issue.

Berikutnya nomor halaman.

Semoga hasil revisi dapat kami terima paling lambat **Rabu, 26/04/2023.**

Sekian disampaikan.
Terima kasih atas perhatian serta kerjasamanya.

Hormat kami,
Pengelola JEBIK

Jurnal Ekonomi Untan

Sel, 9 Mei, 11.37

kepada saya

Mohon untuk mengirimkan nomor wa untuk kelancaran komunikasi terkait proofread. Terima kasih

Salam,

Yuni Kusuma Wati

Admin JEBIK

FORMULIR PENILAIAN ARTIKEL (DOUBLE BLIND-REVIEW)

Judul Artikel : IMPROVE EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION: DO TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE MATTER?

Nomor Artikel : 58906

Bagian I
Penilaian Artikel

No	Item Penilaian	Kolom Penilaian*			Komentar Reviewer	Jawaban Penulis
		1	2	3		
A.	ABSTRAK					
1	Abstrak mengandung unsur-unsur utama berikut: a) Tujuan penelitian, b) Metode yang digunakan, c) Hasil yang diperoleh, dan d) kesimpulan singkat/ intepretasi dari hasil yang didapatkan			x		
2	Abstrak disajikan dengan redaksional, gramatikal, dan sistematika yang baik.		x		Abstract perlu di proofread lagi agar tidak terlihat seperti google translate	Dilakukan proofread ulang (hal. 1 bag. abstrak)
B.	PENDAHULUAN					
1	Latar belakang mampu menjelaskan isu, gejala permasalahan, rumusan masalah, tujuan, kebaruan dan kontribusi riset secara baik dan sistematis.		x		Kontribusi penelitian belum diungkapkan dan dijelaskan kenapa ini penting diteliti. Bahasa juga masih ada yang bercampur dengan bahasa Indonesia	Telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman 2 dan 3 Paragraf 5)
2	Terdapat kesenjangan hasil penelitian terhadap hal yang diteliti (<i>research gap</i>)		x		Kesenjangan juga belum diungkapkan	Telah ditambahkan penjelasan tentang riset gap pada halaman 2 paragraf 3

C. KAJIAN LITERATUR						
1	Literatur dan teori relevan dengan isu yang diangkat.			x	Tetapi masih banyak yang dalam bahasa Indonesia	Telah dilakukan proofread pada keseluruhan naskah hal 1 s/d 16 dengan menggunakan jasa translator
2	Literatur dan teori disampaikan dengan bahasa yang padat dan ringkas sehingga mampu mengarahkan hasil sintesis teori/riset terdahulu dan konsep-konsep penting lainnya ke model penelitian dan hipotesis yang dikembangkan.		x		Hubungan antar variabel dalam membentuk hipotesis masih lemah. Literatur yg digunakan juga banyak yang sudah usang. Sebaiknya gunakan 5 tahun terakhir	Telah dilakukan penambahan penjelasan setiap hubungan dalam hipotesis halaman 4 s/d 6 pada naskah telah di highlight kuning
3	Kebaruan dan kontribusi riset telah diuraikan secara baik dan sistematis.	x			Kebaruan belum kelihatan	Telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman 4 sub. Employee job satisfaction
D. METODE PENELITIAN						
1	Penyampaian metode penelitian disajikan secara lengkap (diperkenankan berbentuk narasi atau dikombinasikan tabel dan gambar).			x		
2	Metode penelitian menjelaskan : jenis penelitian, populasi, sampel, teknik pengambilan sampel, instrumen riset (jika ada), pilot test (jika ada), responden/partisipan (jika ada), alat analisis, dan teknik pengujian		x		Metode penelitian belum secara lengkap menjelaskan teknik pengambilan sampel sehingga menjadi 240 jumlah responden.	Jumlah populasi sebanyak 54 hotel kemudian penentuan sampel menggunakan formulasi Krijcie & Morgan sehingga jumlah sampel berjumlah 48 hotel. Selanjutnya penentuan responden direkrut 5 karyawan pada level

	hipotesis (untuk riset kuantitatif).					manajemen dan memiliki pengalaman min. 2 tahun sehingga responden berjumlah 240 (5x48). Telah dijelaskan dalam naskah pada 6 dan 7 bagian populasi dan sampel
3	Untuk riset kuantitatif, artikel telah memuat model penelitian dan kerangka penelitian.			x		
E.	HASIL & PEMBAHASAN					
1	Hasil pembahasan sudah memuat penjelasan hipotesis penelitian serta dukungan hasil penelitian sebelumnya.			x	Bahasa perlu di proofread	Telah dilakukan proofread pada keseluruhan naskah hal 1 s/d 16 dengan menggunakan jasa translator
2	Penyampaian pembahasan jelas dan sesuai dengan hasil penelitian.			x		
3	Penyampaian hasil dan pembahasan informatif sehingga tidak melakukan <i>copy-paste</i> langsung dari hasil oleh <i>software</i> statistik.			x		
F.	SIMPULAN & REKOMENDASI					
1	Simpulan telah menjawab semua tujuan penelitian serta memberikan alasan atas hasil penelitian			x		
2	Rekomendasi yang diberikan sesuai dan		x		Rekomendasi belum kelihatan	Telah dilakukan revisi pada hal. 16 paragraf 1

	realistis.					pada manajerial implication
3	Penulis menyampaikan berbagai keterbatasan dalam risetnya, yang diikuti dengan arahan untuk penyempurnaan riset ke depan bagi peneliti selanjutnya			x		
G. DAFTAR PUSTAKA						
1	Referensi yang digunakan relevan, sesuai, mutakhir, dan cukup			x		
2	Sumber pustaka yang digunakan paling tidak 80% bersumber dari artikel yang dipublikasikan oleh jurnal nasional atau internasional dan diterbitkan dalam 10 tahun terakhir.			x		

Catatan Khusus Reviewer:

Bahasa perlu diperbaiki dan di proofread oleh proofreader profesional. Kontribusi penelitian belum tergambar pada pendahuluan dan pembahasan. Literature review yang menghubungkan antar variable perlu dipertajam dan diganti dengan literatur terbaru

Keterangan:

* Berikan tanda "x" pada opsi yang dipilih dengan kategori berikut:

- 1: Lemah
- 2: Baik
- 3: Sangat Baik

Bagian II

Rekomendasi kepada Editor JEBIK

Setelah membaca dan melakukan penilaian, maka saya menyarankan supaya Artikel ini (berikan tanda "x" pada rekomendasi yang dipilih):



Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan

Jebik

Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan
Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Tanjungpura

diterbitkan oleh Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Tanjungpura, p-ISSN: 2087-9954, e-ISSN: 2550-0066

Jl. Prof. Hadari Nawawi, Pontianak – Kalimantan Barat (78121)

Website: <http://jurnal.untan.ac.id/index.php/JEBIK>

email: pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id

Diterima	
Revisi Minor	
Revisi Mayor	x
Ditolak untuk dipublikasikan	

**FORMULIR PENILAIAN ARTIKEL
(DOUBLE BLIND-REVIEW)**

Judul Artikel : IMPROVE EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION: DO TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE MATTER?

Nomor Artikel : 58906

Bagian I

Penilaian Artikel

No	Item Penilaian	Kolom Penilaian*			Komentar Reviewer	Jawaban Penulis
		1	2	3		
A. ABSTRAK						
1	Abstrak mengandung unsur-unsur utama berikut: a) Tujuan penelitian, b) Metode yang digunakan, c) Hasil yang diperoleh, dan d) kesimpulan singkat/ intepretasi dari hasil yang didapatkan			x	Terdapat Tujuan, Metode, Hasil, dan implikasi praktis.	
2	Abstrak disajikan dengan redaksional, gramatikal, dan sistematika yang baik.		x		Gramatikal perlu dirapihkan.	Dilakukan proofread ulang (hal. 1 bag. abstrak)
B. PENDAHULUAN						
1	Latar belakang mampu menjelaskan isu, gejala permasalahan, rumusan masalah, tujuan, kebaruan dan kontribusi riset secara baik dan sistematis.		x		Ada research gap dan fenomena gap yang dijelaskan peneliti walau sebenarnya perlu diperbanyak jumlahnya.	Telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman 2 dan 3 pada paragraph 2, 5 dan 6
2	Terdapat kesenjangan hasil penelitian terhadap hal yang diteliti (<i>research gap</i>)		x		Walau terdapat kesenjangan hasil penelitian sebelumnya, namun	Telah ditambahkan penjelasan tentang riset gap pada halaman 2 paragraf 3

					akan lebih baik jika ditambahkan jumlahnya.	
C. KAJIAN LITERATUR						
1	Literatur dan teori relevan dengan isu yang diangkat.	x			Masih ada “Bahasa Indonesia “Kepemimpinan Transformasional” disini – kurang teliti	Telah dilakukan proofread pada keseluruhan naskah hal 1 s/d 16 dengan menggunakan jasa translator
2	Literatur dan teori disampaikan dengan bahasa yang padat dan ringkas sehingga mampu mengarahkan hasil sintesis teori/riset terdahulu dan konsep-konsep penting lainnya ke model penelitian dan hipotesis yang dikembangkan.		x		Merapihkan struktur bahasa yang digunakan.	Telah dilakukan proofread pada keseluruhan naskah hal 1 s/d 16 dengan menggunakan jasa translator
3	Kebaruhan dan kontribusi riset telah diuraikan secara baik dan sistematis.		x		Kebaharuan kurang menonjol di penelitian ini (perlu pengungkapan yang lebih mendukung kepada kebaruannya)	Telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman 4 sub. Employee job satisfaction
D. METODE PENELITIAN						
1	Penyampaian metode penelitian disajikan secara lengkap (diperkenalkan berbentuk narasi atau dikombinasikan tabel dan gambar).		x		Perlu dilengkapi.	Telah dilakukan perbaikan pada halaman 6 dan 7 Research methods
2	Metode penelitian menjelaskan : jenis penelitian, populasi, sampel,	x			Masih ditemukan Bahasa Indonesia “Populasi dan Sampel” – kurang	Telah dilakukan revisi pada halaman 6 sub. Populasi dan sampel dan telah dilakukan

	teknik pengambilan sampel, instrumen riset (jika ada), pilot test (jika ada), responden/partisipan (jika ada), alat analisis, dan teknik pengujian hipotesis (untuk riset kuantitatif).				teliti	Proofread pada naskah
3	Untuk riset kuantitatif, artikel telah memuat model penelitian dan kerangka penelitian.		x		Walau terdapat model penelitian namun bentuknya sederhana, sementara dari Hasil dan diskusi, peneliti sepertinya tidak hanya menggunakan spss saja namun juga PLS (ini belum ada penjelasan dan gambar versi PLS)	Telah ditambahkan model penelitian output Smart PLS 3.2.9 pada halaman 13 Figure 1
E.	HASIL & PEMBAHASAN					
1	Hasil pembahasan sudah memuat penjelasan hipotesis penelitian serta dukungan hasil penelitian sebelumnya.		x		Perlu tambahan penjelasan dikaitkan dengan hipotesis	Telah dilakukan revisi pada bagian pembahasan pada halaman 14 s/d 15
2	Penyampaian pembahasan jelas dan sesuai dengan hasil penelitian.		x		Perlu memperjelas Bahasa yang digunakan	Telah dilakukan revisi pada bagian pembahasan pada halaman 14 s/d 15
3	Penyampaian hasil dan pembahasan informatif sehingga tidak melakukan <i>copy-paste</i> langsung dari hasil oleh <i>software</i> statistik.		x		Walaupun tidak copy paste langsung dari aplikasi spss 21, namun perlu dirapihkan tabel menyesuaikan dengan template	Telah dilakukan penyesuaian pembuatan table dari table 1 s/d 11 pada halaman 8 s/d 15 sesuai dengan template

jurnal.						
F. SIMPULAN & REKOMENDASI						
1	Simpulan telah menjawab semua tujuan penelitian serta memberikan alasan atas hasil penelitian		x		Sudah menjawab tujuan namun argumentasi perlu diperluas	Telah dilakukan revisi pada bagian kesimpulan pada halaman 15
2	Rekomendasi yang diberikan sesuai dan realistis.		x		Walau terdapat rekomendasi, namun perlu dipertegas lebih lanjut khususnya berkenaan implikasi teoritis sesuai teori yang digunakan dalam penelitian.	Telah dilakukan revisi pada bagian kontribusi penelitian pada halaman 16 paragraph 1
3	Penulis menyampaikan berbagai keterbatasan dalam risetnya, yang diikuti dengan arahan untuk penyempurnaan riset ke depan bagi peneliti selanjutnya			x	Terdapat keterbatasan yang disampaikan oleh peneliti.	Telah tambahkan pada bagian keterbatasan dari penelitian ini pada halaman 16 paragraph 2
G. DAFTAR PUSTAKA						
1	Referensi yang digunakan relevan, sesuai, mutakhir, dan cukup		x		Perlu di tambahkan rujukan khususnya yang berasal dari artikel jurnal kurang dari 10 tahun.	Telah ditambahkan refrensi terbaru pada seluruh bagian naskah 1 s/d 16 dan telah di lampirkan pada bagian references
2	Sumber pustaka yang digunakan paling tidak 80% bersumber dari artikel yang dipublikasikan oleh jurnal nasional atau internasional dan diterbitkan dalam 10 tahun terakhir.		x		Masih terdapat lebih dari 20% rujukan yang lebih dari 10 tahun terakhir.	Telah ditambahkan refrensi terbaru pada seluruh bagian naskah 1 s/d 16



Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan
Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Tanjungpura

Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan

diterbitkan oleh Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Tanjungpura, p-ISSN: 2087-9954, e-ISSN: 2550-0066

Jl. Prof. Hadari Nawawi, Pontianak – Kalimantan Barat (78121)

Website: <http://jurnal.untan.ac.id/index.php/JEBIK>

email: pengelola.jurnal@ekonomi.untan.ac.id

Catatan Khusus Reviewer:

Masih ada “Bahasa Indonesia” di beberapa tempat.
Penggunaan aplikasi PLS belum di ungkap termasuk gambar nya.

Keterangan:

* Berikan tanda “x” pada opsi yang dipilih dengan kategori berikut:

1: Lemah

2: Baik “x”

3: Sangat Baik

Bagian II

Rekomendasi kepada Editor JEBIK

Setelah membaca dan melakukan penilaian, maka saya menyarankan supaya Artikel ini (berikan tanda “x” pada rekomendasi yang dipilih):

Diterima	
Revisi Minor	X
Revisi Mayor	
Ditolak untuk dipublikasikan	

58906-PENULIS INA 01.04.2023

General metrics

74,870	9,846	1185	39 min 23 sec	1 hr 15 min
characters	words	sentences	reading time	speaking time

Score



This text scores better than 92% of all texts checked by Grammarly

Writing Issues

323	103	220
Issues left	Critical	Advanced

Plagiarism

This text hasn't been checked for plagiarism

Writing Issues

67

Clarity


58

Passive voice misuse



5

Intricate text



4

Wordy sentences



240

Correctness

3

Text inconsistencies




115

Incorrect citation format




15

Punctuation in compound/complex sentences



1

Misplaced words or phrases



4

Improper formatting




2

Determiner use (a/an/the/this, etc.)




11

Comma misuse within clauses




2

Misspelled words




43

Unknown words



42

Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.



1

Closing punctuation



1

Incomplete sentences



6

Delivery

3

Tone suggestions



3

Inappropriate colloquialisms



10

Engagement

10

Word choice



Unique Words

Measures vocabulary diversity by calculating the percentage of words used only once in your document

17%unique words

Rare Words

Measures depth of vocabulary by identifying words that are not among the 5,000 most common English words.

36%rare words

Word Length

Measures average word length

5characters per word

Sentence Length

Measures average sentence length

8.3words per sentence

58906-PENULIS INA 01.04.2023

22 Aristana, Arsawan & Wisnawa

Improving Employee Job Satisfaction: Do Transformational Leadership and Organization Culture Matter?

21

Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan (JEBIK)

Tahun, Volume, Nomor, Halaman

1 Email: aristana@unmas.ac.id

1

IMPROVING EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION: DO TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE MATTER?

I Nengah Aristana¹

Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia

I Wayan Edi Arsawan²

Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia

I Made Bayu Wisnawa³

Universitas Triatma Mulya Bali, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aims to validate the determinants of employee job satisfaction in the hospitality industry. This study employed a quantitative approach with a

questionnaire as a data collection tool distributed to 240 five-star hotel employees. The research data collected were analyzed using SmartPLS 3.2.9. Subsequently, this study revealed that transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction and was related to organizational culture. Meanwhile, organizational culture was confirmed¹ to fully intervene between transformational leadership and the dimensions of employee job satisfaction. Further², this study still has some limitations, i.e., bias from using self-assessment reports. Also, practical implications were offered³. Thus, managers could better understand the relationship between variables and how the role of mediation⁴ is shown⁵. Consequently, they would acquire more in-depth information as references in policy making. Theoretically, this research contributes to the knowledge base where the existing organizational culture significantly determines employee job satisfaction.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Keywords: transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memvalidasi determinan kepuasan kerja karyawan di industri perhotelan. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif dengan kuesioner sebagai alat pengumpulan data yang dibagikan kepada 240 karyawan hotel bintang lima. Data penelitian yang terkumpul dianalisis menggunakan SmartPLS 3.2.9. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini mengungkapkan bahwa kepemimpinan transformasional tidak mempengaruhi kepuasan kerja karyawan dan berhubungan dengan budaya organisasi. Sementara itu, budaya organisasi dipastikan mengintervensi sepenuhnya antara kepemimpinan transformasional dan dimensi kepuasan kerja karyawan. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini masih memiliki beberapa keterbatasan, yaitu bias dari penggunaan self-assessment report. Juga, implikasi praktis yang

ditawarkan. Dengan demikian, manajer dapat memperoleh pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang hubungan antara variabel dan bagaimana peran mediasi ditunjukkan. Dengan demikian, mereka akan memperoleh informasi yang lebih mendalam sebagai referensi dalam pengambilan kebijakan. Secara teoritis, penelitian ini memberikan kontribusi terhadap basis pengetahuan dimana budaya organisasi yang ada secara signifikan menentukan kepuasan kerja karyawan.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Kata Kunci: kepemimpinan transformasional, budaya organisasi, dan kepuasan kerja karyawan

INTRODUCTION

The hospitality industry in Bali has been proliferating and undergoing a massive transformation in the last ten years, significantly contributing to the economy's improvement (Yao, Qiu, and Wei 2019). The recent literature that discusses the hotel business still needs to be expanded regarding systematic and in-depth studies, which affect several aspects, i.e., human resources in the hotel (Aristana et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). Along with its rapid development, the hotel business always strives to obtain competent human resources to provide services that meet international standards (Astuti, Ginaya, and Sadguna 2018). It is integrated⁶ with the perception that the primary product of a hotel is services or intangibles (Hewagama et al. 2019)⁷. Consequently, it is crucial to investigate satisfaction in improving employee performance, especially in the hotel industry; considering behavioral theory, job satisfaction is a predictor of⁸ employee retention (Khan et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020).

Previous studies have shown that leadership is critical in increasing employee job satisfaction (Aristana, Junipisa, and Dwitrayani 2021)⁹. Many studies have

developed the concept of leadership to the assumption that leadership behavior is in a range of many situations (Asencio, 2016; Chen et al., 2021). Transformational leadership is considered the most effective leadership style in empowering (Krishnan 2012; Zeb et al. 2021¹⁰), fostering organizational culture (Chi 2008; Sabuhari et al. 2020)^{11 12}, motivating employees (Afsar & Umrani, 2019; Chen et al., 2009)¹³, and being able to increase employee job satisfaction (Boamah et al. 2018; Chi 2008; Ekowati, Troena, and Noermijati 2013)^{14,15,16}. This study examines transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction and their essential role in addressing ever-changing challenges (Huikko-Tarvainen, Sajasalo, and Auvinen 2021), especially in the hotel industry. In addition, transformational leadership is regarded^{17,18} as the baseline for building organizational culture and increasing satisfaction (Hussain and Khayat 2021)^{19,20}. This study fulfills some gaps that exist in previous studies. First, transformational leadership can increase employee job satisfaction in manufacturing companies (Arsawan et al. 2022; Chi 2008; Eliyana, Ma'arif, and Muzakki 2019; Mufti et al. 2020)²¹. However, the success of transformational leadership in the hotel business is still not²² extensively explored. Furthermore, Ohunakin et al. (2019) study suggests that inspirational motivation and intellectual stimulation did not positively affect employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, it is recommended for a transformational leadership style to embrace attitudes and behaviors better despite previous studies mentioning that leader support can increase employee satisfaction (Aristana et al., 2022). Practically, transformational leadership has a positive psychological impact on employees (Mesu, Sanders, and Riemsdijk 2015). Second, based on the gap in study results regarding the impact of transformational leadership and job satisfaction (Ohunakin et al. 2019; Thamrin 2012)²³, this study recommends organizational culture to address existing gaps (Cummings et al. 2018)²⁴.

Therefore, transformational leaders must recognize the existence of organizational culture. Given the unstable nature of the business environment, leaders must adapt individuals, teams, and organizations to the changes that occur (Bagga et al., 2022).

Third, the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational culture has not yet been measured in profit-oriented businesses (Acosta-Prado et al. 2020),²⁶ notably in the hotel industry (Mohamed et al. 2013; Patiar and Wang 2016).^{27,28} The organizational culture of companies in developing countries is varied, given many adaptations of cultures that heavily contain local values (Chen et al., 2020; Mudana et al., 2018). Furthermore, Pawirosumarto et al. (2017) found that organizational culture is crucial in leadership and employee satisfaction. Consequently, this study discusses transformational leadership and organizational culture influencing employee job satisfaction.

This study is conducted²⁹ with a focus on the hotel industry in Bali, following several considerations. First, the hotel is one of the industries supporting tourism development in Bali. The hotel provides extensive job opportunities for employees and job seekers. However, many become Indonesian migrant workers (Dinita 2013; Nuraeny 2017).³⁰ This phenomenon raises the question of whether hotel employees are satisfied (hygiene factor and motivator factor). Second, COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on hotel management. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct studies on human resources in this industry, considering that job satisfaction is critical to improving performance (Aristana et al., 2022; Ineson et al., 2013). Third, the hotel industry is a service business that prioritizes service; thus, an investigation is required³¹ regarding job satisfaction from employee perceptions (Hewagama et al. 2019)³² since human resources have a crucial role in the service process. Based on this phenomenon, this study has several objectives: 1) does transformational

leadership affect organizational culture and employee job satisfaction? 2) does organizational culture affect employee job satisfaction? ³³ and 3) does organizational culture mediate the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction?

This study constructs a research model that develops the relationship between transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction to fill existing gaps. In addition, this study has a design to examine the factors that shape employee job satisfaction and examine the role of organizational culture in mediating transformational leadership relationships. Consequently, this study's results can enrich references of transformational leadership studies, provide future research directions, offer hotel industry leaders insights into the significance of transformational leadership styles and organizational culture, and increase employee job satisfaction toward hotel performance. Based on these rationales, this study will undergo further investigation. LITERATURE REVIEW

Two-Factor Theory

Two-factor theory is a motivation theory that links intrinsic factors (motivator factors) and extrinsic factors (hygiene factors) to job satisfaction and dissatisfaction (Jensen and Luthans 2006; Nowiński et al. 2019; Singh and Bhattacharjee 2020). ^{35,36,37,38,39} The two-factor theory was proposed by an American psychologist (Herzberg 1987) ⁴⁰ regarding variables that are ⁴¹ perceived as desirable to achieve goals and adverse conditions that must be avoided ⁴² (Locke and Latham 2019). ^{43,44} Herzberg asserts that the absence of this motivator factor will not result in significant job dissatisfaction; instead can provide high job satisfaction (Utley et al., 1997; Alfayad and Mohd, 2017). ⁴⁵ Furthermore, the hygiene factor can motivate them to work harder. Thus, the absence of the hygiene factor can cause insufficient work among the employees. The absence

of hygiene factors can also lead to dissatisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2022; Thant and Chang 2021).^{46,47} Hygiene factor includes company policies, supervision, salary, working conditions, workplace safety and health, relationships with colleagues, physical workplaces, and relationships between superiors and subordinates (Ruthankoon and Ogunlana, 2003).⁴⁸

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership has an essential need in its role in building a work climate by inspiring subordinates through motivation (Jaiswal and Dhar 2015).^{49,E}

In addition, transformational leadership is explained⁵¹ as a process that influences changes in the attitudes and perceptions of subordinates and can influence the organization's missions, goals, and strategies (Lehmann-Willenbrock et al., 2015; Babić et al., 2014). Mittal & Dhar (2015) transformational leaders succeed in changing the focus of their subordinates from self-interest into a collective vision and can inspire subordinates to do work beyond their obligations. The difference in characteristics shown by leaders distinguishes transformational leadership behavior (Deinert et al. 2015). . Ågotnes et al. (2021) argue that transformational leadership is a resource and reward for employees of the imbalance between effort and reward.

Theoretically, transformational leadership can be stated⁵³ as a type of leadership that can manage subordinates to achieve organizational goals.

Organizational culture

Organizational culture is shared and believed values firmly embedded by leaders and permeated by all members of the organization (Koranteng et al., 2022). Organizational culture represents the shared values, beliefs, and principles of organizational members (Nikpour 2017).⁵⁴ These shared values and beliefs influence the behavior of the organization's, impacting organizational decisions and effectiveness (Meng and Berger 2019).^{55,56} Thus organizational

culture connects groups/people who work in the same organization (Tseng 2010),⁵⁷ and organizational culture can differentiate organizations from one another (Pawirosumarto et al., 2017; Aziz et al., 2019). Sometimes the organizational culture that is formed⁵⁸ can be a strategy to create a sustainable competitive advantage (González-Rodríguez et al. 2019). Raharjo et al. (2018) explained that organizational culture could help employees foster a sense of belonging, community, attitudes, and what to do. Subsequently, it can be concluded⁵⁹ that organizational culture can connect all shared elements into a common belief (Aristana, Junipisa, and Yogantara 2020).

Employee Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction has been a fascinating topic for researchers and is defined⁶⁰ as an accepted difference from the work done (Sajid 2016).⁶¹ Thus, organizational culture significantly determines job satisfaction (I. Nengah Aristana et al. 2022). Employee satisfaction is a consequence of events and indicates personal well-being (Cho and Park 2011).^{62,63,64} Besides, the ability to adapt is crucial (Tran 2021).⁶⁵ However, job satisfaction highly depends on the organization (Chandra et al. 2019).⁶⁶ Moreover,⁶⁷ accepting attitudes toward the work environment determines their satisfaction level (Liu et al., 2020; Ko & Choi, 2019). It is primarily determined by employee behavior (Chen & Wang, 2019). Based on the existing theory, employee job satisfaction can be explained⁶⁸ as a feeling that is owned in work following the results obtained and is mainly determined⁶⁹ by the individual, the environment, and the organization. This study tries to reduce employee job satisfaction through two dimensions, i.e., hygiene and motivator factors. These two factors determine whether an employee feels satisfaction or dissatisfaction (Herzberg 1987).⁷⁰

Hypothesis Development

Transformational Leadership and Employee Job Satisfaction

The concept of transformational leadership was developed (Burns 1978)⁷¹ and continued by (Bass 1985)⁷², where the transformational leadership type is believed to direct the organization to achieve excellence when addressing renewal and transformation (Thamrin 2012)⁷³. Several empirical studies show a positive relationship and increased employee productivity and organizational performance through employee satisfaction (Khan et al., 2020; Labrague et al., 2020; Moin et al., 2021). In order to achieve all of that, it can be supported by applying a transformational leadership style. Applying transformational leadership directly⁷⁴ increases employee satisfaction (Ekowati et al. 2013;⁷⁵ Eliyana et al. 2019)^{76, 77}, which impacts team satisfaction and collective team performance (Braun et al., 2013; Top et al., 2015). Chen et al. (2021) report that transformational leadership is proven to help employees improve their abilities, can provide a sense of equality, and raises awareness. Applying transformational leadership behavior by leaders is helpful as a strategy to create better working conditions (Boamah et al. 2018)⁷⁸. Based on the findings of previous studies, the authors formulate the first hypothesis as follows:

H1: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor)

Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture

Discussion on organizational culture cannot be separated⁷⁹ from the role of leaders as decision-makers (Gurmani et al. 2021)⁸⁰. Following its meaning, organizational culture is perceived⁸¹ as shared values and beliefs deeply rooted and significantly determined by top managers' roles (Song, Shi, and Zhou)⁸² 2022). Previous studies explain that leadership relates to an organizational culture built within the organizations (Koranteng et al., 2022). Further, it is inseparable from the ability of transformational leadership to build a work culture and be able to bring the organization to a higher intensity of

competition (Azhar and Yang 2022)^{83,84,85} and can bring change to the organization (Khan et al., 2020; Rijal, 2016;⁸⁶ Shahzad et al., 2018). Consequently, several studies report that transformational leadership significantly affects organizational culture (Abbasi and Zamani-Miandashti 2013; Al-Shibami et al. 2019)^{87,88} because transformational leaders are more suitable for technical matters in the workplace and organizational effectiveness (Tayal, Upadhyay, and Singh 2022). Practically managers must adopt transformational leadership to foster a culture of engagement to acquire a quality management approach (Lasrado and Kassem 2020)^{89,90}. Looking at the results of previous studies, the authors formulate the second hypothesis as follows:

H2: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on organizational culture

Organizational Culture and Employee Job Satisfaction

Organizational culture is a comprehensive concept related to a consensus that has yet to be fully achieved.⁹¹ Organizational culture is a shared belief about the values, assumptions, and meanings associated with members of the organization (Jardioui, Garengo, and El Alami 2019). In addition, organizational culture is a critical element for driving organizational effectiveness and is a connecting element between strategy, structure, and work practices (Hartnell et al. 2019)^{92,93}. Organizational constructive culture is group norms that encourage achievement, decision-making participation, teamwork, social support, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization (Simosi and Xenikou 2010)^{94,95}. Therefore, this culture can increase employee job satisfaction (Chi, 2008; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009; Dirani, 2009; Pawirosumarto et al., 2017). These findings further reinforce that the organization's organizational culture relates to employee job satisfaction (Al-Sada, Al-Esmael, and Faisal 2017). Although the relevance of cultural emphasis and satisfaction has yet to be

⁹⁶ explicitly discussed, the owned culture increases satisfaction and performance (Dirisu et al. 2018 ^{97 98}). So the authors formulate the third hypothesis as follows: H3: There is a positive influence between organizational culture on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Mediating Role of Organizational Culture

The significance of organizational culture has been confirmed ⁹⁹ in several studies, where organizational culture can have a positive impact on employee satisfaction and performance (Al-Sada et al. 2017; Dirisu et al. 2018) ¹⁰⁰.

Satisfaction is an employee's perception of the work environment, relationships with co-workers, organizational goals and strategies, and success factors. This organizational culture series impacts employee attitudes and behavior related to function and welfare (Belias and Koustelios 2014) ^{101,102}.

However, when organizational culture is involved in the relationship between transformational leadership and job satisfaction, organizational culture does not ¹⁰⁴ show intervention (Sow, Murphy, and Osuoha 2017); thus, organizational culture is not stated as a mediator (Irwan et al. 2020) ¹⁰³. In other studies, organizational culture is not mediating the relationship between

transformational leadership and organizational beliefs (Abdullah et al., 2015).

However, other studies (e.g., Meng & Berger, 2019) report that organizational culture shows high intervention in the relationship between leadership and employee satisfaction. Likewise, Metwally et al. (2019) found that

organizational culture mediates increased leadership relationships with satisfaction. The role of organizational culture in mediating the relationship between transformational leadership and satisfaction is still being debated ¹⁰⁵, so this study re-examines this relationship. Seeing the gaps in the results of previous studies, the authors tried to formulate the fourth hypothesis as follows:

H4: Organizational culture mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Research Concept Framework

Based on the formulated hypothesis, the conceptual framework of the research is shown in Figure 1. This study measures the direct influence of transformational leadership and organizational culture on employee job satisfaction, in addition to the organizational culture variable used as a mediator. Therefore, the variables used in the prediction can explain employee job satisfaction.

Figure 1. Research Concept Framework

RESEARCH METHODS

Population and Sample

This research was conducted at five-star hotels across 6 regencies in Bali Province (Badung 40, Gianyar 6, Denpasar 4, Tabanan 2, Karangasem 1, and Singaraja 1). These six regions became models for tourism development in Bali Province. Thus, the population of this study was 54 five-star hotels.

Determination of the sample in this study employed the (Krejcie and Morgan 1970)'s formulation in which we obtained 48 five-star hotels that were proposed as a sample framework. We selected five employees from each five-star hotel resulting in 240 respondents. The selection of employees was based on two criteria. First, the selected employees were at the management level in a five-star hotel. Second, they must have at least two years of experience in their current position. Questionnaire distribution was conducted online via email and offline using a manual questionnaire during visits to the selected hotels. The data collection was conducted from December 2021 to July 2022.

The characteristics of the respondents ¹¹⁵ are illustrated in Table 1. The distribution of the questionnaire ¹¹⁶ was carried out in two stages. The first questionnaire was distributed to 30 respondents to test validity and reliability (using IMB SPSS 21). The instrument was valid if it had a correlation coefficient product-moment value (r) greater than 0.3 ($r > 0.3$) and was reliable if it had a Cronbach Alpha value greater than 0.6 ($CA > 0.6$) (Hair et al., 2013). When the instrument was valid and reliable, it was followed by distributing questionnaires to the targeted respondents. Furthermore, the collected data was analyzed using Smart PLS 3.2.9.

Measurement

The study measures three main variables, i.e., transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction. The application of the assessment of each research variable uses a five-point Likert scale (1 strongly disagree to 5 strongly agree). Transformational leadership is measured through four dimensions with 12 statement items adopted from previous research (Maquieira et al., 2020).

The idealized influence ¹¹⁷ was measured by a future mission, values ¹¹⁸ and beliefs, and inspired loyalty.

¹¹⁹ The inspirational motivation ¹²⁰ was measured by communicative culture, integration and team mobilization, and behavioral consistency.

Intellectual stimulation was measured by continuous improvement, knowing the group's needs, and thinking of new ways.

Individualized consideration was measured by considering personal feelings, best thinking, and the benefits of achieving goals.

To measure organizational culture using three dimensions with 14 items adopted from the research ¹²¹ (Tseng 2010), that is:

Clan culture was shown¹²² by kinship, the leader as a mentor, high commitment, and prioritizing cohesion and morals (Keskin et al. 2005)¹²³.

Adhocracy culture was described as a dynamic and entrepreneurial spirit, a leader as an entrepreneur and innovator, a commitment to innovation, and a readiness to address new challenges (Keskin et al. 2005)¹²⁴.

Hierarchy culture was measured¹²⁵ by formal procedures, leadership by example, management style, formal policies, implementation of controls, and organizational efficiency (Alqudah, Carballo-Penela, and Ruzo-Sanmartín 2022).

The employee job satisfaction variable was explained through two dimensions with 8¹²⁶ items adopted from the research (Phuong et al. 2018)¹²⁷:

Motivator factors were explained¹²⁸ with indicators, i.e., rights and responsibilities, career development, and job descriptions.

Hygiene factors were explained¹²⁹ with indicators, i.e., teamwork, salary, relationships with colleagues, leadership, and work environment.

Table 1. Respondent Demographics

Employees detail (n=240)

Frequency

%

Gender

Male

127

52.90

Female

113

47.10

Education

Senior High School

46

19.17

Diploma

102

42.50

Bachelor

88

36.67

Postgraduate

4

1.67

Experience (in years)

1 – 10 Years

212

88.33

11 – 20 Years

16

6.67

21 - 30 and above

12

5.00

Department

House Keeping

30

12.50

Accounting

45

18.75

Public Relations

17

7.08

HRD

18

7.50

Restaurant

60

25.00

Marketing

10

4.17

Front Office

34

14.17

Engineering

14

5.83

SPA

12

5.00

Source: Authors' calculation

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Based on the analysis of the collected data, information related to the demographics of the respondents was obtained¹³⁰, and they are depicted¹³¹ in Table 2., Table 3., and Table 4.

Table 2. Description of Transformational Leadership Variables

Variable

Average

Description

Transformasional Leadership

4.11

Good

Idealized influence (II)

4.12

Good

Engaging employees in future missions

4.03

Good

Reveal the most important values and beliefs to employees

4.16

Good

Inspires loyalty to the establishment of employees

4.18

Good

Inspirational motivation (IM)¹³²

4.07

Good

Formation of a strong communicative¹³³ culture in all work areas

3.87

Good

Behaviors that enable team integration and mobilization

4.20

Good

Be consistent between what they say and what they do

4.14

Good

Intellectual stimulation (IS)¹³²

4.22

Good

Take part in the continuous improvement process

4.35

Very Good

When these activities go beyond management's responsibilities

4.11

Good

Allows employees to think about old problems in new ways

4.19

Good

Individualized consideration (IC)¹³²

4.02

Good

Considers employees' personal feelings before acting

4.03

Good

Encourage employees to think about the best way to do things

4.08

Good

Show employees the benefits of achieving the establishment goals

3.95

Good

Source: Authors' calculation

Transformational leadership was well-perceived by respondents, with the most dominant dimension being intellectual stimulation. It was indicated¹³⁴ that transformational leaders reinforced employees to make continuous improvements.

Table 3 Description of Organizational Culture Variables

Variable

Average

Description

Organization culture

4.18

High

Clan Culture (CC)

4.13

High

Divisions share a lot like family

4.26

Very High

Leaders generally become mentors

4.41

Very High

Commitment to this company runs high

3.72

High

The importance of promoting cohesion and morals

4.11

High

Adhocracy Culture (AC)¹³²

4.25

Very High

Employees are willing to persevere and take risks

4.07

High

Leaders are considered entrepreneurs, innovators, or risk-takers

4.14

High

Commit to innovation and development

4.43

Very High

Readiness to face new challenges

4.37

Very High

Hierarchy culture (HC)¹³²

4.17

High

The organization is a formal place that formally regulates what is done ¹³⁵

3.99

High

Leaders are considered an example of coordination and efficiency

4.32

Very High

Management style reflects predictability and stability

4.02

High

Unify the organization with formal policies

4.31

Very High

Application of controls for stability and smoothness

4.21

High

Organizations define success based on efficiency

4.16

High

Source: Authors' calculation

Meanwhile, the respondents perceived the organizational culture variable as high. Where the strongest ¹³⁶ dimension of organizational culture was indicated ¹³⁷ by adhocracy culture, which was explained ¹³⁸ through a commitment to innovation and development

Table 4. Description of Job Satisfaction Variables

Variable

Average

Description

Job satisfaction

4.23

High

Motivator Factors

4.21

High

Employees get the appropriate rights and obligations

4.20

High

Employees get the same career development opportunities

4.21

High

Each employee gets a clear job description

4.21

High

Hygiene Factors

4.26

Very High

Employees are satisfied with the teamwork that is established¹³⁹

4.27

Very High

Employees are satisfied with the salary they receive

4.47

Very High

Employees are satisfied with the leadership applied

4.42

Very High

Employees feel comfortable with the relationships that exist with colleagues

4.18

High

Employees are satisfied with the work environment

3.95

High

Source: Authors' calculation

As with organizational culture, the variable of job satisfaction was also perceived as high by respondents. For the dimension of job satisfaction, the strongest explained was the hygiene factor, where employees felt very satisfied with the salary received.

Outer model measurement

The first stage of model testing was done¹⁴⁰ by knowing the results of the validity and reliability of the model. First, test the convergent validity by looking at the outer loading value greater than 0.6. Then, the test was continued¹⁴¹ by comparing the value of the \sqrt{AVE} coefficient with the construct used. It met discriminant validity greater than 0.5 (cut off > 0,5) (Hair et al., 2013).

Table 5. The Loading Factor Values of Each Indicator

Variable

Dimension

Indicator

Outer loading

Transformasional Leadership (TL)

Idealized influence (II)

II1

0.733

II2

0.876

II3

0.888

Inspirational motivation (IM)¹³²

IM1

0.680

IM2

0.877

IM3

0.876

Intellectual stimulation (IS)¹³²

IS1

0.841

IS2

0.878

IS3

0.878

Individualized consideration (IC)¹³²

IC1

0.851

IC2

0.931

IC3

0.921

Organizational Culture (OC)¹³²

Clan Culture (CC)

CC1

0.814

CC2

0.840

CC3

0.775

CC4

0.823

Adhocracy Culture (AC)¹³²

AC1

0.847

AC2

0.820

AC3

0.801

AC4

0.827

Hierarchy culture (HC)¹³²

HC1

0.830

HC2

0.842

HC3

0.811

HC4

0.766

HC5

0.558

HC6

0.572

Job satisfaction (JS)¹³²

Motivator Factors (MF)¹³²

MO1

0.942

MO2

0.930

MO3

0.891

Hygiene Factors (HF)¹³²

HF1

0.876

HF2

0.761

HF3

0.720

HF4

0.887

HF5

0.745

Source: Authors' calculation

The analysis revealed that the statement item's outer loading value met the requirements of convergent validity except for HC5 and HC6. Consequently,

these items were not included in the next test, as shown in Table 5. The AVE and \sqrt{AVE} tests met the cut-off criteria, which illustrates in Table 5., Table 6., and [Table 7](#).¹⁴²

Table 6. AVE Values and correlation among variables

Construct

\sqrt{AVE}

Correlation*

Hygiene Factor

Motivator

Organization culture

Transformational Leadership

Hygiene Factor

0.801

1.000

Motivator Factors

0.921

0.854

1.000

Organization culture

0.754

0.768

0.735

1.000

Transformational Leadership

0.770

0.600

0.573

0.745

1.000

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 7. Instruments reliability test Constructs

Construct

Cronbach's Alpha

rho_A

Composite Reliability

(AVE)

Transformasional Leadership

0.936

0.941

0.945

0.593

Idealized influence

0.783

0.811

0.873

0.698

Inspirational motivation

0.742

0.761

0.855

0.666

Intellectual stimulation

0.832

0.833

0.899

0.749

Individualized consideration

0.884

0.884

0.929

0.813

Organization culture

0.940

0.945

0.948

0.569

Clan Culture

0.842

0.844

0.894

0.679

Adhocracy Culture

0.829

0.831

0.887

0.662

Hierarchy culture

0.887

0.889

0.923

0.749

Motivator Factors

0.911

0.914

0.944

0.849

Hygiene Factor

0.859

0.873

0.899

0.642

Source: Authors' calculation

The last step in the structural model is to calculate the indicator value of each construct, looking at composite reliability, i.e., Cronbach's Alpha with a significance greater than 0.7. The analysis showed that the composite reliability value was in the range of 0.855 – 0.948. The Cronbach's Alpha value also indicated a value greater than 0.7 with a range of 0.742 – 0.940.

Accordingly, the results were declared free from the random error problem, as seen in Table 7.

Inner model measurement

After the outer model test criteria ¹⁴³ were met, the test ¹⁴⁴ was continued on the inner model measurement. First, it ¹⁴⁵ was based on the research model and the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Gentle et al. (2012) categorized the relationship between variables into three based on the R Square value, i.e., 0.67 (strong), 0.33 (medium), and 0.19 (weak) (Hair et al., 2013). The results of the model feasibility test ¹⁴⁶ are depicted in Table 8.

Table 8. Feasibility of Research Model

Construct

R Square

R Square Adjusted

Motivator Factors

0.542

0.538

Hygiene Factors

0.592

0.588

Organizational Culture

0.555

0.553

Average

0.563

0.560

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 8. showed that the three variables' R square had a value of more than 0.33 and less than 0.67. Following (Hair et al., 2013), the research model was moderate. For the average value (0.563), this result denoted that the construct

had a relationship of 56.3 percent, and 43.7 percent was explained¹⁴⁷ by other variables that were not included in the research model and were considered¹⁴⁸ in adjusting other constructs in future studies.

The next stage was to predict the research framework through Q-Square predictive relevance (Q²). The model's prediction was stronger¹⁴⁹ if it had a value close to 1 (Stone 1974)¹⁵⁰. Calculations showed that the value of Q² was 0.682 (good)¹⁵¹. Subsequently, this research framework had good ability and simultaneously explained that the relationship between constructs of 68.20 percent and 31.8 percent was an error factor.

Table 9. Effect Size Analysis (f²)

Variable

β

Mean

Deviation

T Statistics

P Values

TL -> MF

0.573

0.576

0.052

11.017

0.000

TL -> HF

0.600

0.605

0.040

14.873

0.000

TL -> OC

0.745

0.747

0.026

28.355

0.000

OC -> MF

0.692

0.687

0.062

11.166

0.000

OC -> HF

0.722

0.716

0.055

13.165

0.000

Average

0.666

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL¹³²), Motivator Factors (MF¹³²), Hygiene Factors (HF¹³²), dan Organizational Culture (OC¹³²).

The test was continued¹⁵² by knowing the effect size (f²), which aims to obtain detailed information on the independent and exogenous variables (Cohen, Usher, and McClelland 1998). Effect size has three sizes, namely: weak (< 0.15),

moderate (0.15 – 0.35), and (> 0.35) (Chin, 1998). From the analysis shown in Table 9, the average value of 0.666 was greater¹⁵³ than 0.35. Thus, it can be explained by the pattern of a strong mediating¹⁵⁴ relationship (Cohen et al. 1998).¹⁵⁵

Hypothesis Testing

After the testing stage, hypothesis testing was also conducted¹⁵⁶ to determine the direct and indirect effects, as depicted in Table 10. and Figure 2.

Figure 2. Bootstrapping Model Smart PLS

Table 10. and¹⁵⁷ Figure 2. revealed that transformational leadership had a positive and insignificant effect on motivator factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.057 with a p-value of 0.477 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.711 less than 1.96. Consequently, hypothesis 1a was not supported.

Transformational leadership had a positive but insignificant effect on hygiene factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.062 with a p-value of 0.331 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.973 less than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 1b was not supported. These findings explained that transformational leadership did not impact motivator and hygiene factors as the cause of employee job satisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005).¹⁵⁸ If it was related to the research location, i.e., the hospitality industry, it was possible because the intensity of work and the high workload made it difficult for interaction between leaders and their subordinates. Meanwhile¹⁵⁹, transformational leadership requires interaction to gain trust, motivate, increase awareness, and provide personal attention. The study's finding was aligned¹⁶⁰ with the previous study (Thamrin 2012),¹⁶¹ where transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction.

Furthermore, the present study supported previous studies (Asencio 2016; Eliyana et al. 2019; Mufti et al. 2020),¹⁶² which found that transformational leadership significantly affected employee job satisfaction. More specific findings were described¹⁶³ by (Mickson, Anlesinya, and Malcalm 2021), where

transformational leadership supported intrinsic and extrinsic employee satisfaction.

Table 10. The direct relationship between variables

Relationship between variables

β

Mean

Deviation

T Statistics

P Values

Description

TL -> MF

0.057

0.062

0.080

0.711

0.477

Not Supported

TL -> HF

0.062

0.070

0.064

0.973

0.331

Not Supported

TL -> OC

0.745

0.747

0.026

28.355

0.000

Supported

OC -> MF

0.722

0.716

0.055

13.165

0.000

Supported

OC -> HF

0.692

0.687

0.062

11.166

0.000

Supported

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL¹³²), Motivator Factors (MF¹³²), Hygiene Factors (HF¹³²), and Organization Culture (OC¹³²).

The analysis conducted on transformational leadership revealed a positive and significant influence on organizational culture. It can be seen¹⁶⁴ from the path coefficient of 0.745 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 28.355 greater than 1.96. Hence, hypothesis 2 was supported. These results confirmed that transformational leadership has a critical role in building an organizational culture which supported previous research (Shiva & Suar, 2012; Sarros et al.,

2008; Kim, 2014). This finding was further aligned ¹⁶⁵ with (Rijal 2016), ¹⁶⁶ who described applying ¹⁶⁷ transformational leadership can impact changing organizational culture. The study contributed to the valuable insight that transformational leadership can build an organizational culture believed to be the attitudes and beliefs of all hotel employees. The formed organizational culture can increase when supported by a transformational leadership style. Organizational culture and motivator factors also signified a positive and significant influence with the path coefficient value of 0.722 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 13.165 greater than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 3a was supported. Organizational culture also indicated a significant positive ¹⁶⁸ influence on other dimensions of job satisfaction, i.e., hygiene factors, with a path coefficient value of 0.692 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 11.166 greater than 1.96. Therefore, hypothesis 3b was supported. This finding indicated that the role of organizational culture significantly determined the level of employee satisfaction at work. Moreover, ¹⁶⁹ this study also confirmed the previous findings (Al-Sada et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Lok & Crawford, 1999; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009). However, the study finding contradicted the previous studies (Irwan et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021), ¹⁷⁰ which found that organizational culture did not support employee job satisfaction. Consequently, the findings of this study indicated that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors; thus, they impacted employee job satisfaction. After measuring the direct effect of each variable, the measurement was ¹⁷¹ continued by testing the indirect effect according to the mechanism of the structural equation model. The Sobel Test measured the mediating role with a significance greater than 1.96. Meanwhile, the mediation classification was adapted from (Hair et al. 2010) ¹⁷² as follows: Fully Mediation ⁴ (if a and b were significant, while c was not significant), Partial Mediation ⁴ (if a, b, and c were

significant), and Unmediation¹⁷³ (if a or b was not significant, and c was significant/insignificant).

Table 11. Mediation Test (Sobel Test)

Model

Calculation

T Table

Description

TL -> OC -> MF

$$0,745 \cdot 0,722 / (0,722 \cdot 0,0262) + (0,745 \cdot 0,0552) = 11,9344$$

1,96

Mediated

TL -> OC -> HF

$$0,745 \cdot 0,692 / (0,692 \cdot 0,0262) + 0,745 \cdot 0,0622 = 10,4002$$

1,96

Mediated

Sumber: Author calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL)¹³², Motivator Factors (MF)¹³², Hygiene Factors (HF)¹³², dan Organization Culture (OC)¹³².

Mediation testing utilized the Sobel Test to determine the role of organizational culture as a mediator. This study had two mediation pathways that were measured and are illustrated¹⁷⁴ in Table 11. First, the test revealed that the t-count value of 11.9344 was greater¹⁷⁵ than the t-table of 1.96. Accordingly, the authors concluded that organizational culture significantly intervened in the relationship of transformational leadership with motivator factors. Thus, hypothesis 4a was supported. Second, the test also indicated that the t-count value of 10.4002 was greater¹⁷⁶ than the t-table of 1.96. These results explained that organizational culture was also proven to interfere with the relationship of

transformational leadership with hygiene factors. Consequently,¹⁷⁷ hypothesis 4b was supported. Adopting (Hair et al., 2013) criteria, organizational culture played a role as a full mediator in the leadership relationship with motivator and hygiene factors as a representation of job satisfaction and employee job satisfaction. The findings of this study denoted that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors. Therefore, they impacted employee job satisfaction.

CONCLUSION

The hotel industry is currently addressing challenges to improve its conditions after the devastating impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many aspects required improvement, considering the conditions yet to be fully recovered. Moreover, it requires significant efforts to restore the hotel industry to be competitive. From the organizational context, transformational leadership plays a role in building organizational culture and increasing employee job satisfaction. This study shows that transformational leadership forms organizational culture but does not impact employee job satisfaction. Hence, it indicates that the hotel industry is not¹⁷⁸ aligned with the transformational leadership style and requires a more dynamic leadership style suitable for hotel operations prioritizing speed and accuracy. However, organizational culture shows two crucial roles. First, it increases employee job satisfaction directly. Second, organizational culture enhances transformational leadership relationships in increasing employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, the hotel industry must build an organizational culture to accommodate the lack of applied leadership style.

This study also has three theoretical contributions. First, this study enriches transformational leadership literacy, primarily the second-order construct, which is comprehensively explored.¹⁷⁹ Second, this study provides an insightful perspective on how transformational leadership improves employee job

satisfaction through organizational culture. Third, organizational culture is proven to be a full mediator and a crucial determinant in increasing employee job satisfaction from motivator and hygiene factors, especially in the hospitality industry.

Following the findings, the authors provide three managerial implications for managers and employees. First, managers must consider the appropriate type of leadership in producing employee job satisfaction regarding hygiene and motivator factors. Given that transformational leadership is not related to satisfaction. However, transformational leadership culture is proven to build an organizational culture (clan culture, adhocracy culture, and hierarchy culture). Second, managers need to facilitate organizational culture because it determines employee behavior towards their organization, especially concerning leadership and satisfaction. Third, the managers' success in building organizational culture is the key to increasing satisfaction and achieving organizational goals.

Regardless of theoretical and managerial contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the determination of the sample is limited solely to the hotel industry in Indonesia, precisely in Bali. This study was conducted in developing countries, thus, offering diverse and ¹⁸⁰interesting findings since the hotel industry is experiencing a massive transition after the COVID-19 pandemic.

Second, this study merely highlights transformational leadership and organizational culture to predict employee job satisfaction. Meanwhile, many other ¹⁸¹determining factors, i.e., organizational commitment, trust, and organizational support, must be considered to minimize interference in increasing employee job satisfaction. It is better if respective leadership styles can be used as a moderator, considering that leaders are policyholders related to organizational management for strengthening job satisfaction and

increasing organizational productivity. Third, this study uses a causality approach; the data collected is a self-assessment. Consequently, it is still possible for bias to occur, and thus, in-depth or longitudinal studies are expected¹⁸² for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, Enayat, and Naser Zamani-Miandashti. 2013. "The Role of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture and¹⁸³ Organizational Learning in Improving the Performance of Iranian Agricultural Faculties." *Higher Education* 66(4):505–19. doi^{184 185} : 10.1007/s10734-013-9618-8.
- Acosta-Prado, Julio C., Oscar H. López-Montoya, Carlos Sanchís-Pedregosa, and Rodrigo A. Zárate-Torres. 2020. "Human Resource Management and Innovative Performance in Non-Profit¹⁸⁶ Hospitals: The Mediating Effect of Organizational Culture." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11(June):1–12. doi^{187 188} : 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01422.
- Afsar, Bilal, and Waheed Ali Umrani. 2019. "Transformational Leadership and Innovative Work Behavior: The Role of Motivation to Learn, Task Complexity and Innovation Climate." *European Journal of Innovation Management* 23(3):402–28. doi: 10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257.
- Ågotnes, Kari Wik, Anders Skogstad, Jørn Hetland, Olav Kjellevold Olsen, Roar Espevik, Arnold B. Bakker, and Ståle Valvatne Einarsen. 2021. "Daily Work Pressure and Exposure to Bullying-Related Negative Acts: The Role of Daily Transformational and Laissez-Faire Leadership." *European Management Journal* 39(4):423–33. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2020.09.011.
- Al-Sada, Maryam, Bader Al-Esmael, and Mohd Nishat Faisal. 2017¹⁸⁹. "Influence of Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction,

- Commitment ¹⁹⁰ and Motivation in the Educational Sector in Qatar." EuroMed Journal of Business 12(2):163–88. doi ¹⁹¹ ¹⁹² : 10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003.
- Al-Shibami, Ahmed Hamoud, Nayef Alateibi, Mohammed Nusari, Ali Ameen, Gamal S. A. Khalifa, and Amiya Bhaumik. ¹⁹³2019. "Impact of Organizational Culture on Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance." International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering 8(2):653–64. doi: 10.35940/ijrte.B1116.0982S1019.
- Alqudah, Ikrema H. A., Adolfo Carballo-Penela, and Emilio Ruzo-Sanmartín. 2022. "High-Performance Human Resource Management Practices and Readiness for Change: An Integrative Model Including Affective Commitment, Employees' Performance, and the Moderating Role of Hierarchy Culture." European Research on Management and Business Economics 28(1):100177. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100177.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, I. Wayan Edi Arsawan, and Ni Wayan Rustiarini. 2022. "Employee Loyalty during Slowdown of Covid-19: Do Satisfaction and Trust Matter?" International Journal of Tourism Cities 8(1):223–43. doi: 10.1108/IJTC-03-2021-0036.
- Aristana, I Nengah, Made Christin Dwitrayani, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and I. Ketut Eli Sumerta. 2022. "Efek Inovasi Terhadap Kinerja Usaha Kecil Dan Menengah." Journal of Applied Management and Accounting Science 3(2):171–86. doi: 10.51713/jamas.v3i2.61.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Made Christin Dwitrayani. 2021. "Kepemimpinan Dan Kepuasan Kerja: Mediasi Komunikasi." JKBM (Jurnal Konsep Bisnis Dan Manajemen) 7(2):167–78. doi: 10.31289/jkbm.v7i2.4841.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Komang Krishna Yogantara. 2020. "Model Kinerja Koperasi." Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis 23(2):285–316. doi:

10.24914/jeb.v23i2.3299.

Arsawan, I. Wayan Edi, Ni Made Kariati, Yevheniia Shchokina, Putu Adriani Prayustika, Ni Wayan Rustiarini, and Viktor Koval. 2022. "Invigorating Employee'S Innovative Work Behavior: Exploring the Sequential Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment and Knowledge Sharing." *Business: Theory and Practice* 23(1):117–30. doi: 10.3846/btp.2022.15684.

Asencio, Hugo. ¹⁹⁴2016. "Leadership, Trust, and Job Satisfaction in the Public Sector: A Study of ¹³²US Federal Employees." *International Review of Public Administration* 21(3):250–67. doi ^{195 196}: 10.1080/12294659.2016.1237342.

Astuti, Ni Nyoman Sri, Gede Ginaya, and I. Gede Agus Jaya Sadguna. 2018. "A Hotel Front Desk Receptionist and Catur Paramita Values: A Study of Implementing Local Wisdom in Hospitality Industry." Pp. 479–84 in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS 2018)*. Vol. 226. Paris, France: Atlantis Press.

Azhar, Aisha, and Kaifeng Yang. 2022. "Examining the Influence of Transformational Leadership and Green Culture on Pro-Environmental Behaviors: Empirical Evidence From Florida City Governments." *Review of Public Personnel Administration* 42(4):738–59. doi: 10.1177/0734371X211027347.

Aziz, Abdul R. Z., Indra Budi Sumantoro, and Delli Maria. 2019. "Total Quality Management of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), and the Impact to Organizational Culture and Performance: Emerging Country Case." *Polish Journal of Management Studies* 19(1):32–45. doi: 10.17512/pjms.2019.19.1.03.

Babić, Verica M., Slađjana D. Savović, and Violeta M. Domanović. 2014. "Transformational Leadership and Post-Acquisition Performance in Transitional

Economies." *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 27(6):856–76. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-02-2014-0028.

Bass, B. .. 1985. *Leadership and Performance*. ¹³²N.Y. Free Press.

Belias, Dimitrios, and Athanasios Koustelios. 2014. "Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction: A Review." *International Review of Management and Marketing* 4(2):132–49.

Boamah, Sheila A., Heather K. Spence Laschinger, Carol Wong, and Sean Clarke. ¹⁹⁷2018. "Effect of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Patient Safety Outcomes." *Nursing Outlook* 66(2):180–89. doi ^{198 199} : 10.1016/j.outlook.2017.10.004.

Braun, Susanne, Claudia Peus, Silke Weisweiler, and Dieter Frey. 2013. "Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, and Team Performance: A Multilevel Mediation Model of Trust." *Leadership Quarterly* 24(1):270–83. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.11.006.

Burns, James MacGregor. ²⁰⁰1978. *Leadership*. N.Y, Harper ²⁰¹and Row.

Chandra, Teddy, Layla Hafni, Stefani Chandra, Astri Ayu Purwati, and Jennifer Chandra. 2019. "The Influence of Service Quality, University Image on Student Satisfaction and Student Loyalty." *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 26(5):1533–49. doi: 10.1108/BIJ-07-2018-0212.

Chen, Chun Hsi Vivian, Hung Hui Li, and Ya Yun Tang. 2009. "Transformational Leadership and Creativity: Exploring the Mediating Effects of Creative Thinking and Intrinsic Motivation." *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development* 6(2):198–211. doi: 10.1504/IJMED.2009.022627.

Chen, Han, Yvette Green, and Kim Williams. ²⁰²2021. "Does Perceived Manager Support Reduce Hotel Supervisors' Emotional Exhaustion? The Mediating Role of Control over Time and Negative Emotions." *International Hospitality Review*. doi: 10.1108/IHR-03-2021-0024.

Chen, Hsi Tien, and Chih Hung Wang. 2019. "Incivility, Satisfaction and Turnover Intention of Tourist Hotel Chefs: Moderating Effects of Emotional Intelligence." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 31(5):2034–53. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-02-2018-0164.

Chen, Jian Yu, Suk Jun Lim, Hyun Jung Nam, and Joe Phillips. 2020. "Local Culture as a Corporate Social Responsibility Multiplier: Confucian Values' Mediation between Firm Policies and Employees' Attitude." *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration* 12(3–4):387–407. doi: 10.1108/APJBA-04-2019-0088.

Chi, Hsin Kuang. 2008²⁰³. "The Effects of Transformation Leadership,²⁰⁴ Organizational Culture,²⁰⁵ Job Satisfaction on the Organizational Performance in the Non-Profit Organizations."¹⁸⁶ *Transformation* 4(1):129–37.

Chin, Wynne W. 1998²⁰⁶. "Commentary: Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling." *JSTOR* 22(1):7–16.

Cho, Yoon Jik, and Hanjun Park. 2011. "Exploring the Relationships Among Trust, Employee Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment." *Public Management Review* 13(4):551–73. doi²⁰⁷ : ²⁰⁸ 10.1080/14719037.2010.525033.

Cohen, Jonathan D., Marius Usher, and James L. McClelland. 1998²⁰⁹. "A PDP Approach to Set Size Effects within the Stroop Task: Reply to Kanne, Balota, Spieler, and Faust (1998)." *Psychological Review* 105(1):188–94. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.105.1.188.

Cummings, Greta G., Kaitlyn Tate, Sarah Lee, Carol A. Wong, Tanya Paananen, Simone P. M. Micaroni, and Gargi E. Chatterjee. 2018²¹⁰. "Leadership Styles and Outcome Patterns for the Nursing Workforce and Work Environment: A Systematic Review." *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 85(9):19–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.04.016.

Deinert, Anika, Astrid C. Homan, Diana Boer, Sven C. Voelpel, and Daniela Gutermann. 2015. "Transformational Leadership Sub-Dimensions and Their Link to Leaders' Personality and Performance." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1095–1120. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.08.001.

DeShields, Oscar W., Ali Kara, and Erdener Kaynak. ²¹¹2005. "Determinants of Business Student Satisfaction and Retention in Higher Education: Applying Herzberg's Two-factor Theory." *International Journal of Educational Management* 19(2):128–39. doi ^{212 213} : 10.1108/09513540510582426.

Dinita, Setyawati. ²¹⁴2013. "Assets or Commodities? Comparing Regulations of Placement and Protection of Migrant Workers in Indonesia and the Philippines." *ASEAS - Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies* 6(2):264–90.

Dirani, Khalil M. 2009. "Measuring the Learning Organization Culture, Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction in the Lebanese Banking Sector." *Human Resource Development International* 12(2):189–208. doi ^{215 216} : 10.1080/13678860902764118.

Dirisu, Joy, Rowland Worlu, Adewale Osibanjo, Odunayo Salau, Taiye Borishade, Sandra Meninwa, and Tolulope Atolagbe. 2018. "An Integrated Dataset on Organisational Culture, Job Satisfaction and Performance in the Hospitality Industry." *Data in Brief* 19:317–21. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.137.

Ekowati, Vivin Maharani, Eka Afnan Troena, and Noermijati Noermijati. 2013. "Organizational Citizenship Behavior Role in Mediating the Effect of Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance: Studies in ¹³²PT Bank Syariah Mandiri Malang East Java." *International Journal of Business and Management* 8(17):1–12. doi: 10.5539/ijbm.v8n17p1.

Eliyana, Anis, Syamsul Ma'arif, and Muzakki. 2019. "Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment Effect in the Transformational Leadership towards

Employee Performance." *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 25(3):144–50. doi: 10.1016/j.iemeen.2019.05.001.

Gentle, J. E., W. K. Härdle, and Y. Mori. 2011. *Handbook of Statistical Bioinformatics*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

González-Rodríguez, María Rosario, Rosario Carmen Martín-Samper, Mehmet Ali Köseoglu, and Fevzi Okumus. 2019. "Hotels' Corporate Social Responsibility Practices, Organizational Culture, Firm Reputation, and Performance." *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 27(3):398–419. doi: 10.1080/09669582.2019.1585441.

Gurmani, Jehanzeb Khan, Noor Ullah Khan, Muhammad Khalique, Muhammad Yasir, Asfia Obaid, and Nur Ain Ayunni Sabri. 2021. "Do Environmental Transformational Leadership Predicts Organizational Citizenship Behavior towards Environment in Hospitality Industry: Using Structural Equation Modelling Approach." *Sustainability* 13(10):1–29. doi: 10.3390/su13105594.

Hair, Joseph F., Christian M. Ringle, and Marko Sarstedt. 2013. "Editorial - Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Rigorous Applications, Better Results ²¹⁷ and Higher Acceptance." *Long Range Planning* 46(1–2):1–12.

Hair, Joseph F., Ronald L. Tatham, Rolph E. Anderson, and William Black. 2010. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Hartnell, Chad A., Amy Y. Ou, Angelo J. Kinicki, Dongwon Choi, and Elizabeth P. Karam. ²¹⁸2019. "A Meta-Analytic Test of Organizational Culture's Association with Elements of an Organization's System and Its Relative Predictive Validity on Organizational Outcomes." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 104(6):832–50. doi: 10.1037/apl0000380.

Hazana Abdullah, Nor, Alina Shamsuddin, and Eta Wahab. 2015. "Does Organizational Culture Mediate the Relationship between Transformational Leadership and Organizational ²¹⁹ Commitment." *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 4(1):18–32. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2015.60344.

Hendrato, Muhammad Luthfi, Arief Subyantoro, and Wisnalmawati. 2021. "The Effect of Organizational Culture and Information Technology on Employee Performance with Employee Satisfaction as a Mediator in The Electronic Court System (E-Court) in District Court of Sleman, Yogyakarta." Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Sustainable Innovation 2020-Accounting and Management 176:242–46. doi ^{220 221} : 10.2991/aer.k.210121.033. ²²²

Herzberg, Frederick. ²²³1987. "One More Time: How Do You Motivate Employees? With Retrospective." Harvard Business Review Sept-Oct(87507):6–16.

Hewagama, Gayani, Peter Boxall, Gordon Cheung, and Ann Hutchison. ²²⁴2019. "Service Recovery through Empowerment? HRM, Employee Performance and Job Satisfaction in Hotels." International Journal of Hospitality Management 81(Agust):73–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.03.006.

Huikko-Tarvainen, Sari, Pasi Sajasalo, and Tommi Auvinen. 2021. "Just a Leader? Leadership Work Challenges and Identity Contradiction Experienced by Finnish Physician Leaders." Journal of Health Organization and Management 35(9):195–210. doi: 10.1108/JHOM-10-2020-0421.

Hussain, Mohammad Kamal, and Rayan Abdullah M. Khayat. 2021. "The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Organisational Commitment Among Hospital Staff: A Systematic Review." Journal of Health Management 23(4):614–30. doi ^{225 226} : 10.1177/09720634211050463.

Ineson, Elizabeth M., Eszter Benke, and József László. 2013. "Employee Loyalty in Hungarian Hotels." International Journal of Hospitality Management 32(1):31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.04.001.

Irwan, Andi, Mahfudnurnajamuddin Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Syamsu Nujum, and Suriyanti Mangkona. 2020. "The Effect of Leadership Style, Work Motivation and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance Mediated by

Job Satisfaction." *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding* 7(8):642–57. doi: 10.18415/ijmmu.v7i8.2007.

Jaiswal, Neeraj Kumar, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. "Transformational Leadership, Innovation Climate, Creative Self-Efficacy and Employee Creativity: A Multilevel Study." *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 51:30–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.07.002.

Jardioui, Meriam, Patrizia Garengo, and Semma El Alami. 2019. "How Organizational Culture Influences Performance Measurement Systems in SMEs." *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 69(2):217–35. doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0363.

Jensen, Susan M., and Fred Luthans. 2006. "Entrepreneurs as Authentic Leaders: Impact on Employees' Attitudes." *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 27(8):646–66. doi: ²²⁷ ²²⁸ 10.1108/01437730610709273.

Kaur Bagga, Simranjeet, Shikha Gera, and Syed Nadimul Haque. 2022. "The Mediating Role of Organizational Culture: Transformational Leadership and Change Management in Virtual Teams." *Asia Pacific Management Review* xxx(xxxx):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.07.003.

Keskin, Halit, Ali E. Akgun, Ayşe Günsel, and Salih Zeki İmamoğlu. 2005. "The Relationships between Adhocracy and Clan Cultures and Tacit Oriented KM¹³² Strategy." *Journal of Transnational Management* 10(3):39–53. doi: 10.1300/J482v10n03_04.

Khan, Asif, Sughra Bibi, Jiaying Lyu, Mohammad Alam, Muhammad Mussa Khan, and Mohammad Nurunnabi. 2021. "The Quest of Tourism and Overall Well-Being: The Developing Economy of Pakistan." *PSU Research Review* 5(2):120–40. doi: 10.1108/PRR-07-2019-0022.

Khan, Muhammad Asad, Fadillah Binti Ismail, Altaf Hussain, and Basheer Alghazali. 2020²²⁹. "The Interplay of Leadership Styles, Innovative Work Behavior,

Organizational Culture, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior." SAGE Open 10(1–16). doi^{230 231} : 10.1177/2158244019898264.

Khan, Naseer Abbas, Ali Nawaz Khan, Mohsin Ali Soomro, and Shahid Kalim Khan. 2020²³². "Transformational Leadership and Civic Virtue Behavior: Valuing Act of Thriving and Emotional Exhaustion in the Hotel Industry." Asia Pacific Management Review 25(4):216–25. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2020.05.001.

Khan, Saif-Ur-Rehman, Mahwish Anjam, Mohammad Abu Faiz, Faisal Khan, and Hashim Khan. 2020²³³. "Probing the Effects of Transformational Leadership on Employees' Job Satisfaction With Interaction of Organizational Learning Culture." SAGE Open 10(2):1–9. doi^{234 235} : 10.1177/2158244020930771.

Kim, Hougyn. 2014²³⁶. "Transformational Leadership, Organizational Clan Culture, Organizational Affective Commitment, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Case of South Korea's Public Sector." Public Organization Review 14(3):397–417. doi: 10.1007/s11115-013-0225-z.

Ko, Young Jin, and Jin Nam Choi. 2019. "Overtime Work as the Antecedent of Employee Satisfaction, Firm Productivity, and Innovation." Journal of Organizational Behavior 40(3):282–95. doi^{237 238} : 10.1002/job.2328.

Krejcie, Robert V., and Daryle W. Morgan. 1970. "Determining Sample Size for Research Activities." Educational and Psychological Measurement 30(3):607–10. doi^{239 240} : 10.1177/001316447003000308.

Krishnan, Venkat R. 2012²⁴¹. "Transformational Leadership and Personal Outcomes: Empowerment as Mediator." Leadership and Organization Development Journal 33(6):550–63. doi^{242 243} : 10.1108/01437731211253019.

Labrague, Leodoro J., Chidozie E. Nwafor, and Konstantinos Tsaras. 2020. "Influence of Toxic and Transformational Leadership Practices on Nurses' Job Satisfaction, Job Stress, Absenteeism and²⁴⁴ Turnover Intention: A Cross-

Sectional Study." *Journal of Nursing Management* 28(5):1104–13. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13053.

Lasrado, Flevy, and Rassel Kassem. 2020. "Let's Get Everyone Involved! The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Organizational Excellence." *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* 38(1):169–94. doi^{245 246} : 10.1108/IJQRM-11-2019-0349.

Lee, Byunghyun, Changjae Lee, Ilyoung Choi, and Jaekyeong Kim. 2022. "Analyzing Determinants of Job Satisfaction Based on Two-Factor Theory." *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14(19):1–19. doi: 10.3390/su141912557.

Lehmann-Willenbrock, Nale, Annika L. Meinecke, Jens Rowold, and Simone Kauffeld. 2015. "How Transformational Leadership Works during Team Interactions: A Behavioral Process Analysis." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1017–33. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.07.003.

Liu, Xinyi, Ying Zeng, Juan He, and Zhiyong Li. 2022. "Value Cocreation Research in Tourism and Hospitality: A Comparative Bibliometric Analysis." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 34(2):663–86. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-05-2021-0666.

Liu, Yan, Mehmet Şükrü Bellibaş, and Sedat Gümüş. 2021. "The Effect of Instructional Leadership and Distributed Leadership on Teacher Self-Efficacy and Job Satisfaction: Mediating Roles of Supportive School Culture and Teacher Collaboration." *Educational Management Administration & Leadership* 49(3):430–53. doi^{247 248} : 10.1177/1741143220910438.

Locke, Edwin A., and Gary P. Latham. 2019²⁴⁹. "The Development of Goal Setting Theory: A Half Century Retrospective." *Motivation Science* 5(2):93–105. doi: 10.1037/mot0000127.

Lok, Peter, and John Crawford. 1999²⁵⁰. "The Relationship between Commitment and Organizational Culture, Subculture, Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction

in Organizational Change and Development." *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 20(7):365–74. doi : 10.1108/01437739910302524.

Mahalinga Shiva, M. S. A., and Damodar Suar. 2012. "Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture, Organizational Effectiveness, and Programme Outcomes in Non-Governmental Organizations." *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 23(3):684–710. doi : 10.1007/s11266-011-9230-4.

Meng, Juan, and Bruce K. Berger. 2019. "The Impact of Organizational Culture and Leadership Performance on PR Professionals' Job Satisfaction: Testing the Joint Mediating Effects of Engagement and Trust." *Public Relations Review* 45(1):64–75. doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.11.002.

Mesu, Jos, Karin Sanders, and Maarten van Riemsdijk. 2015. "Transformational Leadership and Organisational Commitment in Manufacturing and Service Small to Medium-Sized Enterprises." *Personnel Review* 44(6):970–90. doi : 10.1108/PR-01-2014-0020.

Metwally, Dina, Pablo Ruiz-Palomino, Mohamed Metwally, and Leire Gartzia. 2019. "How Ethical Leadership Shapes Employees' Readiness to Change: The Mediating Role of an Organizational Culture of Effectiveness." *Frontiers in Psychology* 10(November):1–18. doi : 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02493.

Mickson, Michael K., Alex Anlesinya, and Ebenezer Malcalm. 2021. "Mediation Role of Diversity Climate on Leadership and Job Satisfaction in the Ghanaian Public Sector." *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development* 17(2):167–88. doi: 10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2019-0080.

Mittal, Swati, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. "Transformational Leadership and Employee Creativity: Mediating Role of Creative Self-Efficacy and Moderating Role of Knowledge Sharing." *Management Decision* 53(5):894–910. doi : 10.1108/MD-07-2014-0464.

Mohamed, Ramesh Kumar Moona Haji, Dr Che Supian Mohamad Nor, Nurfadhilah Abu Hasan, Venkadesan Olganathan, and Yoshudha Gunasekaran. 2013. "The Impact of an Organization's Culture towards Employees' Performance: A Study on the Frontline Hotel Employees." *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 3(8):1–28. doi: 10.6007/ijarbss/v3-i8/107.

Moin, Muhammad Farrukh, Muhamad Khalil Omar, Feng Wei, Muhammad Imran Rasheed, and Zahid Hameed. 2021²⁶⁵. "Green HRM and Psychological Safety: How Transformational Leadership Drives Follower's Job Satisfaction." Current Issues in Tourism 24(16):2269–77. doi²⁶⁶ : 10.1080/13683500.2020.1829569.^{267 268}

Mudana, I. G., I. B. P. Suamba, I. M. A. Putra, and N. W. Ardini. 2018. "Practices of Bali Tourism Development, Threefolding, and Tri Hita Karana Local Knowledge in New Order Indonesia." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 953(1). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/953/1/012108.

Mufti, Mishal, Peng Xiaobao, Syeh Jamal Shah, Asma Sarwar, and Yuan Zhenqing. 2020²⁶⁹. "Influence of Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction of NGO Employee: The Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment." *Journal of Public Affairs* 20(1):1–11. doi: 10.1002/pa.1983.

Nikpour, Amin. 2017²⁷⁰. "The Impact of Organizational Culture on Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Employee's Organizational Commitment." *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 6(1):65–72. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2017.60432.

Nowiński, Witold, Mohamed Yacine Haddoud, Drahoslav Lančarič, Dana Egerová, and Csilla Czeglédi. 2019. "The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education, Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy and Gender on Entrepreneurial Intentions of University Students in the Visegrad Countries." *Studies in Higher Education* 44(2):361–79. doi^{271 272} : 10.1080/03075079.2017.1365359.

Nuraeny, Henny. ²⁷³2017. "Trafficking of Migrant Workers in Indonesia: A Legal Enforcement and Economic Perspective of Prevention and Protection Efforts." *European Research Studies Journal* 20(4):16–26. doi: 10.35808/ersj/871.

Ohunakin, Folakemi, Adenike A. Adeniji, Olumuyiwa A. Oludayo, Adewale O. Osibanjo, and Oluseyi O. Oduyoye. 2019. "Employees' Retention in Nigeria's Hospitality Industry: The Role of Transformational Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction." *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality and Tourism* 18(4):441–70. ^{274 275}doi : 10.1080/15332845.2019.1626795.

Omama Koranteng, Francisca, Faisal Iddris, Gabriel Dwomoh, and Courage Simon Kofi Dogbe. ²⁷⁶2022. "Assessing the Moderating Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Organizational Leadership and Organizational Efficiency in the Banking Sector." *Evidence-Based HRM: A Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship* 10(3):330–46. ^{277 278}doi : 10.1108/EBHRM-07-2021-0143.

Patiar, Anoop, and Ying Wang. ²⁷⁹2016. "The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Hotel Departmental Performance." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 28(3):586–608. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-01-2014-0050.

Pawirosumarto, Suharno, Purwanto Katijan Sarjana, and Rachmad Gunawan. 2017. "The Effect of Work Environment, Leadership Style, and Organizational Culture towards Job Satisfaction and Its Implication towards Employee Performance in Parador Hotels and Resorts, Indonesia." *International Journal of Law and Management* 59(6):1337–58. doi: 10.1108/IJLMA-10-2016-0085.

Phuong, Nguyen Ngoc Duy, Mai Ngoc Khuong, Le Huu Phuc, and Le Nguyen Thanh Dong. 2018. "The Effect of Two-Dimensional Factor on Municipal Civil Servants' Job Satisfaction and Public Policy Implications." *The Journal of Asian*

Finance, Economics and Business 5(3):133–42. doi:

10.13106/jafeb.2018.vol5.no3.133.

Portela Maquieira, Silvia, Juan José Tarí, and José F. Molina-Azorín. 2020.

"Transformational Leadership and the European Foundation for Quality Management Model in Five-Star Hotels." *Journal of Tourism Analysis: Revista de Análisis Turístico* 27(2):99–118. doi: 10.1108/JTA-02-2019-0007.

Raharjo, Kusdi, Nurjannah, Solimun, and Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes.

2018. "The Influence of Organizational Culture and Job Design on Job Commitment and Human Resource Performance." *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 31(7):1346–67. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-07-2017-0286.

Rijal, Sapna. ²⁸⁰2016. "The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Learning Organization: A Comparative Analysis of the It Sector." *Journal of Administrative and Business Studies* 2(3):121–30. doi: ^{281 282}10.20474/jabs-2.3.3.

Ruthankoon, Rathavoot, and Stephen Olu Ogunlana. 2003. "Testing Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory in the Thai Construction Industry." *Engineering, Construction and ²⁸³Architectural Management* 10(5):333–41. doi: ^{284 285}10.1108/09699980310502946.

Sabuhari, Rahmat, Achmad Sudiro, Dodi W. Irawanto, and Mintarti Rahayu.

2020. "The Effects of Human Resource Flexibility, Employee Competency, Organizational Culture Adaptation and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance." *Management Science Letters* 10(8):1777–86. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2020.1.001.

Sajid, M. ²⁸⁶2016. "The Impact of Job Satisfaction and Knowledge Sharing on Employee Performance." *Journal of Resources Development and Management* 21(1992):16–23.

Sarros, James C., Brian K. Cooper, and Joseph C. Santora. 2008. "Building a Climate for Innovation through Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture." *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies* 15(2):145–58. doi :²⁸⁷ 10.1177/1548051808324100.

Shahzad, Imran Ahmad, Muhammad Farrukh, Nazar Omerabdallah Ahmed, Li Lin, and Nagina Kanwal. 2018.²⁸⁹ "The Role of Transformational Leadership Style, Organizational Structure and Job Characteristics in Developing Psychological Empowerment among Banking Professionals." *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management* 9(2):107–22. doi: 10.1108/JCHRM-01-2018-0002.

Simosi, Maria, and Athena Xenikou. 2010. "The Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Leadership and Organizational Commitment: An Empirical Study in a Greek Organization." *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 21(10):1598–1616. doi :^{290 291} 10.1080/09585192.2010.500485.

Singh, Monu, and Abhigyan Bhattacharjee. 2020.²⁹² "A Study to Measure Job Satisfaction Among Academicians Using Herzberg's Theory in the Context of Northeast India." *Global Business Review* 21(1):197–218. doi :^{293 294} 10.1177/0972150918816413.

Song, Xudong, Kan Shi, and Wei Zhou. 2022. "Mechanisms of Organizational Cultural Tightness on Work Engagement during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Moderating Role of Transformational Leadership." *Behavioral Sciences* 13(1):27. doi :^{295 296} 10.3390/bs13010027.

Sow, Mouhamadou, Jeanie Murphy, and Rosa Osuoha. 2017. "The Relationship between Leadership Style, Organizational Culture, and Job Satisfaction in the U.S.¹³² Healthcare Industry." *Management and Economics Research Journal* 3:1–10. doi: 10.18639/merj.2017.03.403737.

- Stone, M. ²⁹⁷[1974](#). "Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 36(2):111–33. ^{298 299}[doi](#) : 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1974.tb00994.x.
- Tayal, Ritu, Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay, and Reena Singh. ³⁰⁰[2022](#). "Transformational Leadership: An Antecedent for Organizational Effectiveness in Indian Banks." *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems* 52(5):718–39. ^{301 302}[doi](#) : 10.1108/VJIKMS-09-2020-0165.
- Thamrin, H. M. ³⁰³[2012](#). "The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance." *International Journal of Innovation, Management and ³⁰⁴Technology* 3(5):566–72. [doi](#): 10.7763/ijimt.2012.v3.299.
- Thant, Zaw Min, and Yongjin Chang. 2021. "Determinants of Public Employee Job Satisfaction in Myanmar: Focus on Herzberg's Two Factor Theory." *Public Organization Review* 21(1):157–75. ^{305 306}[doi](#) : 10.1007/s11115-020-00481-6.
- Tian, Hongyun, Shuja Iqbal, Shamim Akhtar, Sikandar Ali Qalati, Farooq Anwar, and Muhammad Aamir Shafique Khan. 2020. "The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Employee Retention: Mediation and Moderation Through Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Communication." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11(March):1–11. ^{307 308}[doi](#) : 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00314.
- Top, Mehmet, Mesut Akdere, and Menderes Tarcan. 2015. "Examining Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment ³⁰⁹and Organizational Trust in Turkish Hospitals: Public Servants versus Private Sector Employees." *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 26(9):1259–82. ^{310 311}[doi](#) : 10.1080/09585192.2014.939987.
- Tran, Quan H. N. 2021. "Organisational Culture, Leadership Behaviour and Job Satisfaction in the Vietnam Context." *International Journal of Organizational Analysis* 29(1):136–54. [doi](#): 10.1108/IJOA-10-2019-1919.

- Tseng, ³¹²Shu Mei. ³¹³2010. "The Correlation between Organizational Culture and Knowledge Conversion on Corporate Performance." *Journal of Knowledge Management* 14(2):269–84. doi ^{314 315}: 10.1108/13673271011032409.
- Utley, Dawn R., Jerry Westbrook, and Susan Turner. ³¹⁶1997. "The Relationship between Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and Quality Improvement Implementation." *EMJ - Engineering Management Journal* 9(3):5–14. doi ^{317 318}: 10.1080/10429247.1997.11414946.
- Yao, Tang, Qi Qiu, and Yigang Wei. 2019. "Retaining Hotel Employees as Internal Customers: Effect of Organizational Commitment on Attitudinal and Behavioral Loyalty of Employees." *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 76(March):1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.03.018.
- Yiing, Lee Huey, and Kamarul Zaman Bin Ahmad. 2009. "The Moderating Effects of Organizational Culture on the Relationships between Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Commitment and between Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction and Performance." *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 30(1):53–86. doi ^{319 320}: 10.1108/01437730910927106.
- Zeb, Ali, Fazal Akbar, Khawar Hussain, Adnan Safi, Muhammad Rabnawaz, and Faheem Zeb. 2021. "The Competing Value Framework Model of Organizational Culture, Innovation ³²¹and Performance." *Business Process Management Journal* 27(2):658–83. doi ^{322 323}: 10.1108/BPMJ-11-2019-0464.

1.	<i>was confirmed</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
2.	Further → ¶ Further	Intricate text	Clarity
3.	<i>were offered</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
4.	<i>mediation; Mediation</i>	Text inconsistencies	Correctness
5.	<i>is shown</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
6.	<i>is integrated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
7.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
8.	is a predictor of → predicts	Wordy sentences	Clarity
9.	, 2021	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
10.	Krishnan,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
11.	, 2021	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
12.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
13.	Chi,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
14.	, 2020	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
15.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
16.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

17.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
18.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
19.	<i>is regarded</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
20.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
21.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
22.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
23.		Tone suggestions	Delivery
24.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
25.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
26.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
27.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
28.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
29.	<i>is conducted</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
30.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
31.	<i>is required</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
32.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
33.	and → Moreover,, Furthermore,	Inappropriate colloquialisms	Delivery
34.	, 2006	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
35.	, 2019	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness

36.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
37.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
38.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
39.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
40.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
41.	that are	Wordy sentences	Clarity
42.	<i>be avoided</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
43.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
44.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
45.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
46.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
47.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
48.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
49.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
50.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
51.	<i>is explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
52.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
53.	<i>be stated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
54.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
55.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
56.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

57.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
58.	<i>is formed</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
59.	<i>be concluded</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
60.	<i>is defined</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
61.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
62.	Park,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
63.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
64.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
65.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
66.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
67.	Moreover → ¶ Moreover	Intricate text	Clarity
68.	<i>be explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
69.	<i>is mainly determined</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
70.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
71.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
72.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
73.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
74.	<i>directly</i>	Misplaced words or phrases	Correctness
75.	, 2013	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness

76.	, 2019	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
77.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
78.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
79.	<i>be separated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
80.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
81.	<i>is perceived</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
82.	Zhou,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
83.	Yang,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
84.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
85.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
86.	Rijal,	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
87.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
88.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
89.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
90.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
91.	<i>be fully achieved</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
92.	, 2019	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness

93.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
94.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
95.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
96.	<i>be explicitly discussed</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
97.	, 2018	Punctuation in compound/complex sentences	Correctness
98.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
99.	<i>been confirmed</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
100.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
101.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
102.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
103.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
104.		Tone suggestions	Delivery
105.	<i>being debated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
106.	<i>is shown</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
107.	<i>was conducted</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
108.	6 → six	Improper formatting	Correctness
109.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
110.	the (Determiner use (a/an/the/this, etc.)	Correctness
111.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

112.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
113.	<i>were proposed</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
114.	<i>was based</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
115.	<i>are illustrated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
116.	<i>was carried out</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
117.	<i>was measured</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
118.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
119.	The inspirational	Determiner use (a/an/the/this, etc.)	Correctness
120.	<i>was measured</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
121.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
122.	<i>was shown</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
123.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
124.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
125.	<i>was measured</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
126.	8 → eight	Improper formatting	Correctness
127.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
128.	<i>were explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
129.	<i>were explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
130.	<i>was obtained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
131.	<i>are depicted</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
132.	IM; IS; IC; AC; HC; OC; JS; MF; HF; TL; US;	Text inconsistencies	Correctness

N.Y; PT; KM; PR; U.S.

133.	solid communicative, robust communicative, communicative solid	Word choice	Engagement
134.	<i>was indicated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
135.	<i>is done</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
136.	strongest → most vital, most muscular, most robust, most vigorous	Word choice	Engagement
137.	<i>was indicated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
138.	<i>was explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
139.	<i>is established</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
140.	<i>was done</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
141.	<i>was continued</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
142.	Table	Wordy sentences	Clarity
143.	<i>were met</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
144.	<i>was continued</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
145.	<i>was based</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
146.	<i>are depicted</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
147.	<i>was explained</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
148.	<i>were considered</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
149.	stronger → more robust, more vital, more potent, more substantial	Word choice	Engagement

150.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
151.	good → sound	Word choice	Engagement
152.	<i>was continued</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
153.	greater → more significant	Word choice	Engagement
154.	solid mediating, robust mediating, mediating solid	Word choice	Engagement
155.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
156.	<i>was also conducted</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
157.	and → Moreover,, Furthermore,	Inappropriate colloquialisms	Delivery
158.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
159.	Meanwhile → ¶ Meanwhile	Intricate text	Clarity
160.	<i>was aligned</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
161.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
162.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
163.	<i>were described</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
164.	<i>be seen</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
165.	<i>was further aligned</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
166.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
167.	that applying	Inappropriate colloquialisms	Delivery
168.	positive → favorable	Word choice	Engagement
169.	Moreover → ¶ Moreover	Intricate text	Clarity
170.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

171.	<i>was continued</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
172.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
173.	Unmediation → Remediation	Misspelled words	Correctness
174.	<i>are illustrated</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
175.	greater → more significant	Word choice	Engagement
176.	greater → more significant	Word choice	Engagement
177.	Consequently → ¶ Consequently	Intricate text	Clarity
178.		Tone suggestions	Delivery
179.	<i>is comprehensively explored</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
180.	interesting → exciting	Word choice	Engagement
181.	determining	Wordy sentences	Clarity
182.	<i>are expected</i>	Passive voice misuse	Clarity
183.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
184.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
185.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
186.	<i>Non-Profit; Nonprofit</i>	Text inconsistencies	Correctness
187.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
188.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
189.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
190.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness

191.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
192.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
193.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
194.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
195.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
196.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
197.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
198.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
199.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
200.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
201.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
202.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
203.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
204.	Leadership ,	Improper formatting	Correctness
205.	Culture ,	Improper formatting	Correctness
206.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
207.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
208.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
209.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

210.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
211.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
212.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
213.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
214.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
215.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
216.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
217.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
218.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
219.	Commitment. → Commitment?	Closing punctuation	Correctness
220.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
221.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
222.	<i>aer</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
223.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
224.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
225.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
226.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
227.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
228.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

229.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
230.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
231.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
232.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
233.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
234.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
235.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
236.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
237.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
238.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
239.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
240.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
241.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
242.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
243.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
244.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
245.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
246.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

247.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
248.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
249.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
250.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
251.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
252.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
253.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
254.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
255.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
256.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
257.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
258.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
259.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
260.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
261.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
262.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
263.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
264.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

265.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
266.	<i>Current Issues in Tourism 24(16):2269–77.</i>	Incomplete sentences	Correctness
267.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
268.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
269.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
270.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
271.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
272.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
273.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
274.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
275.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
276.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
277.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
278.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
279.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
280.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
281.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
282.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

283.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
284.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
285.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
286.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
287.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
288.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
289.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
290.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
291.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
292.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
293.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
294.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
295.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
296.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
297.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
298.	doi	Unknown words	Correctness
299.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
300.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness

301.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
302.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
303.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
304.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
305.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
306.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
307.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
308.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
309.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
310.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
311.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
312.	Shu-Mei → Shu-Mei	Misspelled words	Correctness
313.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
314.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
315.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
316.		Incorrect citation format	Correctness
317.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
318.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

319.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
320.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness
321.	, and	Comma misuse within clauses	Correctness
322.	<i>doi</i>	Unknown words	Correctness
323.	doi:	Misuse of semicolons, quotation marks, etc.	Correctness

IMPROVING EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION: DO TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE MATTER?

I Nengah Aristana¹

Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia

I Wayan Edi Arsawan²

Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia

I Made Bayu Wisnawa³

Universitas Triatma Mulya Bali, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aims to validate the determinants of employee job satisfaction in the hospitality industry. This study employed a quantitative approach with a questionnaire as a data collection tool distributed to 240 five-star hotel employees. The research data collected were analyzed using SmartPLS 3.2.9. Subsequently, this study revealed that transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction and was related to organizational culture. Meanwhile, organizational culture was confirmed to fully intervene between transformational leadership and the dimensions of employee job satisfaction. Further, this study still has some limitations, i.e., bias from using self-assessment reports. Also, practical implications were offered. Thus, managers could gain a better comprehension of the relationship between variables and how the role of mediation is shown. Consequently, they would acquire more in-depth information as references in policy making. Theoretically, this research contributes to the knowledge base where the existing organizational culture significantly determines employee job satisfaction.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Keywords: transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memvalidasi determinan kepuasan kerja karyawan di industri perhotelan. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif dengan kuesioner sebagai alat pengumpulan data yang dibagikan kepada 240 karyawan hotel bintang lima. Data penelitian yang terkumpul dianalisis menggunakan SmartPLS 3.2.9. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini mengungkapkan bahwa kepemimpinan transformasional tidak mempengaruhi kepuasan kerja karyawan dan berhubungan dengan budaya organisasi. Sementara itu, budaya organisasi dipastikan mengintervensi sepenuhnya antara kepemimpinan transformasional dan dimensi kepuasan kerja karyawan. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini masih memiliki beberapa keterbatasan, yaitu bias dari penggunaan self-assessment report. Juga, implikasi praktis yang ditawarkan. Dengan demikian, manajer dapat memperoleh pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang hubungan antara variabel dan bagaimana peran mediasi ditunjukkan. Dengan demikian, mereka akan memperoleh informasi yang lebih mendalam sebagai referensi dalam pengambilan kebijakan. Secara teoritis, penelitian ini memberikan kontribusi terhadap basis pengetahuan dimana budaya organisasi yang ada secara signifikan menentukan kepuasan kerja karyawan.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Kata Kunci: kepemimpinan transformasional, budaya organisasi, dan kepuasan kerja karyawan

¹ Email: aristana@unmas.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The hospitality industry in Bali has been proliferating and undergoing a massive transformation in the last ten years, significantly contributing to the economy's improvement (Yao, Qiu, and Wei 2019). The recent literature that discusses the hotel business remains limited regarding systematic and in-depth studies, which affect several aspects, i.e., human resources in the hotel (Aristana et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). Along with its rapid development, the hotel business always strives to obtain competent human resources to provide services that meet international standards (Astuti, Ginaya, and Sadguna 2018). It is integrated with the perception that the primary product of a hotel is services or intangibles (Hewagama et al. 2019). Consequently, it is crucial to investigate satisfaction in improving employee performance, especially in the hotel industry; considering behavioral theory, job satisfaction is a predictor of employee retention (Khan et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020).

Previous studies have shown that leadership is critical in increasing employee job satisfaction (Aristana, Junipisa, and Dwitrayani 2021). Many studies have developed the concept of leadership to the assumption that leadership behavior is in a range of many situations (Asencio, 2016; Chen et al., 2021). Transformational leadership is considered the most effective leadership style in empowering (Krishnan 2012; Zeb et al. 2021), fostering organizational culture (Chi 2008; Sabuhari et al. 2020), motivating employees (Afsar & Umrani, 2019; Chen et al., 2009), and being able to increase employee job satisfaction (Boamah et al. 2018; Chi 2008; Ekowati, Troena, and Noermijati 2013). This study examines transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction and their essential role in addressing ever-changing challenges (Huikko-Tarvainen, Sajasalo, and Auvinen 2021), especially in the hotel industry. In addition, transformational leadership is regarded as the baseline for building organizational culture and increasing satisfaction (Hussain and Khayat 2021).

This study fulfills some gaps that exist in previous studies. First, transformational leadership can increase employee job satisfaction in manufacturing companies (Arsawan et al. 2022; Chi 2008; Eliyana, Ma'arif, and Muzakki 2019; Mufti et al. 2020). However, the success of transformational leadership in the hotel business is still not extensively explored. Furthermore, Ohunakin et al. (2019) study suggests that inspirational motivation and intellectual stimulation did not positively affect employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, it is recommended for a transformational leadership style to embrace attitudes and behaviors better despite previous studies mentioning that leader support can increase employee satisfaction (Aristana et al., 2022). Practically, transformational leadership has a positive psychological impact on employees (Mesu, Sanders, and Riemsdijk 2015). Second, based on the gap in study results regarding the impact of transformational leadership and job satisfaction (Ohunakin et al. 2019; Thamrin 2012), this study recommends organizational culture to address existing gaps (Cummings et al. 2018). Therefore, transformational leaders must recognize the existence of organizational culture. Given the unstable nature of the business environment, leaders must adapt individuals, teams, and organizations to the changes that occur (Bagga et al., 2022).

Third, the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational culture has not yet been measured in profit-oriented businesses (Acosta-Prado et al. 2020), notably in the hotel industry (Mohamed et al. 2013; Patiar and Wang 2016). The organizational culture of companies in developing countries is varied, given many adaptations of cultures that heavily contain local values (Chen et al., 2020; Mudana et al., 2018). Furthermore, Pawirosumarto et al. (2017) found that organizational culture is crucial in leadership and employee satisfaction. Consequently, this study discusses transformational leadership and organizational culture influencing employee job satisfaction.

This study is conducted with a focus on the hotel industry in Bali, following several considerations. First, the hotel is one of the industries supporting tourism development in Bali. The hotel provides extensive job opportunities for employees and job seekers. However, many become Indonesian migrant workers (Dinita 2013; Nuraeny 2017). This phenomenon raises the question of whether hotel employees are satisfied (hygiene factor and motivator factor). Second, COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on hotel management. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct studies on human resources in this industry, considering that job satisfaction is critical to improving performance (Aristana et al., 2022; Ineson et al., 2013). Third, the hotel industry is a service business that prioritizes service; thus, an investigation is required regarding job satisfaction from employee perceptions (Hewagama et al. 2019) since human resources have a crucial role in the service process. Based on this phenomenon, this study has several objectives: 1) does transformational leadership affect organizational culture and employee job satisfaction? 2) does organizational culture affect employee job satisfaction? and 3) does organizational culture mediate the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction?

This study constructs a research model that develops the relationship between transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction to fill existing gaps. In addition, this study has a design to examine the factors that shape employee job satisfaction and examine the role of organizational culture in mediating transformational leadership relationships. Consequently, this study's results can enrich references of transformational leadership studies, provide future research directions, offer hotel industry leaders insights into the significance of transformational leadership styles and organizational culture, and increase employee job satisfaction toward hotel performance. Based on these rationales, this study will undergo further investigation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Two-Factor Theory

Two-factor theory is a motivation theory that links intrinsic factors (motivator factors) and extrinsic factors (hygiene factors) to job satisfaction and dissatisfaction (Jensen and Luthans 2006; Nowiński et al. 2019; Singh and Bhattacharjee 2020). The two-factor theory was proposed by an American psychologist (Herzberg 1987) regarding variables that are perceived as desirable to achieve goals and adverse conditions that must be avoided (Locke and Latham 2019). Herzberg asserts that the absence of this motivator factor will not result in significant job dissatisfaction; instead can provide high job satisfaction (Utley et al., 1997; Alfayad and Mohd, 2017). Furthermore, the hygiene

factor can motivate them to work harder. Thus, the absence of the hygiene factor can cause insufficient work among the employees. The absence of hygiene factors can also lead to dissatisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2022; Thant and Chang 2021). Hygiene factor includes company policies, supervision, salary, working conditions, workplace safety and health, relationships with colleagues, physical workplaces, and relationships between superiors and subordinates (Ruthankoon and Ogunlana, 2003).

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership has an essential need in its role in building a work climate by inspiring subordinates through motivation (Jaiswal and Dhar 2015). In addition, transformational leadership is explained as a process that influences changes in the attitudes and perceptions of subordinates and can influence the organization's missions, goals, and strategies (Lehmann-Willenbrock et al., 2015; Babić et al., 2014). Mittal & Dhar (2015) transformational leaders succeed in changing the focus of their subordinates from self-interest into a collective vision and can inspire subordinates to do work beyond their obligations. The difference in characteristics shown by leaders distinguishes transformational leadership behavior (Deinert et al. 2015). Ågotnes et al. (2021) argue that transformational leadership is a resource and reward for employees of the imbalance between effort and reward. Theoretically, transformational leadership can be stated as a type of leadership that can manage subordinates to achieve organizational goals.

Organizational culture

Organizational culture is shared and believed values firmly embedded by leaders and permeated by all members of the organization (Koranteng et al., 2022). Organizational culture represents the shared values, beliefs, and principles of organizational members (Nikpour 2017). These shared values and beliefs influence the behavior of the organization's, impacting organizational decisions and effectiveness (Meng and Berger 2019). Thus organizational culture connects groups/people who work in the same organization (Tseng 2010), and organizational culture can differentiate organizations from one another (Pawirosumarto et al., 2017; Aziz et al., 2019). Sometimes the organizational culture that is formed can be a strategy to create a sustainable competitive advantage (González-Rodríguez et al. 2019). Raharjo et al. (2018) explained that organizational culture could help employees foster a sense of belonging, community, attitudes, and what to do. Subsequently, it can be concluded that organizational culture can connect all shared elements into a common belief (Aristana, Junipisa, and Yogantara 2020).

Employee Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction has been a fascinating topic for researchers and is defined as an accepted difference from the work done (Sajid 2016). Thus, organizational culture significantly determines job satisfaction (I. Nengah Aristana et al. 2022). Employee satisfaction is a consequence of events and indicates personal well-being (Cho and Park 2011). Besides, the ability to adapt is crucial (Tran 2021). However, job satisfaction highly depends on the organization (Chandra et al. 2019). Moreover, accepting attitudes toward the work environment determines their satisfaction level (Liu et al., 2020; Ko & Choi, 2019). It is primarily determined by employee behavior (Chen & Wang, 2019). Based on the existing theory, employee job satisfaction can be explained as a feeling that is owned in work following the results obtained and is mainly determined by the individual, the environment, and the organization. This study tries to reduce employee job satisfaction through two dimensions, i.e., hygiene and motivator factors. These two

factors determine whether an employee feels satisfaction or dissatisfaction (Herzberg 1987).

Hypothesis Development

Transformational Leadership and Employee Job Satisfaction

The concept of transformational leadership was developed (Burns 1978) and continued by (Bass 1985), where the transformational leadership type is believed to direct the organization to achieve excellence when addressing renewal and transformation (Thamrin 2012). Several empirical studies show a positive relationship and increased employee productivity and organizational performance through employee satisfaction (Khan et al., 2020; Labrague et al., 2020; Moin et al., 2021). In order to achieve all of that, it can be supported by applying a transformational leadership style. Applying transformational leadership directly increases employee satisfaction (Ekowati et al. 2013; Eliyana et al. 2019), which impacts team satisfaction and collective team performance (Braun et al., 2013; Top et al., 2015). Chen et al. (2021) report that transformational leadership is proven to help employees improve their abilities, can provide a sense of equality, and raises awareness. Applying transformational leadership behavior by leaders is helpful as a strategy to create better working conditions (Boamah et al. 2018). Based on the findings of previous studies, the authors formulate the first hypothesis as follows:

H1: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor)

Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture

Discussion on organizational culture cannot be separated from the role of leaders as decision-makers (Gurmani et al. 2021). Following its meaning, organizational culture is perceived as shared values and beliefs deeply rooted and significantly determined by top managers' roles (Song, Shi, and Zhou 2022). Previous studies explain that leadership relates to an organizational culture built within the organizations (Koranteng et al., 2022). Further, it is inseparable from the ability of transformational leadership to build a work culture and be able to bring the organization to a higher intensity of competition (Azhar and Yang 2022) and can bring change to the organization (Khan et al., 2020; Rijal, 2016; Shahzad et al., 2018). Consequently, several studies report that transformational leadership significantly affects organizational culture (Abbasi and Zamani-Miandashti 2013; Al-Shibami et al. 2019) because transformational leaders are more suitable for technical matters in the workplace and organizational effectiveness (Tayal, Upadhyay, and Singh 2022). Practically managers must adopt transformational leadership to foster a culture of engagement to acquire a quality management approach (Lasrado and Kassem 2020). Looking at the results of previous studies, the authors formulate the second hypothesis as follows:

H2: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on organizational culture

Organizational Culture and Employee Job Satisfaction

Organizational culture is a comprehensive concept related to a consensus that has yet to be fully achieved. Organizational culture is a shared belief about the values, assumptions, and meanings associated with members of the organization (Jardioui, Garengo, and El Alami 2019). In addition, organizational culture is a critical element for driving organizational effectiveness and is a connecting element between strategy,

structure, and work practices (Hartnell et al. 2019). Organizational constructive culture is group norms that encourage achievement, decision-making participation, teamwork, social support, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization (Simosi and Xenikou 2010). Therefore, this culture can increase employee job satisfaction (Chi, 2008; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009; Dirani, 2009; Pawirosumarto et al., 2017). These findings further reinforce that the organization's organizational culture relates to employee job satisfaction (Al-Sada, Al-Esmael, and Faisal 2017). Although the relevance of cultural emphasis and satisfaction has yet to be explicitly discussed, the owned culture increases satisfaction and performance (Dirisu et al. 2018). So the authors formulate the third hypothesis as follows:

H3: There is a positive influence between organizational culture on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Mediating Role of Organizational Culture

The significance of organizational culture has been confirmed in several studies, where organizational culture can have a positive impact on employee satisfaction and performance (Al-Sada et al. 2017; Dirisu et al. 2018). Satisfaction is an employee's perception of the work environment, relationships with co-workers, organizational goals and strategies, and success factors. This organizational culture series impacts employee attitudes and behavior related to function and welfare (Belias and Koustelios 2014). However, when organizational culture is involved in the relationship between transformational leadership and job satisfaction, organizational culture does not show intervention (Sow, Murphy, and Osuoha 2017); thus, organizational culture is not stated as a mediator (Irwan et al. 2020). In other studies, organizational culture is not mediating the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational beliefs (Abdullah et al., 2015). However, other studies (e.g., Meng & Berger, 2019) report that organizational culture shows high intervention in the relationship between leadership and employee satisfaction. Likewise, Metwally et al. (2019) found that organizational culture mediates increased leadership relationships with satisfaction. The role of organizational culture in mediating the relationship between transformational leadership and satisfaction is still being debated, so this study re-examines this relationship. Seeing the gaps in the results of previous studies, the authors tried to formulate the fourth hypothesis as follows:

H4: Organizational culture mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Research Concept Framework

Based on the formulated hypothesis, the conceptual framework of the research is shown in Figure 1. This study measures the direct influence of transformational leadership and organizational culture on employee job satisfaction, in addition to the organizational culture variable used as a mediator. Therefore, the variables used in the prediction can explain employee job satisfaction.



Figure 1. Research Concept Framework

2. RESEARCH METHODS

Population and Sample

This research was conducted at five-star hotels across 6 regencies in Bali Province (Badung 40, Gianyar 6, Denpasar 4, Tabanan 2, Karangasem 1, and Singaraja 1). These six regions became models for tourism development in Bali Province. Thus, the population of this study was 54 five-star hotels. Determination of the sample in this study employed the (Krejcie and Morgan 1970)'s formulation in which we obtained 48 five-star hotels that were proposed as a sample framework. We selected five employees from each five-star hotel resulting in 240 respondents. **The selection of employees was based on two criteria. First, the selected employees were at the management level in a five-star hotel. Second, they must have at least two years of experience in their current position.** Questionnaire distribution was conducted online via email and offline using a manual questionnaire during visits to the selected hotels. The data collection was conducted from December 2021 to July 2022. The characteristics of the respondents are illustrated in Table 1. The distribution of the questionnaire was carried out in two stages. The first questionnaire was distributed to 30 respondents to test validity and reliability (using IMB SPSS 21). The instrument was valid if it had a correlation coefficient product-moment value (r) greater than 0.3 ($r > 0.3$) and was reliable if it had a Cronbach Alpha value greater than 0.6 ($CA > 0.6$) (Hair et al., 2013). When the instrument was valid and reliable, it was followed by distributing questionnaires to the targeted respondents. Furthermore, the collected data was analyzed using Smart PLS 3.2.9.

Measurement

The study measures three main variables, i.e., transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction. The application of the assessment of each research variable uses a five-point Likert scale (1 strongly disagree to 5 strongly agree). Transformational leadership is measured through four dimensions with 12 statement items adopted from previous research (Maquieira et al., 2020).

1. The idealized influence was measured by a future mission, values and beliefs, and inspired loyalty.
2. The inspirational motivation was measured by communicative culture, integration and team mobilization, and behavioral consistency.
3. Intellectual stimulation was measured by continuous improvement, knowing the group's needs, and thinking of new ways.
4. Individualized consideration was measured by considering personal feelings, best thinking, and the benefits of achieving goals.

To measure organizational culture using three dimensions with 14 items adopted from the research (Tseng 2010), that is:

1. Clan culture was shown by kinship, the leader as a mentor, high commitment, and prioritizing cohesion and morals (Keskin et al. 2005).

2. Adhocracy culture was described as a dynamic and entrepreneurial spirit, a leader as an entrepreneur and innovator, a commitment to innovation, and a readiness to address new challenges (Keskin et al. 2005).
3. Hierarchy culture was measured by formal procedures, leadership by example, management style, formal policies, implementation of controls, and organizational efficiency (Alqudah, Carballo-Penela, and Ruzo-Sanmartín 2022).

The employee job satisfaction variable was explained through two dimensions with 8 items adopted from the research (Phuong et al. 2018):

1. Motivator factors were explained with indicators, i.e., rights and responsibilities, career development, and job descriptions.
2. Hygiene factors were explained with indicators, i.e., teamwork, salary, relationships with colleagues, leadership, and work environment.

Table 1. Respondent Demographics

Employees detail (n=240)	Frequency	%
Gender		
Male	127	52.90
Female	113	47.10
Education		
Senior High School	46	19.17
Diploma	102	42.50
Bachelor	88	36.67
Postgraduate	4	1.67
Experience (in years)		
1 – 10 Years	212	88.33
11 – 20 Years	16	6.67
21 - 30 and above	12	5.00
Department		
House Keeping	30	12.50
Accounting	45	18.75
Public Relations	17	7.08
HRD	18	7.50
Restaurant	60	25.00
Marketing	10	4.17
Front Office	34	14.17
Engineering	14	5.83
SPA	12	5.00

Source: Authors' calculation

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Based on the analysis of the collected data, information related to the demographics of the respondents was obtained, and they are depicted in Table 2., Table 3., and Table 4.

Table 2. Description of Transformational Leadership Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Transformational Leadership	4.11	Good
Idealized influence (II)	4.12	Good
Engaging employees in future missions	4.03	Good
Reveal the most important values and beliefs to employees	4.16	Good
Inspires loyalty to the establishment of employees	4.18	Good
Inspirational motivation (IM)	4.07	Good

Formation of a strong communicative culture in all work areas	3.87	Good
Behaviors that enable team integration and mobilization	4.20	Good
Be consistent between what they say and what they do	4.14	Good
Intellectual stimulation (IS)	4.22	Good
Take part in the continuous improvement process	4.35	Very Good
When these activities go beyond management's responsibilities	4.11	Good
Allows employees to think about old problems in new ways	4.19	Good
Individualized consideration (IC)	4.02	Good
Considers employees' personal feelings before acting	4.03	Good
Encourage employees to think about the best way to do things	4.08	Good
Show employees the benefits of achieving the establishment goals	3.95	Good

Source: Authors' calculation

Transformational leadership was well-perceived by respondents, with the most dominant dimension being intellectual stimulation. It was indicated that transformational leaders reinforced employees to make continuous improvements.

Table 3 Description of Organizational Culture Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Organization culture	4.18	High
Clan Culture (CC)	4.13	High
Divisions share a lot like family	4.26	Very High
Leaders generally become mentors	4.41	Very High
Commitment to this company runs high	3.72	High
The importance of promoting cohesion and morals	4.11	High
Adhocracy Culture (AC)	4.25	Very High
Employees are willing to persevere and take risks	4.07	High
Leaders are considered entrepreneurs, innovators, or risk-takers	4.14	High
Commit to innovation and development	4.43	Very High
Readiness to face new challenges	4.37	Very High
Hierarchy culture (HC)	4.17	High
The organization is a formal place that formally regulates what is done	3.99	High
Leaders are considered an example of coordination and efficiency	4.32	Very High
Management style reflects predictability and stability	4.02	High
Unify the organization with formal policies	4.31	Very High
Application of controls for stability and smoothness	4.21	High
Organizations define success based on efficiency	4.16	High

Source: Authors' calculation

Meanwhile, the respondents perceived the organizational culture variable as high. Where the strongest dimension of organizational culture was indicated by adhocracy culture, which was explained through a commitment to innovation and development

Table 4. Description of Job Satisfaction Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Job satisfaction	4.23	High
Motivator Factors	4.21	High
Employees get the appropriate rights and obligations	4.20	High
Employees get the same career development opportunities	4.21	High
Each employee gets a clear job description	4.21	High
Hygiene Factors	4.26	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the teamwork that is established	4.27	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the salary they receive	4.47	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the leadership applied	4.42	Very High
Employees feel comfortable with the relationships that exist with colleagues	4.18	High
Employees are satisfied with the work environment	3.95	High

Source: Authors' calculation

As with organizational culture, the variable of job satisfaction was also perceived as high by respondents. For the dimension of job satisfaction, the strongest explained was the hygiene factor, where employees felt very satisfied with the salary received.

Outer model measurement

The first stage of model testing was done by knowing the results of the validity and reliability of the model. First, test the convergent validity by looking at the outer loading value greater than 0.6. Then, the test was continued by comparing the value of the $\sqrt{\text{AVE}}$ coefficient with the construct used. It met discriminant validity greater than 0.5 (cut off > 0,5) (Hair et al., 2013).

Table 5. The Loading Factor Values of Each Indicator

Variable	Dimension	Indicator	Outer loading	
Transformasional Leadership (TL)	Idealized influence (II)	II1	0.733	
		II2	0.876	
		II3	0.888	
	Inspirational motivation (IM)	IM1	0.680	
		IM2	0.877	
		IM3	0.876	
	Intellectual stimulation (IS)	IS1	0.841	
		IS2	0.878	
		IS3	0.878	
	Organizational Culture (OC)	Individualized consideration (IC)	IC1	0.851
			IC2	0.931
			IC3	0.921
		Clan Culture (CC)	CC1	0.814
			CC2	0.840
			CC3	0.775
CC4			0.823	
Adhocracy Culture (AC)		AC1	0.847	
		AC2	0.820	
	AC3	0.801		
	AC4	0.827		
Hierarchy culture (HC)	HC1	0.830		
	HC2	0.842		
	HC3	0.811		
	HC4	0.766		
	HC5	0.558		
	HC6	0.572		
Job satisfaction (JS)	Motivator Factors (MF)	MO1	0.942	
		MO2	0.930	
		MO3	0.891	
	Hygiene Factors (HF)	HF1	0.876	
		HF2	0.761	
		HF3	0.720	
		HF4	0.887	
		HF5	0.745	

Source: Authors' calculation

The analysis revealed that the statement item's outer loading value met the requirements of convergent validity except for HC5 and HC6. Consequently, these items were not included in the next test, as shown in Table 5. The AVE and $\sqrt{\text{AVE}}$ tests met the cut-off criteria, which illustrates in Table 5., Table 6., and Table 7.

Table 6. AVE Values and correlation among variables

Construct	\sqrt{AVE}	Correlation*			
		Hygiene Factor	Motivator	Organization culture	Transformational Leadership
Hygiene Factor	0.801	1.000			
Motivator Factors	0.921	0.854	1.000		
Organization culture	0.754	0.768	0.735	1.000	
Transformational Leadership	0.770	0.600	0.573	0.745	1.000

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 7. Instruments reliability test Constructs

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	(AVE)
Transformational Leadership	0.936	0.941	0.945	0.593
Idealized influence	0.783	0.811	0.873	0.698
Inspirational motivation	0.742	0.761	0.855	0.666
Intellectual stimulation	0.832	0.833	0.899	0.749
Individualized consideration	0.884	0.884	0.929	0.813
Organization culture	0.940	0.945	0.948	0.569
Clan Culture	0.842	0.844	0.894	0.679
Adhocracy Culture	0.829	0.831	0.887	0.662
Hierarchy culture	0.887	0.889	0.923	0.749
Motivator Factors	0.911	0.914	0.944	0.849
Hygiene Factor	0.859	0.873	0.899	0.642

Source: Authors' calculation

The last step in the structural model is to calculate the indicator value of each construct, looking at composite reliability, i.e., Cronbach's Alpha with a significance greater than 0.7. The analysis showed that the composite reliability value was in the range of 0.855 – 0.948. The Cronbach's Alpha value also indicated a value greater than 0.7 with a range of 0.742 – 0.940. Accordingly, the results were declared free from the random error problem, as seen in Table 7.

Inner model measurement

After the outer model test criteria were met, the test was continued on the inner model measurement. First, it was based on the research model and the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Gentle et al. (2012) categorized the relationship between variables into three based on the R Square value, i.e., 0.67 (strong), 0.33 (medium), and 0.19 (weak) (Hair et al., 2013). The results of the model feasibility test are depicted in Table 8.

Table 8. Feasibility of Research Model

Construct	R Square	R Square Adjusted
Motivator Factors	0.542	0.538
Hygiene Factors	0.592	0.588
Organizational Culture	0.555	0.553
Average	0.563	0.560

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 8. showed that the three variables' R square had a value of more than 0.33 and less than 0.67. Following (Hair et al., 2013), the research model was moderate. For the average value (0.563), this result denoted that the construct had a relationship of 56.3 percent, and 43.7 percent was explained by other variables that were not included in the research model and were considered in adjusting other constructs in future studies.

The next stage was to predict the research framework through Q-Square predictive relevance (Q^2). The model's prediction was stronger if it had a value close to 1 (Stone 1974). Calculations showed that the value of Q^2 was 0.682 (good). Subsequently, this research framework had good ability and simultaneously explained that the relationship between constructs of 68.20 percent and 31.8 percent was an error factor.

Table 9. Effect Size Analysis (f^2)

Variable	β	Mean	Deviation	T Statistics	P Values
			n		
TL -> MF	0.573	0.576	0.052	11.017	0.000
TL -> HF	0.600	0.605	0.040	14.873	0.000
TL -> OC	0.745	0.747	0.026	28.355	0.000
OC -> MF	0.692	0.687	0.062	11.166	0.000
OC -> HF	0.722	0.716	0.055	13.165	0.000
Average	0.666				

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), dan Organizational Culture (OC).

The test was continued by knowing the effect size (f^2), which aims to obtain detailed information on the independent and exogenous variables (Cohen, Usher, and McClelland 1998). Effect size has three sizes, namely: weak (< 0.15), moderate ($0.15 - 0.35$), and (> 0.35) (Chin, 1998). From the analysis shown in Table 9, the average value of 0.666 was greater than 0.35. Thus, it can be explained by the pattern of a strong mediating relationship (Cohen et al. 1998).

Hypothesis Testing

After the testing stage, hypothesis testing was also conducted to determine the direct and indirect effects, as depicted in Table 10. and Figure 2.




Figure 2. Bootstrapping Model Smart PLS

Table 10. and Figure 2. revealed that transformational leadership had a positive and insignificant effect on motivator factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.057 with a p-value of 0.477 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.711 less than 1.96. Consequently, hypothesis 1a was not supported. Transformational leadership had a positive but insignificant effect on hygiene factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.062 with a p-value of 0.331 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.973 less than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 1b was not supported. These findings explained that transformational leadership did not impact motivator and hygiene factors as the cause of employee job satisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005). If it was related to the research location, i.e., the hospitality industry, it was possible because the intensity of work and the high workload made it difficult for interaction between leaders and their subordinates. Meanwhile, transformational leadership requires interaction to gain trust, motivate, increase awareness, and provide personal attention. The study's finding was aligned with the previous study (Thamrin 2012), where transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction. Furthermore, the present study supported previous studies (Asencio 2016; Eliyana et al. 2019; Mufti et al. 2020), which found that transformational leadership significantly affected employee job satisfaction. More specific findings were described by (Mickson, Anlesinya, and Malcolm 2021), where transformational leadership supported intrinsic and extrinsic employee satisfaction.

Table 10. The direct relationship between variables

Relationship between variables	β	Mean	Deviation	T Statistics	P Values	Description
TL -> MF	0.057	0.062	0.080	0.711	0.477	Not Supported
TL -> HF	0.062	0.070	0.064	0.973	0.331	Not Supported
TL -> OC	0.745	0.747	0.026	28.355	0.000	Supported
OC -> MF	0.722	0.716	0.055	13.165	0.000	Supported
OC -> HF	0.692	0.687	0.062	11.166	0.000	Supported

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), and Organization Culture (OC).

The analysis conducted on transformational leadership revealed a positive and significant influence on organizational culture. It can be seen from the path coefficient of 0.745 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 28.355 greater than 1.96. Hence, hypothesis 2 was supported. These results confirmed that transformational leadership has a critical role in building an organizational culture which supported previous research (Shiva & Suar, 2012; Sarros et al., 2008; Kim, 2014). This finding was further aligned with (Rijal 2016), who described applying transformational leadership can impact changing organizational culture. **The study contributed to the valuable insight that transformational leadership can build an organizational culture believed to be the attitudes and beliefs of all hotel employees. The formed organizational culture can increase when supported by a transformational leadership style.**

Organizational culture and motivator factors also signified a positive and significant influence with the path coefficient value of 0.722 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 13.165 greater than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 3a was supported. Organizational culture also indicated a significant positive influence on other dimensions of job satisfaction, i.e., hygiene factors, with a path coefficient value of 0.692 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 11.166 greater than 1.96. Therefore, hypothesis 3b was supported. This finding indicated that the role of organizational culture significantly determined the level of employee satisfaction at work. Moreover, this study also confirmed the previous findings (Al-Sada et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Lok & Crawford, 1999; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009). However, the study finding contradicted the previous studies (Irwan et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021), which found that organizational culture did not support employee job satisfaction. Consequently, **the findings of this study indicated that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors; thus, they impacted employee job satisfaction.**

After measuring the direct effect of each variable, the measurement was continued by testing the indirect effect according to the mechanism of the structural equation model. The Sobel Test measured the mediating role with a significance greater than 1.96. Meanwhile, the mediation classification was adapted from (Hair et al. 2010) as follows: Fully Mediation (if a and b were significant, while c was not significant), Partial Mediation (if a, b, and c were significant), and Unmediation (if a or b was not significant, and c was significant/insignificant).

Table 11. Mediation Test (Sobel Test)

Model	Calculation	T Table	Description
TL -> OC -> MF	$0,745 \cdot 0,722 / \sqrt{(0,722^2 \cdot 0,026^2) + (0,745^2 \cdot 0,055^2)}$	1,96	Mediated

TL -> OC -> HF	11,9344 0,745.0,692/ $\sqrt{(0,692^2 \cdot 0,026^2) + (0,745^2 \cdot 0,062^2)}$ 10,4002	1,96	Mediated
----------------	--	------	----------

Sumber: Author calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), dan Organization Culture (OC).

Mediation testing utilized the Sobel Test to determine the role of organizational culture as a mediator. This study had two mediation pathways that were measured and are illustrated in Table 11. First, the test revealed that the t-count value of 11.9344 was greater than the t-table of 1.96. Accordingly, the authors concluded that organizational culture significantly intervened in the relationship of transformational leadership with motivator factors. Thus, hypothesis 4a was supported. Second, the test also indicated that the t-count value of 10.4002 was greater than the t-table of 1.96. These results explained that organizational culture was also proven to interfere with the relationship of transformational leadership with hygiene factors. Consequently, hypothesis 4b was supported. Adopting (Hair et al., 2013) criteria, organizational culture played a role as a full mediator in the leadership relationship with motivator and hygiene factors as a representation of job satisfaction and employee job satisfaction. **The findings of this study denoted that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors. Therefore, they impacted employee job satisfaction.**

CONCLUSION

The hotel industry is currently addressing challenges to improve its conditions after the devastating impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many aspects required improvement, considering the conditions yet to be fully recovered. Moreover, it requires significant efforts to restore the hotel industry to be competitive. From the organizational context, transformational leadership plays a role in building organizational culture and increasing employee job satisfaction. This study shows that transformational leadership forms organizational culture but does not impact employee job satisfaction. Hence, it **indicates that the hotel industry is not aligned with the transformational leadership style and requires a more dynamic leadership style suitable for hotel operations prioritizing speed and accuracy. However, organizational culture shows two crucial roles. First, it increases employee job satisfaction directly. Second, organizational culture enhances transformational leadership relationships in increasing employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, the hotel industry must build an organizational culture to accommodate the lack of applied leadership style.**

This study also has three theoretical contributions. First, this study enriches transformational leadership literacy, primarily the second-order construct, which is comprehensively explored. Second, this study provides an insightful perspective on how transformational leadership improves employee job satisfaction through organizational culture. Third, organizational culture is proven to be a full mediator and a crucial determinant in increasing employee job satisfaction from motivator and hygiene factors, especially in the hospitality industry.

Following the findings, the authors provide three managerial implications for managers and employees. First, managers must consider the appropriate type of leadership in producing employee job satisfaction regarding hygiene and motivator factors. Given that transformational leadership is not related to satisfaction. However, transformational leadership culture is proven to build an organizational culture (clan culture, adhocracy culture, and hierarchy culture). Second, managers need to facilitate organizational culture because it determines employee behavior towards their organization, especially concerning leadership and satisfaction. Third, the managers' success in building organizational culture is the key to increasing satisfaction and achieving organizational goals.

Regardless of theoretical and managerial contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the determination of the sample is limited solely to the hotel industry in Indonesia, precisely in Bali. This study was conducted in developing countries, thus, offering diverse and interesting findings since the hotel industry is experiencing a massive transition after the COVID-19 pandemic. Second, this study merely highlights transformational leadership and organizational culture to predict employee job satisfaction. Meanwhile, many other determining factors, i.e., organizational commitment, trust, and organizational support, must be considered to minimize interference in increasing employee job satisfaction. It is better if respective leadership styles can be used as a moderator, considering that leaders are policyholders related to organizational management for strengthening job satisfaction and increasing organizational productivity. Third, this study uses a causality approach; the data collected is a self-assessment. Consequently, it is still possible for bias to occur, and thus, in-depth or longitudinal studies are expected for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, Enayat, and Naser Zamani-Miandashti. 2013. "The Role of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture and Organizational Learning in Improving the Performance of Iranian Agricultural Faculties." *Higher Education* 66(4):505–19. doi: 10.1007/s10734-013-9618-8.
- Acosta-Prado, Julio C., Oscar H. López-Montoya, Carlos Sanchís-Pedregosa, and Rodrigo A. Zárate-Torres. 2020. "Human Resource Management and Innovative Performance in Non-Profit Hospitals: The Mediating Effect of Organizational Culture." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11(June):1–12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01422.
- Afsar, Bilal, and Waheed Ali Umrani. 2019. "Transformational Leadership and Innovative Work Behavior: The Role of Motivation to Learn, Task Complexity and Innovation Climate." *European Journal of Innovation Management* 23(3):402–28. doi: 10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257.
- Ågotnes, Kari Wik, Anders Skogstad, Jørn Hetland, Olav Kjellevoll Olsen, Roar Espevik, Arnold B. Bakker, and Ståle Valvatne Einarsen. 2021. "Daily Work Pressure and Exposure to Bullying-Related Negative Acts: The Role of Daily Transformational and Laissez-Faire Leadership." *European Management Journal* 39(4):423–33. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2020.09.011.
- Al-Sada, Maryam, Bader Al-Esmael, and Mohd Nishat Faisal. 2017. "Influence of Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction, Commitment and Motivation in the Educational Sector in Qatar." *EuroMed Journal of Business* 12(2):163–88. doi: 10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003.

- Al-Shibami, Ahmed Hamoud, Nayef Alateibi, Mohammed Nusari, Ali Ameen, Gamal S. A. Khalifa, and Amiya Bhaumik. 2019. "Impact of Organizational Culture on Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance." *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* 8(2):653–64. doi: 10.35940/ijrte.B1116.0982S1019.
- Alqudah, Ikrema H. A., Adolfo Carballo-Penela, and Emilio Ruzo-Sanmartín. 2022. "High-Performance Human Resource Management Practices and Readiness for Change: An Integrative Model Including Affective Commitment, Employees' Performance, and the Moderating Role of Hierarchy Culture." *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 28(1):100177. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100177.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, I. Wayan Edi Arsawan, and Ni Wayan Rustiarini. 2022. "Employee Loyalty during Slowdown of Covid-19: Do Satisfaction and Trust Matter?" *International Journal of Tourism Cities* 8(1):223–43. doi: 10.1108/IJTC-03-2021-0036.
- Aristana, I Nengah, Made Christin Dwitrayani, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and I. Ketut Eli Sumerta. 2022. "Efek Inovasi Terhadap Kinerja Usaha Kecil Dan Menengah." *Journal of Applied Management and Accounting Science* 3(2):171–86. doi: 10.51713/jamas.v3i2.61.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Made Christin Dwitrayani. 2021. "Kepemimpinan Dan Kepuasan Kerja: Mediasi Komunikasi." *JKBM (Jurnal Konsep Bisnis Dan Manajemen)* 7(2):167–78. doi: 10.31289/jkbm.v7i2.4841.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Komang Krishna Yogantara. 2020. "Model Kinerja Koperasi." *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis* 23(2):285–316. doi: 10.24914/jeb.v23i2.3299.
- Arsawan, I. Wayan Edi, Ni Made Kariati, Yevheniia Shchokina, Putu Adriani Prayustika, Ni Wayan Rustiarini, and Viktor Koval. 2022. "Invigorating Employee'S Innovative Work Behavior: Exploring the Sequential Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment and Knowledge Sharing." *Business: Theory and Practice* 23(1):117–30. doi: 10.3846/btp.2022.15684.
- Asencio, Hugo. 2016. "Leadership, Trust, and Job Satisfaction in the Public Sector: A Study of US Federal Employees." *International Review of Public Administration* 21(3):250–67. doi: 10.1080/12294659.2016.1237342.
- Astuti, Ni Nyoman Sri, Gede Ginaya, and I. Gede Agus Jaya Sadguna. 2018. "A Hotel Front Desk Receptionist and Catur Paramita Values: A Study of Implementing Local Wisdom in Hospitality Industry." Pp. 479–84 in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS 2018)*. Vol. 226. Paris, France: Atlantis Press.
- Azhar, Aisha, and Kaifeng Yang. 2022. "Examining the Influence of Transformational Leadership and Green Culture on Pro-Environmental Behaviors: Empirical Evidence From Florida City Governments." *Review of Public Personnel Administration* 42(4):738–59. doi: 10.1177/0734371X211027347.
- Aziz, Abdul R. Z., Indra Budi Sumantoro, and Delli Maria. 2019. "Total Quality Management of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), and the Impact to Organizational Culture and Performance: Emerging Country Case." *Polish Journal of Management Studies* 19(1):32–45. doi: 10.17512/pjms.2019.19.1.03.
- Babić, Verica M., Slađjana D. Savović, and Violeta M. Domanović. 2014. "Transformational Leadership and Post-Acquisition Performance in Transitional Economies." *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 27(6):856–76. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-02-2014-0028.

- Bass, B. .. 1985. *Leadership and Performance*. N.Y. Free Press.
- Belias, Dimitrios, and Athanasios Koustelios. 2014. "Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction: A Review." *International Review of Management and Marketing* 4(2):132–49.
- Boamah, Sheila A., Heather K. Spence Laschinger, Carol Wong, and Sean Clarke. 2018. "Effect of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Patient Safety Outcomes." *Nursing Outlook* 66(2):180–89. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2017.10.004.
- Braun, Susanne, Claudia Peus, Silke Weisweiler, and Dieter Frey. 2013. "Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, and Team Performance: A Multilevel Mediation Model of Trust." *Leadership Quarterly* 24(1):270–83. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.11.006.
- Burns, James MacGregor. 1978. *Leadership*. N.Y, Harper and Row.
- Chandra, Teddy, Layla Hafni, Stefani Chandra, Astri Ayu Purwati, and Jennifer Chandra. 2019. "The Influence of Service Quality, University Image on Student Satisfaction and Student Loyalty." *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 26(5):1533–49. doi: 10.1108/BIJ-07-2018-0212.
- Chen, Chun Hsi Vivian, Hung Hui Li, and Ya Yun Tang. 2009. "Transformational Leadership and Creativity: Exploring the Mediating Effects of Creative Thinking and Intrinsic Motivation." *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development* 6(2):198–211. doi: 10.1504/IJMED.2009.022627.
- Chen, Han, Yvette Green, and Kim Williams. 2021. "Does Perceived Manager Support Reduce Hotel Supervisors' Emotional Exhaustion? The Mediating Role of Control over Time and Negative Emotions." *International Hospitality Review*. doi: 10.1108/IHR-03-2021-0024.
- Chen, Hsi Tien, and Chih Hung Wang. 2019. "Incivility, Satisfaction and Turnover Intention of Tourist Hotel Chefs: Moderating Effects of Emotional Intelligence." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 31(5):2034–53. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-02-2018-0164.
- Chen, Jian Yu, Suk Jun Lim, Hyun Jung Nam, and Joe Phillips. 2020. "Local Culture as a Corporate Social Responsibility Multiplier: Confucian Values' Mediation between Firm Policies and Employees' Attitude." *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration* 12(3–4):387–407. doi: 10.1108/APJBA-04-2019-0088.
- Chi, Hsin Kuang. 2008. "The Effects of Transformation Leadership , Organizational Culture , Job Satisfaction on the Organizational Performance in the Non-Profit Organizations." *Transformation* 4(1):129–37.
- Chin, Wynne W. 1998. "Commentary: Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling." *JSTOR* 22(1):7–16.
- Cho, Yoon Jik, and Hanjun Park. 2011. "Exploring the Relationships Among Trust, Employee Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment." *Public Management Review* 13(4):551–73. doi: 10.1080/14719037.2010.525033.
- Cohen, Jonathan D., Marius Usher, and James L. McClelland. 1998. "A PDP Approach to Set Size Effects within the Stroop Task: Reply to Kanne, Balota, Spieler, and Faust (1998)." *Psychological Review* 105(1):188–94. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.105.1.188.
- Cummings, Greta G., Kaitlyn Tate, Sarah Lee, Carol A. Wong, Tanya Paananen, Simone P. M. Micaroni, and Gargi E. Chatterjee. 2018. "Leadership Styles and Outcome Patterns for the Nursing Workforce and Work Environment: A Systematic Review." *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 85(9):19–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.04.016.
- Deinert, Anika, Astrid C. Homan, Diana Boer, Sven C. Voelpel, and Daniela Gutermann.

2015. "Transformational Leadership Sub-Dimensions and Their Link to Leaders' Personality and Performance." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1095–1120. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.08.001.
- DeShields, Oscar W., Ali Kara, and Erdener Kaynak. 2005. "Determinants of Business Student Satisfaction and Retention in Higher Education: Applying Herzberg's Two-factor Theory." *International Journal of Educational Management* 19(2):128–39. doi: 10.1108/09513540510582426.
- Dinita, Setyawati. 2013. "Assets or Commodities? Comparing Regulations of Placement and Protection of Migrant Workers in Indonesia and the Philippines." *ASEAS - Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies* 6(2):264–90.
- Dirani, Khalil M. 2009. "Measuring the Learning Organization Culture, Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction in the Lebanese Banking Sector." *Human Resource Development International* 12(2):189–208. doi: 10.1080/13678860902764118.
- Dirisu, Joy, Rowland Worlu, Adewale Osibanjo, Odunayo Salau, Taiye Borishade, Sandra Meninwa, and Tolulope Atolagbe. 2018. "An Integrated Dataset on Organisational Culture, Job Satisfaction and Performance in the Hospitality Industry." *Data in Brief* 19:317–21. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.137.
- Ekowati, Vivin Maharani, Eka Afnan Troena, and Noermijati Noermijati. 2013. "Organizational Citizenship Behavior Role in Mediating the Effect of Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance: Studies in PT Bank Syariah Mandiri Malang East Java." *International Journal of Business and Management* 8(17):1–12. doi: 10.5539/ijbm.v8n17p1.
- Eliyana, Anis, Syamsul Ma'arif, and Muzakki. 2019. "Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment Effect in the Transformational Leadership towards Employee Performance." *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 25(3):144–50. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2019.05.001.
- Gentle, J. E., W. K. Härdle, and Y. Mori. 2011. *Handbook of Statistical Bioinformatics*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- González-Rodríguez, María Rosario, Rosario Carmen Martín-Samper, Mehmet Ali Köseoglu, and Fevzi Okumus. 2019. "Hotels' Corporate Social Responsibility Practices, Organizational Culture, Firm Reputation, and Performance." *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 27(3):398–419. doi: 10.1080/09669582.2019.1585441.
- Gurmani, Jehanzeb Khan, Noor Ullah Khan, Muhammad Khaliq, Muhammad Yasir, Asfia Obaid, and Nur Ain Ayunni Sabri. 2021. "Do Environmental Transformational Leadership Predicts Organizational Citizenship Behavior towards Environment in Hospitality Industry: Using Structural Equation Modelling Approach." *Sustainability* 13(10):1–29. doi: 10.3390/su13105594.
- Hair, Joseph F., Christian M. Ringle, and Marko Sarstedt. 2013. "Editorial - Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Rigorous Applications, Better Results and Higher Acceptance." *Long Range Planning* 46(1–2):1–12.
- Hair, Joseph F., Ronald L. Tatham, Rolph E. Anderson, and William Black. 2010. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hartnell, Chad A., Amy Y. Ou, Angelo J. Kinicki, Dongwon Choi, and Elizabeth P. Karam. 2019. "A Meta-Analytic Test of Organizational Culture's Association with Elements of an Organization's System and Its Relative Predictive Validity on Organizational Outcomes." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 104(6):832–50. doi: 10.1037/apl0000380.
- Hazana Abdullah, Nor, Alina Shamsuddin, and Eta Wahab. 2015. "Does Organizational Culture Mediate the Relationship between Transformational Leadership and

- Organizational Commitment.” *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 4(1):18–32. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2015.60344.
- Hendrato, Muhammad Luthfi, Arief Subyantoro, and Wisnalmawati. 2021. “The Effect of Organizational Culture and Information Technology on Employee Performance with Employee Satisfaction as a Mediator in The Electronic Court System (E-Court) in District Court of Sleman, Yogyakarta.” *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Sustainable Innovation 2020-Accounting and Management* 176:242–46. doi: 10.2991/aer.k.210121.033.
- Herzberg, Frederick. 1987. “One More Time: How Do You Motivate Employees? With Retrospective.” *Harvard Business Review* Sept-Oct(87507):6–16.
- Hewagama, Gayani, Peter Boxall, Gordon Cheung, and Ann Hutchison. 2019. “Service Recovery through Empowerment? HRM, Employee Performance and Job Satisfaction in Hotels.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 81(Agust):73–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.03.006.
- Huikko-Tarvainen, Sari, Pasi Sajasalo, and Tommi Auvinen. 2021. “Just a Leader? Leadership Work Challenges and Identity Contradiction Experienced by Finnish Physician Leaders.” *Journal of Health Organization and Management* 35(9):195–210. doi: 10.1108/JHOM-10-2020-0421.
- Hussain, Mohammad Kamal, and Rayan Abdullah M. Khayat. 2021. “The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Organisational Commitment Among Hospital Staff: A Systematic Review.” *Journal of Health Management* 23(4):614–30. doi: 10.1177/09720634211050463.
- Ineson, Elizabeth M., Eszter Benke, and József László. 2013. “Employee Loyalty in Hungarian Hotels.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 32(1):31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.04.001.
- Irwan, Andi, Mahfudnurnajamuddin Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Syamsu Nujum, and Suriyanti Mangkona. 2020. “The Effect of Leadership Style, Work Motivation and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance Mediated by Job Satisfaction.” *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding* 7(8):642–57. doi: 10.18415/ijmmu.v7i8.2007.
- Jaiswal, Neeraj Kumar, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. “Transformational Leadership, Innovation Climate, Creative Self-Efficacy and Employee Creativity: A Multilevel Study.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 51:30–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.07.002.
- Jardioui, Meriam, Patrizia Garengo, and Semma El Alami. 2019. “How Organizational Culture Influences Performance Measurement Systems in SMEs.” *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 69(2):217–35. doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0363.
- Jensen, Susan M., and Fred Luthans. 2006. “Entrepreneurs as Authentic Leaders: Impact on Employees’ Attitudes.” *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 27(8):646–66. doi: 10.1108/01437730610709273.
- Kaur Bagga, Simranjeet, Shikha Gera, and Syed Nadimul Haque. 2022. “The Mediating Role of Organizational Culture: Transformational Leadership and Change Management in Virtual Teams.” *Asia Pacific Management Review* xxx(xxxx):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.07.003.
- Keskin, Halit, Ali E. Akgun, Ayşe Günsel, and Salih Zeki İmamoğlu. 2005. “The Relationships between Adhocracy and Clan Cultures and Tacit Oriented KM Strategy.” *Journal of Transnational Management* 10(3):39–53. doi: 10.1300/J482v10n03_04.
- Khan, Asif, Sughra Bibi, Jiaying Lyu, Mohammad Alam, Muhammad Mussa Khan, and

- Mohammad Nurunnabi. 2021. "The Quest of Tourism and Overall Well-Being: The Developing Economy of Pakistan." *PSU Research Review* 5(2):120–40. doi: 10.1108/PRR-07-2019-0022.
- Khan, Muhammad Asad, Fadillah Binti Ismail, Altaf Hussain, and Basheer Alghazali. 2020. "The Interplay of Leadership Styles, Innovative Work Behavior, Organizational Culture, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior." *SAGE Open* 10(1–16). doi: 10.1177/2158244019898264.
- Khan, Naseer Abbas, Ali Nawaz Khan, Mohsin Ali Soomro, and Shahid Kalim Khan. 2020. "Transformational Leadership and Civic Virtue Behavior: Valuing Act of Thriving and Emotional Exhaustion in the Hotel Industry." *Asia Pacific Management Review* 25(4):216–25. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2020.05.001.
- Khan, Saif-Ur-Rehman, Mahwish Anjam, Mohammad Abu Faiz, Faisal Khan, and Hashim Khan. 2020. "Probing the Effects of Transformational Leadership on Employees' Job Satisfaction With Interaction of Organizational Learning Culture." *SAGE Open* 10(2):1–9. doi: 10.1177/2158244020930771.
- Kim, Hougyn. 2014. "Transformational Leadership, Organizational Clan Culture, Organizational Affective Commitment, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Case of South Korea's Public Sector." *Public Organization Review* 14(3):397–417. doi: 10.1007/s11115-013-0225-z.
- Ko, Young Jin, and Jin Nam Choi. 2019. "Overtime Work as the Antecedent of Employee Satisfaction, Firm Productivity, and Innovation." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 40(3):282–95. doi: 10.1002/job.2328.
- Krejcie, Robert V., and Daryle W. Morgan. 1970. "Determining Sample Size for Research Activities." *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 30(3):607–10. doi: 10.1177/001316447003000308.
- Krishnan, Venkat R. 2012. "Transformational Leadership and Personal Outcomes: Empowerment as Mediator." *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 33(6):550–63. doi: 10.1108/01437731211253019.
- Labrague, Leodoro J., Chidozie E. Nwafor, and Konstantinos Tsaras. 2020. "Influence of Toxic and Transformational Leadership Practices on Nurses' Job Satisfaction, Job Stress, Absenteeism and Turnover Intention: A Cross-Sectional Study." *Journal of Nursing Management* 28(5):1104–13. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13053.
- Lasrado, Flevy, and Rassel Kassem. 2020. "Let's Get Everyone Involved! The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Organizational Excellence." *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* 38(1):169–94. doi: 10.1108/IJQRM-11-2019-0349.
- Lee, Byunghyun, Changjae Lee, Ilyoung Choi, and Jaekyeong Kim. 2022. "Analyzing Determinants of Job Satisfaction Based on Two-Factor Theory." *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14(19):1–19. doi: 10.3390/su141912557.
- Lehmann-Willenbrock, Nale, Annika L. Meinecke, Jens Rowold, and Simone Kauffeld. 2015. "How Transformational Leadership Works during Team Interactions: A Behavioral Process Analysis." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1017–33. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.07.003.
- Liu, Xinyi, Ying Zeng, Juan He, and Zhiyong Li. 2022. "Value Cocreation Research in Tourism and Hospitality: A Comparative Bibliometric Analysis." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 34(2):663–86. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-05-2021-0666.
- Liu, Yan, Mehmet Şükrü Bellibaş, and Sedat Gümüş. 2021. "The Effect of Instructional Leadership and Distributed Leadership on Teacher Self-Efficacy and Job Satisfaction: Mediating Roles of Supportive School Culture and Teacher

- Collaboration.” *Educational Management Administration & Leadership* 49(3):430–53. doi: 10.1177/1741143220910438.
- Locke, Edwin A., and Gary P. Latham. 2019. “The Development of Goal Setting Theory: A Half Century Retrospective.” *Motivation Science* 5(2):93–105. doi: 10.1037/mot0000127.
- Lok, Peter, and John Crawford. 1999. “The Relationship between Commitment and Organizational Culture, Subculture, Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction in Organizational Change and Development.” *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 20(7):365–74. doi: 10.1108/01437739910302524.
- Mahalinga Shiva, M. S. A., and Damodar Suar. 2012. “Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture, Organizational Effectiveness, and Programme Outcomes in Non-Governmental Organizations.” *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 23(3):684–710. doi: 10.1007/s11266-011-9230-4.
- Meng, Juan, and Bruce K. Berger. 2019. “The Impact of Organizational Culture and Leadership Performance on PR Professionals’ Job Satisfaction: Testing the Joint Mediating Effects of Engagement and Trust.” *Public Relations Review* 45(1):64–75. doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.11.002.
- Mesu, Jos, Karin Sanders, and Maarten van Riemsdijk. 2015. “Transformational Leadership and Organisational Commitment in Manufacturing and Service Small to Medium-Sized Enterprises.” *Personnel Review* 44(6):970–90. doi: 10.1108/PR-01-2014-0020.
- Metwally, Dina, Pablo Ruiz-Palomino, Mohamed Metwally, and Leire Gartzia. 2019. “How Ethical Leadership Shapes Employees’ Readiness to Change: The Mediating Role of an Organizational Culture of Effectiveness.” *Frontiers in Psychology* 10(November):1–18. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02493.
- Mickson, Michael K., Alex Anlesinya, and Ebenezer Malcalm. 2021. “Mediation Role of Diversity Climate on Leadership and Job Satisfaction in the Ghanaian Public Sector.” *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development* 17(2):167–88. doi: 10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2019-0080.
- Mittal, Swati, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. “Transformational Leadership and Employee Creativity: Mediating Role of Creative Self-Efficacy and Moderating Role of Knowledge Sharing.” *Management Decision* 53(5):894–910. doi: 10.1108/MD-07-2014-0464.
- Mohamed, Ramesh Kumar Moona Haji, Dr Che Supian Mohamad Nor, Nurfadhilah Abu Hasan, Venkadesan Olaganthan, and Yoshudha Gunasekaran. 2013. “The Impact of an Organization’s Culture towards Employees’ Performance: A Study on the Frontline Hotel Employees.” *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 3(8):1–28. doi: 10.6007/ijarbss/v3-i8/107.
- Moin, Muhammad Farrukh, Muhamad Khalil Omar, Feng Wei, Muhammad Imran Rasheed, and Zahid Hameed. 2021. “Green HRM and Psychological Safety: How Transformational Leadership Drives Follower’s Job Satisfaction.” *Current Issues in Tourism* 24(16):2269–77. doi: 10.1080/13683500.2020.1829569.
- Mudana, I. G., I. B. P. Suamba, I. M. A. Putra, and N. W. Ardini. 2018. “Practices of Bali Tourism Development, Threefolding, and Tri Hita Karana Local Knowledge in New Order Indonesia.” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 953(1). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/953/1/012108.
- Mufti, Mishal, Peng Xiaobao, Syeh Jamal Shah, Asma Sarwar, and Yuan Zhenqing. 2020. “Influence of Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction of NGO Employee: The Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment.” *Journal of Public Affairs*

- 20(1):1–11. doi: 10.1002/pa.1983.
- Nikpour, Amin. 2017. “The Impact of Organizational Culture on Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Employee’s Organizational Commitment.” *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 6(1):65–72. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2017.60432.
- Nowiński, Witold, Mohamed Yacine Haddoud, Drahoslav Lančarič, Dana Egerová, and Csilla Czeglédi. 2019. “The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education, Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy and Gender on Entrepreneurial Intentions of University Students in the Visegrad Countries.” *Studies in Higher Education* 44(2):361–79. doi: 10.1080/03075079.2017.1365359.
- Nuraeny, Henny. 2017. “Trafficking of Migrant Workers in Indonesia: A Legal Enforcement and Economic Perspective of Prevention and Protection Efforts.” *European Research Studies Journal* 20(4):16–26. doi: 10.35808/ersj/871.
- Ohunakin, Folakemi, Adenike A. Adeniji, Olumuyiwa A. Oludayo, Adewale O. Osibanjo, and Oluseyi O. Oduyoye. 2019. “Employees’ Retention in Nigeria’s Hospitality Industry: The Role of Transformational Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction.” *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality and Tourism* 18(4):441–70. doi: 10.1080/15332845.2019.1626795.
- Omama Koranteng, Francisca, Faisal Iddris, Gabriel Dwomoh, and Courage Simon Kofi Dogbe. 2022. “Assessing the Moderating Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Organizational Leadership and Organizational Efficiency in the Banking Sector.” *Evidence-Based HRM: A Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship* 10(3):330–46. doi: 10.1108/EBHRM-07-2021-0143.
- Patiar, Anoop, and Ying Wang. 2016. “The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Hotel Departmental Performance.” *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 28(3):586–608. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-01-2014-0050.
- Pawirosumarto, Suharno, Purwanto Katijan Sarjana, and Rachmad Gunawan. 2017. “The Effect of Work Environment, Leadership Style, and Organizational Culture towards Job Satisfaction and Its Implication towards Employee Performance in Parador Hotels and Resorts, Indonesia.” *International Journal of Law and Management* 59(6):1337–58. doi: 10.1108/IJLMA-10-2016-0085.
- Phuong, Nguyen Ngoc Duy, Mai Ngoc Khuong, Le Huu Phuc, and Le Nguyen Thanh Dong. 2018. “The Effect of Two-Dimensional Factor on Municipal Civil Servants’ Job Satisfaction and Public Policy Implications.” *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 5(3):133–42. doi: 10.13106/jafeb.2018.vol5.no3.133.
- Portela Maquieira, Silvia, Juan José Tarí, and José F. Molina-Azorín. 2020. “Transformational Leadership and the European Foundation for Quality Management Model in Five-Star Hotels.” *Journal of Tourism Analysis: Revista de Análisis Turístico* 27(2):99–118. doi: 10.1108/JTA-02-2019-0007.
- Raharjo, Kusdi, Nurjannah, Solimun, and Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes. 2018. “The Influence of Organizational Culture and Job Design on Job Commitment and Human Resource Performance.” *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 31(7):1346–67. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-07-2017-0286.
- Rijal, Sapna. 2016. “The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Learning Organization: A Comparative Analysis of the It Sector.” *Journal of Administrative and Business Studies* 2(3):121–30. doi: 10.20474/jabs-2.3.3.
- Ruthankoon, Rathavoot, and Stephen Olu Ogunlana. 2003. “Testing Herzberg’s Two-Factor Theory in the Thai Construction Industry.” *Engineering, Construction and*

- Architectural Management* 10(5):333–41. doi: 10.1108/09699980310502946.
- Sabuhari, Rahmat, Achmad Sudiro, Dodi W. Irawanto, and Mintarti Rahayu. 2020. “The Effects of Human Resource Flexibility, Employee Competency, Organizational Culture Adaptation and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance.” *Management Science Letters* 10(8):1777–86. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2020.1.001.
- Sajid, M. 2016. “The Impact of Job Satisfaction and Knowledge Sharing on Employee Performance.” *Journal of Resources Development and Management* 21(1992):16–23.
- Sarros, James C., Brian K. Cooper, and Joseph C. Santora. 2008. “Building a Climate for Innovation through Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture.” *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies* 15(2):145–58. doi: 10.1177/1548051808324100.
- Shahzad, Imran Ahmad, Muhammad Farrukh, Nazar Omerabdallah Ahmed, Li Lin, and Nagina Kanwal. 2018. “The Role of Transformational Leadership Style, Organizational Structure and Job Characteristics in Developing Psychological Empowerment among Banking Professionals.” *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management* 9(2):107–22. doi: 10.1108/JCHRM-01-2018-0002.
- Simosi, Maria, and Athena Xenikou. 2010. “The Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Leadership and Organizational Commitment: An Empirical Study in a Greek Organization.” *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 21(10):1598–1616. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2010.500485.
- Singh, Monu, and Abhigyan Bhattacharjee. 2020. “A Study to Measure Job Satisfaction Among Academicians Using Herzberg’s Theory in the Context of Northeast India.” *Global Business Review* 21(1):197–218. doi: 10.1177/0972150918816413.
- Song, Xudong, Kan Shi, and Wei Zhou. 2022. “Mechanisms of Organizational Cultural Tightness on Work Engagement during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Moderating Role of Transformational Leadership.” *Behavioral Sciences* 13(1):27. doi: 10.3390/bs13010027.
- Sow, Mouhamadou, Jeanie Murphy, and Rosa Osuoha. 2017. “The Relationship between Leadership Style, Organizational Culture, and Job Satisfaction in the U.S. Healthcare Industry.” *Management and Economics Research Journal* 3:1–10. doi: 10.18639/merj.2017.03.403737.
- Stone, M. 1974. “Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 36(2):111–33. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1974.tb00994.x.
- Tayal, Ritu, Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay, and Reena Singh. 2022. “Transformational Leadership: An Antecedent for Organizational Effectiveness in Indian Banks.” *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems* 52(5):718–39. doi: 10.1108/VJIKMS-09-2020-0165.
- Thamrin, H. M. 2012. “The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance.” *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology* 3(5):566–72. doi: 10.7763/ijimt.2012.v3.299.
- Thant, Zaw Min, and Yongjin Chang. 2021. “Determinants of Public Employee Job Satisfaction in Myanmar: Focus on Herzberg’s Two Factor Theory.” *Public Organization Review* 21(1):157–75. doi: 10.1007/s11115-020-00481-6.
- Tian, Hongyun, Shuja Iqbal, Shamim Akhtar, Sikandar Ali Qalati, Farooq Anwar, and Muhammad Aamir Shafique Khan. 2020. “The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Employee Retention: Mediation and Moderation Through Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Communication.” *Frontiers in Psychology*

- 11(March):1–11. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00314.
- Top, Mehmet, Mesut Akdere, and Menderes Tarcan. 2015. “Examining Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Organizational Trust in Turkish Hospitals: Public Servants versus Private Sector Employees.” *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 26(9):1259–82. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2014.939987.
- Tran, Quan H. N. 2021. “Organisational Culture, Leadership Behaviour and Job Satisfaction in the Vietnam Context.” *International Journal of Organizational Analysis* 29(1):136–54. doi: 10.1108/IJOA-10-2019-1919.
- Tseng, Shu Mei. 2010. “The Correlation between Organizational Culture and Knowledge Conversion on Corporate Performance.” *Journal of Knowledge Management* 14(2):269–84. doi: 10.1108/13673271011032409.
- Utley, Dawn R., Jerry Westbrook, and Susan Turner. 1997. “The Relationship between Herzberg’s Two-Factor Theory and Quality Improvement Implementation.” *EMJ - Engineering Management Journal* 9(3):5–14. doi: 10.1080/10429247.1997.11414946.
- Yao, Tang, Qi Qiu, and Yigang Wei. 2019. “Retaining Hotel Employees as Internal Customers: Effect of Organizational Commitment on Attitudinal and Behavioral Loyalty of Employees.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 76(March):1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.03.018.
- Yiing, Lee Huey, and Kamarul Zaman Bin Ahmad. 2009. “The Moderating Effects of Organizational Culture on the Relationships between Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Commitment and between Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction and Performance.” *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 30(1):53–86. doi: 10.1108/01437730910927106.
- Zeb, Ali, Fazal Akbar, Khawar Hussain, Adnan Safi, Muhammad Rabnawaz, and Faheem Zeb. 2021. “The Competing Value Framework Model of Organizational Culture, Innovation and Performance.” *Business Process Management Journal* 27(2):658–83. doi: 10.1108/BPMJ-11-2019-0464.

IMPROVING EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION: DO TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE MATTER?

I Nengah Aristana¹

Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia

I Wayan Edi Arsawan²

Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia

I Made Bayu Wisnawa³

Universitas Triatma Mulya Bali, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aims to validate the determinants of employee job satisfaction in the hospitality industry. This study employed a quantitative approach with a questionnaire as a data collection tool distributed to 240 five-star hotel employees. The research data collected were analyzed using SmartPLS 3.2.9. Subsequently, this study revealed that transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction and was related to organizational culture. Meanwhile, organizational culture was confirmed to fully intervene between transformational leadership and the dimensions of employee job satisfaction. Further, this study still has some limitations, i.e., bias from using self-assessment reports. Also, practical implications were offered. Thus, managers could gain a better comprehension of the relationship between variables and how the role of mediation is shown. Consequently, they would acquire more in-depth information as references in policy making. Theoretically, this research contributes to the knowledge base where the existing organizational culture significantly determines employee job satisfaction.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Keywords: transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memvalidasi determinan kepuasan kerja karyawan di industri perhotelan. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif dengan kuesioner sebagai alat pengumpulan data yang dibagikan kepada 240 karyawan hotel bintang lima. Data penelitian yang terkumpul dianalisis menggunakan SmartPLS 3.2.9. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini mengungkapkan bahwa kepemimpinan transformasional tidak mempengaruhi kepuasan kerja karyawan dan berhubungan dengan budaya organisasi. Sementara itu, budaya organisasi dipastikan mengintervensi sepenuhnya antara kepemimpinan transformasional dan dimensi kepuasan kerja karyawan. Selanjutnya, penelitian ini masih memiliki beberapa keterbatasan, yaitu bias dari penggunaan self-assessment report. Juga, implikasi praktis yang ditawarkan. Dengan demikian, manajer dapat memperoleh pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang hubungan antara variabel dan bagaimana peran mediasi ditunjukkan. Dengan demikian, mereka akan memperoleh informasi yang lebih mendalam sebagai referensi dalam pengambilan kebijakan. Secara teoritis, penelitian ini memberikan kontribusi terhadap basis pengetahuan dimana budaya organisasi yang ada secara signifikan menentukan kepuasan kerja karyawan.

JEL: A13, D23, D91

Kata Kunci: kepemimpinan transformasional, budaya organisasi, dan kepuasan kerja karyawan

¹ Email: aristana@unmas.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The hospitality industry in Bali has been proliferating and undergoing a massive transformation in the last ten years, significantly contributing to the economy's improvement (Yao, Qiu, and Wei 2019). The recent literature that discusses the hotel business remains limited regarding systematic and in-depth studies, which affect several aspects, i.e., human resources in the hotel (Aristana et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). Along with its rapid development, the hotel business always strives to obtain competent human resources to provide services that meet international standards (Astuti, Ginaya, and Sadguna 2018). It is integrated with the perception that the primary product of a hotel is services or intangibles (Hewagama et al. 2019). Consequently, it is crucial to investigate satisfaction in improving employee performance, especially in the hotel industry; considering behavioral theory, job satisfaction is a predictor of employee retention (Khan et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020).

Previous studies have shown that leadership is critical in increasing employee job satisfaction (Aristana, Junipisa, and Dwitrayani 2021). Many studies have developed the concept of leadership to the assumption that leadership behavior is in a range of many situations (Asencio, 2016; Chen et al., 2021). Transformational leadership is considered the most effective leadership style in empowering (Krishnan 2012; Zeb et al. 2021), fostering organizational culture (Chi 2008; Sabuhari et al. 2020), motivating employees (Afsar & Umrani, 2019; Chen et al., 2009), and being able to increase employee job satisfaction (Boamah et al. 2018; Chi 2008; Ekowati, Troena, and Noermijati 2013). This study examines transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction and their essential role in addressing ever-changing challenges (Huikko-Tarvainen, Sajasalo, and Auvinen 2021), especially in the hotel industry. In addition, transformational leadership is regarded as the baseline for building organizational culture and increasing satisfaction (Hussain and Khayat 2021).

This study fulfills some gaps that exist in previous studies. First, transformational leadership can increase employee job satisfaction in manufacturing companies (Arsawan et al. 2022; Chi 2008; Eliyana, Ma'arif, and Muzakki 2019; Mufti et al. 2020). However, the success of transformational leadership in the hotel business is still not extensively explored. Furthermore, Ohunakin et al. (2019) study suggests that inspirational motivation and intellectual stimulation did not positively affect employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, it is recommended for a transformational leadership style to embrace attitudes and behaviors better despite previous studies mentioning that leader support can increase employee satisfaction (Aristana et al., 2022). Practically, transformational leadership has a positive psychological impact on employees (Mesu, Sanders, and Riemsdijk 2015). Second, based on the gap in study results regarding the impact of transformational leadership and job satisfaction (Ohunakin et al. 2019; Thamrin 2012), this study recommends organizational culture to address existing gaps (Cummings et al. 2018). Therefore, transformational leaders must recognize the existence of organizational culture. Given the unstable nature of the business environment, leaders must adapt individuals, teams, and organizations to the changes that occur (Bagga et al., 2022).

Third, the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational culture has not yet been measured in profit-oriented businesses (Acosta-Prado et al. 2020), notably in the hotel industry (Mohamed et al. 2013; Patiar and Wang 2016). The organizational culture of companies in developing countries is varied, given many adaptations of cultures that heavily contain local values (Chen et al., 2020; Mudana et al., 2018). Furthermore, Pawirosumarto et al. (2017) found that organizational culture is crucial in leadership and employee satisfaction. Consequently, this study discusses transformational leadership and organizational culture influencing employee job satisfaction.

This study is conducted with a focus on the hotel industry in Bali, following several considerations. First, the hotel is one of the industries supporting tourism development in Bali. The hotel provides extensive job opportunities for employees and job seekers. However, many become Indonesian migrant workers (Dinita 2013; Nuraeny 2017). This phenomenon raises the question of whether hotel employees are satisfied (hygiene factor and motivator factor). Second, COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on hotel management. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct studies on human resources in this industry, considering that job satisfaction is critical to improving performance (Aristana et al., 2022; Ineson et al., 2013). Third, the hotel industry is a service business that prioritizes service; thus, an investigation is required regarding job satisfaction from employee perceptions (Hewagama et al. 2019) since human resources have a crucial role in the service process. Based on this phenomenon, this study has several objectives: 1) does transformational leadership affect organizational culture and employee job satisfaction? 2) does organizational culture affect employee job satisfaction? and 3) does organizational culture mediate the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction?

This study constructs a research model that develops the relationship between transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction to fill existing gaps. In addition, this study has a design to examine the factors that shape employee job satisfaction and examine the role of organizational culture in mediating transformational leadership relationships. Consequently, this study's results can enrich references of transformational leadership studies, provide future research directions, offer hotel industry leaders insights into the significance of transformational leadership styles and organizational culture, and increase employee job satisfaction toward hotel performance. Based on these rationales, this study will undergo further investigation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Two-Factor Theory

Two-factor theory is a motivation theory that links intrinsic factors (motivator factors) and extrinsic factors (hygiene factors) to job satisfaction and dissatisfaction (Jensen and Luthans 2006; Nowiński et al. 2019; Singh and Bhattacharjee 2020). The two-factor theory was proposed by an American psychologist (Herzberg 1987) regarding variables that are perceived as desirable to achieve goals and adverse conditions that must be avoided (Locke and Latham 2019). Herzberg asserts that the absence of this motivator factor will not result in significant job dissatisfaction; instead can provide high job satisfaction (Utley et al., 1997; Alfayad and Mohd, 2017). Furthermore, the hygiene

factor can motivate them to work harder. Thus, the absence of the hygiene factor can cause insufficient work among the employees. The absence of hygiene factors can also lead to dissatisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2022; Thant and Chang 2021). Hygiene factor includes company policies, supervision, salary, working conditions, workplace safety and health, relationships with colleagues, physical workplaces, and relationships between superiors and subordinates (Ruthankoon and Ogunlana, 2003).

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership has an essential need in its role in building a work climate by inspiring subordinates through motivation (Jaiswal and Dhar 2015). In addition, transformational leadership is explained as a process that influences changes in the attitudes and perceptions of subordinates and can influence the organization's missions, goals, and strategies (Lehmann-Willenbrock et al., 2015; Babić et al., 2014). Mittal & Dhar (2015) transformational leaders succeed in changing the focus of their subordinates from self-interest into a collective vision and can inspire subordinates to do work beyond their obligations. The difference in characteristics shown by leaders distinguishes transformational leadership behavior (Deinert et al. 2015). Ågotnes et al. (2021) argue that transformational leadership is a resource and reward for employees of the imbalance between effort and reward. Theoretically, transformational leadership can be stated as a type of leadership that can manage subordinates to achieve organizational goals.

Organizational culture

Organizational culture is shared and believed values firmly embedded by leaders and permeated by all members of the organization (Koranteng et al., 2022). Organizational culture represents the shared values, beliefs, and principles of organizational members (Nikpour 2017). These shared values and beliefs influence the behavior of the organization's, impacting organizational decisions and effectiveness (Meng and Berger 2019). Thus organizational culture connects groups/people who work in the same organization (Tseng 2010), and organizational culture can differentiate organizations from one another (Pawirosumarto et al., 2017; Aziz et al., 2019). Sometimes the organizational culture that is formed can be a strategy to create a sustainable competitive advantage (González-Rodríguez et al. 2019). Raharjo et al. (2018) explained that organizational culture could help employees foster a sense of belonging, community, attitudes, and what to do. Subsequently, it can be concluded that organizational culture can connect all shared elements into a common belief (Aristana, Junipisa, and Yogantara 2020).

Employee Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction has been a fascinating topic for researchers and is defined as an accepted difference from the work done (Sajid 2016). Thus, organizational culture significantly determines job satisfaction (I. Nengah Aristana et al. 2022). Employee satisfaction is a consequence of events and indicates personal well-being (Cho and Park 2011). Besides, the ability to adapt is crucial (Tran 2021). However, job satisfaction highly depends on the organization (Chandra et al. 2019). Moreover, accepting attitudes toward the work environment determines their satisfaction level (Liu et al., 2020; Ko & Choi, 2019). It is primarily determined by employee behavior (Chen & Wang, 2019). Based on the existing theory, employee job satisfaction can be explained as a feeling that is owned in work following the results obtained and is mainly determined by the individual, the environment, and the organization. This study tries to reduce employee job satisfaction through two dimensions, i.e., hygiene and motivator factors. These two

factors determine whether an employee feels satisfaction or dissatisfaction (Herzberg 1987).

Hypothesis Development

Transformational Leadership and Employee Job Satisfaction

The concept of transformational leadership was developed (Burns 1978) and continued by (Bass 1985), where the transformational leadership type is believed to direct the organization to achieve excellence when addressing renewal and transformation (Thamrin 2012). Several empirical studies show a positive relationship and increased employee productivity and organizational performance through employee satisfaction (Khan et al., 2020; Labrague et al., 2020; Moin et al., 2021). In order to achieve all of that, it can be supported by applying a transformational leadership style. Applying transformational leadership directly increases employee satisfaction (Ekowati et al. 2013; Eliyana et al. 2019), which impacts team satisfaction and collective team performance (Braun et al., 2013; Top et al., 2015). Chen et al. (2021) report that transformational leadership is proven to help employees improve their abilities, can provide a sense of equality, and raises awareness. Applying transformational leadership behavior by leaders is helpful as a strategy to create better working conditions (Boamah et al. 2018). Based on the findings of previous studies, the authors formulate the first hypothesis as follows:

H1: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor)

Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture

Discussion on organizational culture cannot be separated from the role of leaders as decision-makers (Gurmani et al. 2021). Following its meaning, organizational culture is perceived as shared values and beliefs deeply rooted and significantly determined by top managers' roles (Song, Shi, and Zhou 2022). Previous studies explain that leadership relates to an organizational culture built within the organizations (Koranteng et al., 2022). Further, it is inseparable from the ability of transformational leadership to build a work culture and be able to bring the organization to a higher intensity of competition (Azhar and Yang 2022) and can bring change to the organization (Khan et al., 2020; Rijal, 2016; Shahzad et al., 2018). Consequently, several studies report that transformational leadership significantly affects organizational culture (Abbasi and Zamani-Miandashti 2013; Al-Shibami et al. 2019) because transformational leaders are more suitable for technical matters in the workplace and organizational effectiveness (Tayal, Upadhyay, and Singh 2022). Practically managers must adopt transformational leadership to foster a culture of engagement to acquire a quality management approach (Lasrado and Kassem 2020). Looking at the results of previous studies, the authors formulate the second hypothesis as follows:

H2: There is a positive influence between transformational leadership on organizational culture

Organizational Culture and Employee Job Satisfaction

Organizational culture is a comprehensive concept related to a consensus that has yet to be fully achieved. Organizational culture is a shared belief about the values, assumptions, and meanings associated with members of the organization (Jardioui, Garengo, and El Alami 2019). In addition, organizational culture is a critical element for driving organizational effectiveness and is a connecting element between strategy,

structure, and work practices (Hartnell et al. 2019). Organizational constructive culture is group norms that encourage achievement, decision-making participation, teamwork, social support, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization (Simosi and Xenikou 2010). Therefore, this culture can increase employee job satisfaction (Chi, 2008; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009; Dirani, 2009; Pawirosumarto et al., 2017). These findings further reinforce that the organization's organizational culture relates to employee job satisfaction (Al-Sada, Al-Esmael, and Faisal 2017). Although the relevance of cultural emphasis and satisfaction has yet to be explicitly discussed, the owned culture increases satisfaction and performance (Dirisu et al. 2018). So the authors formulate the third hypothesis as follows:

H3: There is a positive influence between organizational culture on employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Mediating Role of Organizational Culture

The significance of organizational culture has been confirmed in several studies, where organizational culture can have a positive impact on employee satisfaction and performance (Al-Sada et al. 2017; Dirisu et al. 2018). Satisfaction is an employee's perception of the work environment, relationships with co-workers, organizational goals and strategies, and success factors. This organizational culture series impacts employee attitudes and behavior related to function and welfare (Belias and Koustelios 2014). However, when organizational culture is involved in the relationship between transformational leadership and job satisfaction, organizational culture does not show intervention (Sow, Murphy, and Osuoha 2017); thus, organizational culture is not stated as a mediator (Irwan et al. 2020). In other studies, organizational culture is not mediating the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational beliefs (Abdullah et al., 2015). However, other studies (e.g., Meng & Berger, 2019) report that organizational culture shows high intervention in the relationship between leadership and employee satisfaction. Likewise, Metwally et al. (2019) found that organizational culture mediates increased leadership relationships with satisfaction. The role of organizational culture in mediating the relationship between transformational leadership and satisfaction is still being debated, so this study re-examines this relationship. Seeing the gaps in the results of previous studies, the authors tried to formulate the fourth hypothesis as follows:

H4: Organizational culture mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and employee job satisfaction (motivator and hygiene factor).

Research Concept Framework

Based on the formulated hypothesis, the conceptual framework of the research is shown in Figure 1. This study measures the direct influence of transformational leadership and organizational culture on employee job satisfaction, in addition to the organizational culture variable used as a mediator. Therefore, the variables used in the prediction can explain employee job satisfaction.



Figure 1. Research Concept Framework

2. RESEARCH METHODS

Population and Sample

This research was conducted at five-star hotels across 6 regencies in Bali Province (Badung 40, Gianyar 6, Denpasar 4, Tabanan 2, Karangasem 1, and Singaraja 1). These six regions became models for tourism development in Bali Province. Thus, the population of this study was 54 five-star hotels. Determination of the sample in this study employed the (Krejcie and Morgan 1970)'s formulation in which we obtained 48 five-star hotels that were proposed as a sample framework. We selected five employees from each five-star hotel resulting in 240 respondents. **The selection of employees was based on two criteria. First, the selected employees were at the management level in a five-star hotel. Second, they must have at least two years of experience in their current position.** Questionnaire distribution was conducted online via email and offline using a manual questionnaire during visits to the selected hotels. The data collection was conducted from December 2021 to July 2022. The characteristics of the respondents are illustrated in Table 1. The distribution of the questionnaire was carried out in two stages. The first questionnaire was distributed to 30 respondents to test validity and reliability (using IMB SPSS 21). The instrument was valid if it had a correlation coefficient product-moment value (r) greater than 0.3 ($r > 0.3$) and was reliable if it had a Cronbach Alpha value greater than 0.6 ($CA > 0.6$) (Hair et al., 2013). When the instrument was valid and reliable, it was followed by distributing questionnaires to the targeted respondents. Furthermore, the collected data was analyzed using Smart PLS 3.2.9.

Measurement

The study measures three main variables, i.e., transformational leadership, organizational culture, and employee job satisfaction. The application of the assessment of each research variable uses a five-point Likert scale (1 strongly disagree to 5 strongly agree). Transformational leadership is measured through four dimensions with 12 statement items adopted from previous research (Maquieira et al., 2020).

1. The idealized influence was measured by a future mission, values and beliefs, and inspired loyalty.
2. The inspirational motivation was measured by communicative culture, integration and team mobilization, and behavioral consistency.
3. Intellectual stimulation was measured by continuous improvement, knowing the group's needs, and thinking of new ways.
4. Individualized consideration was measured by considering personal feelings, best thinking, and the benefits of achieving goals.

To measure organizational culture using three dimensions with 14 items adopted from the research (Tseng 2010), that is:

1. Clan culture was shown by kinship, the leader as a mentor, high commitment, and prioritizing cohesion and morals (Keskin et al. 2005).

2. Adhocracy culture was described as a dynamic and entrepreneurial spirit, a leader as an entrepreneur and innovator, a commitment to innovation, and a readiness to address new challenges (Keskin et al. 2005).
3. Hierarchy culture was measured by formal procedures, leadership by example, management style, formal policies, implementation of controls, and organizational efficiency (Alqudah, Carballo-Penela, and Ruzo-Sanmartín 2022).

The employee job satisfaction variable was explained through two dimensions with 8 items adopted from the research (Phuong et al. 2018):

1. Motivator factors were explained with indicators, i.e., rights and responsibilities, career development, and job descriptions.
2. Hygiene factors were explained with indicators, i.e., teamwork, salary, relationships with colleagues, leadership, and work environment.

Table 1. Respondent Demographics

Employees detail (n=240)	Frequency	%
Gender		
Male	127	52.90
Female	113	47.10
Education		
Senior High School	46	19.17
Diploma	102	42.50
Bachelor	88	36.67
Postgraduate	4	1.67
Experience (in years)		
1 – 10 Years	212	88.33
11 – 20 Years	16	6.67
21 - 30 and above	12	5.00
Department		
House Keeping	30	12.50
Accounting	45	18.75
Public Relations	17	7.08
HRD	18	7.50
Restaurant	60	25.00
Marketing	10	4.17
Front Office	34	14.17
Engineering	14	5.83
SPA	12	5.00

Source: Authors' calculation

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Based on the analysis of the collected data, information related to the demographics of the respondents was obtained, and they are depicted in Table 2., Table 3., and Table 4.

Table 2. Description of Transformational Leadership Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Transformational Leadership	4.11	Good
Idealized influence (II)	4.12	Good
Engaging employees in future missions	4.03	Good
Reveal the most important values and beliefs to employees	4.16	Good
Inspires loyalty to the establishment of employees	4.18	Good
Inspirational motivation (IM)	4.07	Good

Formation of a strong communicative culture in all work areas	3.87	Good
Behaviors that enable team integration and mobilization	4.20	Good
Be consistent between what they say and what they do	4.14	Good
Intellectual stimulation (IS)	4.22	Good
Take part in the continuous improvement process	4.35	Very Good
When these activities go beyond management's responsibilities	4.11	Good
Allows employees to think about old problems in new ways	4.19	Good
Individualized consideration (IC)	4.02	Good
Considers employees' personal feelings before acting	4.03	Good
Encourage employees to think about the best way to do things	4.08	Good
Show employees the benefits of achieving the establishment goals	3.95	Good

Source: Authors' calculation

Transformational leadership was well-perceived by respondents, with the most dominant dimension being intellectual stimulation. It was indicated that transformational leaders reinforced employees to make continuous improvements.

Table 3 Description of Organizational Culture Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Organization culture	4.18	High
Clan Culture (CC)	4.13	High
Divisions share a lot like family	4.26	Very High
Leaders generally become mentors	4.41	Very High
Commitment to this company runs high	3.72	High
The importance of promoting cohesion and morals	4.11	High
Adhocracy Culture (AC)	4.25	Very High
Employees are willing to persevere and take risks	4.07	High
Leaders are considered entrepreneurs, innovators, or risk-takers	4.14	High
Commit to innovation and development	4.43	Very High
Readiness to face new challenges	4.37	Very High
Hierarchy culture (HC)	4.17	High
The organization is a formal place that formally regulates what is done	3.99	High
Leaders are considered an example of coordination and efficiency	4.32	Very High
Management style reflects predictability and stability	4.02	High
Unify the organization with formal policies	4.31	Very High
Application of controls for stability and smoothness	4.21	High
Organizations define success based on efficiency	4.16	High

Source: Authors' calculation

Meanwhile, the respondents perceived the organizational culture variable as high. Where the strongest dimension of organizational culture was indicated by adhocracy culture, which was explained through a commitment to innovation and development

Table 4. Description of Job Satisfaction Variables

Variable	Average	Description
Job satisfaction	4.23	High
Motivator Factors	4.21	High
Employees get the appropriate rights and obligations	4.20	High
Employees get the same career development opportunities	4.21	High
Each employee gets a clear job description	4.21	High
Hygiene Factors	4.26	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the teamwork that is established	4.27	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the salary they receive	4.47	Very High
Employees are satisfied with the leadership applied	4.42	Very High
Employees feel comfortable with the relationships that exist with colleagues	4.18	High
Employees are satisfied with the work environment	3.95	High

Source: Authors' calculation

As with organizational culture, the variable of job satisfaction was also perceived as high by respondents. For the dimension of job satisfaction, the strongest explained was the hygiene factor, where employees felt very satisfied with the salary received.

Outer model measurement

The first stage of model testing was done by knowing the results of the validity and reliability of the model. First, test the convergent validity by looking at the outer loading value greater than 0.6. Then, the test was continued by comparing the value of the $\sqrt{\text{AVE}}$ coefficient with the construct used. It met discriminant validity greater than 0.5 (cut off > 0,5) (Hair et al., 2013).

Table 5. The Loading Factor Values of Each Indicator

Variable	Dimension	Indicator	Outer loading	
Transformasional Leadership (TL)	Idealized influence (II)	II1	0.733	
		II2	0.876	
		II3	0.888	
	Inspirational motivation (IM)	IM1	0.680	
		IM2	0.877	
		IM3	0.876	
	Intellectual stimulation (IS)	IS1	0.841	
		IS2	0.878	
		IS3	0.878	
	Organizational Culture (OC)	Individualized consideration (IC)	IC1	0.851
			IC2	0.931
			IC3	0.921
		Clan Culture (CC)	CC1	0.814
			CC2	0.840
			CC3	0.775
CC4			0.823	
Adhocracy Culture (AC)		AC1	0.847	
		AC2	0.820	
	AC3	0.801		
	AC4	0.827		
Hierarchy culture (HC)	HC1	0.830		
	HC2	0.842		
	HC3	0.811		
	HC4	0.766		
	HC5	0.558		
	HC6	0.572		
Job satisfaction (JS)	Motivator Factors (MF)	MO1	0.942	
		MO2	0.930	
		MO3	0.891	
	Hygiene Factors (HF)	HF1	0.876	
		HF2	0.761	
		HF3	0.720	
		HF4	0.887	
		HF5	0.745	

Source: Authors' calculation

The analysis revealed that the statement item's outer loading value met the requirements of convergent validity except for HC5 and HC6. Consequently, these items were not included in the next test, as shown in Table 5. The AVE and $\sqrt{\text{AVE}}$ tests met the cut-off criteria, which illustrates in Table 5., Table 6., and Table 7.

Table 6. AVE Values and correlation among variables

Construct	\sqrt{AVE}	Correlation*			
		Hygiene Factor	Motivator	Organization culture	Transformational Leadership
Hygiene Factor	0.801	1.000			
Motivator Factors	0.921	0.854	1.000		
Organization culture	0.754	0.768	0.735	1.000	
Transformational Leadership	0.770	0.600	0.573	0.745	1.000

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 7. Instruments reliability test Constructs

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	(AVE)
Transformational Leadership	0.936	0.941	0.945	0.593
Idealized influence	0.783	0.811	0.873	0.698
Inspirational motivation	0.742	0.761	0.855	0.666
Intellectual stimulation	0.832	0.833	0.899	0.749
Individualized consideration	0.884	0.884	0.929	0.813
Organization culture	0.940	0.945	0.948	0.569
Clan Culture	0.842	0.844	0.894	0.679
Adhocracy Culture	0.829	0.831	0.887	0.662
Hierarchy culture	0.887	0.889	0.923	0.749
Motivator Factors	0.911	0.914	0.944	0.849
Hygiene Factor	0.859	0.873	0.899	0.642

Source: Authors' calculation

The last step in the structural model is to calculate the indicator value of each construct, looking at composite reliability, i.e., Cronbach's Alpha with a significance greater than 0.7. The analysis showed that the composite reliability value was in the range of 0.855 – 0.948. The Cronbach's Alpha value also indicated a value greater than 0.7 with a range of 0.742 – 0.940. Accordingly, the results were declared free from the random error problem, as seen in Table 7.

Inner model measurement

After the outer model test criteria were met, the test was continued on the inner model measurement. First, it was based on the research model and the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Gentle et al. (2012) categorized the relationship between variables into three based on the R Square value, i.e., 0.67 (strong), 0.33 (medium), and 0.19 (weak) (Hair et al., 2013). The results of the model feasibility test are depicted in Table 8.

Table 8. Feasibility of Research Model

Construct	R Square	R Square Adjusted
Motivator Factors	0.542	0.538
Hygiene Factors	0.592	0.588
Organizational Culture	0.555	0.553
Average	0.563	0.560

Source: Authors' calculation

Table 8. showed that the three variables' R square had a value of more than 0.33 and less than 0.67. Following (Hair et al., 2013), the research model was moderate. For the average value (0.563), this result denoted that the construct had a relationship of 56.3 percent, and 43.7 percent was explained by other variables that were not included in the research model and were considered in adjusting other constructs in future studies.

The next stage was to predict the research framework through Q-Square predictive relevance (Q^2). The model's prediction was stronger if it had a value close to 1 (Stone 1974). Calculations showed that the value of Q^2 was 0.682 (good). Subsequently, this research framework had good ability and simultaneously explained that the relationship between constructs of 68.20 percent and 31.8 percent was an error factor.

Table 9. Effect Size Analysis (f^2)

Variable	β	Mean	Deviation	T Statistics	P Values
			n		
TL -> MF	0.573	0.576	0.052	11.017	0.000
TL -> HF	0.600	0.605	0.040	14.873	0.000
TL -> OC	0.745	0.747	0.026	28.355	0.000
OC -> MF	0.692	0.687	0.062	11.166	0.000
OC -> HF	0.722	0.716	0.055	13.165	0.000
Average	0.666				

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), dan Organizational Culture (OC).

The test was continued by knowing the effect size (f^2), which aims to obtain detailed information on the independent and exogenous variables (Cohen, Usher, and McClelland 1998). Effect size has three sizes, namely: weak (< 0.15), moderate ($0.15 - 0.35$), and (> 0.35) (Chin, 1998). From the analysis shown in Table 9, the average value of 0.666 was greater than 0.35. Thus, it can be explained by the pattern of a strong mediating relationship (Cohen et al. 1998).

Hypothesis Testing

After the testing stage, hypothesis testing was also conducted to determine the direct and indirect effects, as depicted in Table 10. and Figure 2.




Figure 2. Bootstrapping Model Smart PLS

Table 10. and Figure 2. revealed that transformational leadership had a positive and insignificant effect on motivator factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.057 with a p-value of 0.477 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.711 less than 1.96. Consequently, hypothesis 1a was not supported. Transformational leadership had a positive but insignificant effect on hygiene factors, as seen from the path coefficient of 0.062 with a p-value of 0.331 greater than 0.000 and a t-statistic of 0.973 less than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 1b was not supported. These findings explained that transformational leadership did not impact motivator and hygiene factors as the cause of employee job satisfaction (DeShields et al. 2005). If it was related to the research location, i.e., the hospitality industry, it was possible because the intensity of work and the high workload made it difficult for interaction between leaders and their subordinates. Meanwhile, transformational leadership requires interaction to gain trust, motivate, increase awareness, and provide personal attention. The study's finding was aligned with the previous study (Thamrin 2012), where transformational leadership did not affect employee job satisfaction. Furthermore, the present study supported previous studies (Asencio 2016; Eliyana et al. 2019; Mufti et al. 2020), which found that transformational leadership significantly affected employee job satisfaction. More specific findings were described by (Mickson, Anlesinya, and Malcolm 2021), where transformational leadership supported intrinsic and extrinsic employee satisfaction.

Table 10. The direct relationship between variables

Relationship between variables	β	Mean	Deviation	T Statistics	P Values	Description
TL -> MF	0.057	0.062	0.080	0.711	0.477	Not Supported
TL -> HF	0.062	0.070	0.064	0.973	0.331	Not Supported
TL -> OC	0.745	0.747	0.026	28.355	0.000	Supported
OC -> MF	0.722	0.716	0.055	13.165	0.000	Supported
OC -> HF	0.692	0.687	0.062	11.166	0.000	Supported

Source: Authors' calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), and Organization Culture (OC).

The analysis conducted on transformational leadership revealed a positive and significant influence on organizational culture. It can be seen from the path coefficient of 0.745 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 28.355 greater than 1.96. Hence, hypothesis 2 was supported. These results confirmed that transformational leadership has a critical role in building an organizational culture which supported previous research (Shiva & Suar, 2012; Sarros et al., 2008; Kim, 2014). This finding was further aligned with (Rijal 2016), who described applying transformational leadership can impact changing organizational culture. **The study contributed to the valuable insight that transformational leadership can build an organizational culture believed to be the attitudes and beliefs of all hotel employees. The formed organizational culture can increase when supported by a transformational leadership style.**

Organizational culture and motivator factors also signified a positive and significant influence with the path coefficient value of 0.722 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 13.165 greater than 1.96. Thus, hypothesis 3a was supported. Organizational culture also indicated a significant positive influence on other dimensions of job satisfaction, i.e., hygiene factors, with a path coefficient value of 0.692 with a p-value of 0.000 and a t-statistic of 11.166 greater than 1.96. Therefore, hypothesis 3b was supported. This finding indicated that the role of organizational culture significantly determined the level of employee satisfaction at work. Moreover, this study also confirmed the previous findings (Al-Sada et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Lok & Crawford, 1999; Yiing & Ahmad, 2009). However, the study finding contradicted the previous studies (Irwan et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021), which found that organizational culture did not support employee job satisfaction. Consequently, **the findings of this study indicated that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors; thus, they impacted employee job satisfaction.**

After measuring the direct effect of each variable, the measurement was continued by testing the indirect effect according to the mechanism of the structural equation model. The Sobel Test measured the mediating role with a significance greater than 1.96. Meanwhile, the mediation classification was adapted from (Hair et al. 2010) as follows: Fully Mediation (if a and b were significant, while c was not significant), Partial Mediation (if a, b, and c were significant), and Unmediation (if a or b was not significant, and c was significant/insignificant).

Table 11. Mediation Test (Sobel Test)

Model	Calculation	T Table	Description
TL -> OC -> MF	$0,745 \cdot 0,722 / \sqrt{(0,722^2 \cdot 0,026^2) + (0,745^2 \cdot 0,055^2)}$	1,96	Mediated

TL -> OC -> HF	11,9344 0,745.0,692/ $\sqrt{(0,692^2 \cdot 0,026^2) + (0,745^2 \cdot 0,062^2)}$ 10,4002	1,96	Mediated
----------------	--	------	----------

Sumber: Author calculation

Note: Transformational Leadership (TL), Motivator Factors (MF), Hygiene Factors (HF), dan Organization Culture (OC).

Mediation testing utilized the Sobel Test to determine the role of organizational culture as a mediator. This study had two mediation pathways that were measured and are illustrated in Table 11. First, the test revealed that the t-count value of 11.9344 was greater than the t-table of 1.96. Accordingly, the authors concluded that organizational culture significantly intervened in the relationship of transformational leadership with motivator factors. Thus, hypothesis 4a was supported. Second, the test also indicated that the t-count value of 10.4002 was greater than the t-table of 1.96. These results explained that organizational culture was also proven to interfere with the relationship of transformational leadership with hygiene factors. Consequently, hypothesis 4b was supported. Adopting (Hair et al., 2013) criteria, organizational culture played a role as a full mediator in the leadership relationship with motivator and hygiene factors as a representation of job satisfaction and employee job satisfaction. **The findings of this study denoted that organizational culture increased employee hygiene and motivator factors. Therefore, they impacted employee job satisfaction.**

CONCLUSION

The hotel industry is currently addressing challenges to improve its conditions after the devastating impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many aspects required improvement, considering the conditions yet to be fully recovered. Moreover, it requires significant efforts to restore the hotel industry to be competitive. From the organizational context, transformational leadership plays a role in building organizational culture and increasing employee job satisfaction. This study shows that transformational leadership forms organizational culture but does not impact employee job satisfaction. Hence, it **indicates that the hotel industry is not aligned with the transformational leadership style and requires a more dynamic leadership style suitable for hotel operations prioritizing speed and accuracy. However, organizational culture shows two crucial roles. First, it increases employee job satisfaction directly. Second, organizational culture enhances transformational leadership relationships in increasing employee job satisfaction. Accordingly, the hotel industry must build an organizational culture to accommodate the lack of applied leadership style.**

This study also has three theoretical contributions. First, this study enriches transformational leadership literacy, primarily the second-order construct, which is comprehensively explored. Second, this study provides an insightful perspective on how transformational leadership improves employee job satisfaction through organizational culture. Third, organizational culture is proven to be a full mediator and a crucial determinant in increasing employee job satisfaction from motivator and hygiene factors, especially in the hospitality industry.

Following the findings, the authors provide three managerial implications for managers and employees. First, managers must consider the appropriate type of leadership in producing employee job satisfaction regarding hygiene and motivator factors. Given that transformational leadership is not related to satisfaction. However, transformational leadership culture is proven to build an organizational culture (clan culture, adhocracy culture, and hierarchy culture). Second, managers need to facilitate organizational culture because it determines employee behavior towards their organization, especially concerning leadership and satisfaction. Third, the managers' success in building organizational culture is the key to increasing satisfaction and achieving organizational goals.

Regardless of theoretical and managerial contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the determination of the sample is limited solely to the hotel industry in Indonesia, precisely in Bali. This study was conducted in developing countries, thus, offering diverse and interesting findings since the hotel industry is experiencing a massive transition after the COVID-19 pandemic. Second, this study merely highlights transformational leadership and organizational culture to predict employee job satisfaction. Meanwhile, many other determining factors, i.e., organizational commitment, trust, and organizational support, must be considered to minimize interference in increasing employee job satisfaction. It is better if respective leadership styles can be used as a moderator, considering that leaders are policyholders related to organizational management for strengthening job satisfaction and increasing organizational productivity. Third, this study uses a causality approach; the data collected is a self-assessment. Consequently, it is still possible for bias to occur, and thus, in-depth or longitudinal studies are expected for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, Enayat, and Naser Zamani-Miandashti. 2013. "The Role of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture and Organizational Learning in Improving the Performance of Iranian Agricultural Faculties." *Higher Education* 66(4):505–19. doi: 10.1007/s10734-013-9618-8.
- Acosta-Prado, Julio C., Oscar H. López-Montoya, Carlos Sanchís-Pedregosa, and Rodrigo A. Zárate-Torres. 2020. "Human Resource Management and Innovative Performance in Non-Profit Hospitals: The Mediating Effect of Organizational Culture." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11(June):1–12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01422.
- Afsar, Bilal, and Waheed Ali Umrani. 2019. "Transformational Leadership and Innovative Work Behavior: The Role of Motivation to Learn, Task Complexity and Innovation Climate." *European Journal of Innovation Management* 23(3):402–28. doi: 10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257.
- Ågotnes, Kari Wik, Anders Skogstad, Jørn Hetland, Olav Kjellevoll Olsen, Roar Espevik, Arnold B. Bakker, and Ståle Valvatne Einarsen. 2021. "Daily Work Pressure and Exposure to Bullying-Related Negative Acts: The Role of Daily Transformational and Laissez-Faire Leadership." *European Management Journal* 39(4):423–33. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2020.09.011.
- Al-Sada, Maryam, Bader Al-Esmael, and Mohd Nishat Faisal. 2017. "Influence of Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction, Commitment and Motivation in the Educational Sector in Qatar." *EuroMed Journal of Business* 12(2):163–88. doi: 10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003.

- Al-Shibami, Ahmed Hamoud, Nayef Alateibi, Mohammed Nusari, Ali Ameen, Gamal S. A. Khalifa, and Amiya Bhaumik. 2019. "Impact of Organizational Culture on Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance." *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* 8(2):653–64. doi: 10.35940/ijrte.B1116.0982S1019.
- Alqudah, Ikrema H. A., Adolfo Carballo-Penela, and Emilio Ruzo-Sanmartín. 2022. "High-Performance Human Resource Management Practices and Readiness for Change: An Integrative Model Including Affective Commitment, Employees' Performance, and the Moderating Role of Hierarchy Culture." *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 28(1):100177. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100177.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, I. Wayan Edi Arsawan, and Ni Wayan Rustiarini. 2022. "Employee Loyalty during Slowdown of Covid-19: Do Satisfaction and Trust Matter?" *International Journal of Tourism Cities* 8(1):223–43. doi: 10.1108/IJTC-03-2021-0036.
- Aristana, I Nengah, Made Christin Dwitrayani, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and I. Ketut Eli Sumerta. 2022. "Efek Inovasi Terhadap Kinerja Usaha Kecil Dan Menengah." *Journal of Applied Management and Accounting Science* 3(2):171–86. doi: 10.51713/jamas.v3i2.61.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Made Christin Dwitrayani. 2021. "Kepemimpinan Dan Kepuasan Kerja: Mediasi Komunikasi." *JKBM (Jurnal Konsep Bisnis Dan Manajemen)* 7(2):167–78. doi: 10.31289/jkbm.v7i2.4841.
- Aristana, I. Nengah, Ni Made Ernila Junipisa, and Komang Krishna Yogantara. 2020. "Model Kinerja Koperasi." *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis* 23(2):285–316. doi: 10.24914/jeb.v23i2.3299.
- Arsawan, I. Wayan Edi, Ni Made Kariati, Yevheniia Shchokina, Putu Adriani Prayustika, Ni Wayan Rustiarini, and Viktor Koval. 2022. "Invigorating Employee'S Innovative Work Behavior: Exploring the Sequential Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment and Knowledge Sharing." *Business: Theory and Practice* 23(1):117–30. doi: 10.3846/btp.2022.15684.
- Asencio, Hugo. 2016. "Leadership, Trust, and Job Satisfaction in the Public Sector: A Study of US Federal Employees." *International Review of Public Administration* 21(3):250–67. doi: 10.1080/12294659.2016.1237342.
- Astuti, Ni Nyoman Sri, Gede Ginaya, and I. Gede Agus Jaya Sadguna. 2018. "A Hotel Front Desk Receptionist and Catur Paramita Values: A Study of Implementing Local Wisdom in Hospitality Industry." Pp. 479–84 in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS 2018)*. Vol. 226. Paris, France: Atlantis Press.
- Azhar, Aisha, and Kaifeng Yang. 2022. "Examining the Influence of Transformational Leadership and Green Culture on Pro-Environmental Behaviors: Empirical Evidence From Florida City Governments." *Review of Public Personnel Administration* 42(4):738–59. doi: 10.1177/0734371X211027347.
- Aziz, Abdul R. Z., Indra Budi Sumantoro, and Delli Maria. 2019. "Total Quality Management of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), and the Impact to Organizational Culture and Performance: Emerging Country Case." *Polish Journal of Management Studies* 19(1):32–45. doi: 10.17512/pjms.2019.19.1.03.
- Babić, Verica M., Slađjana D. Savović, and Violeta M. Domanović. 2014. "Transformational Leadership and Post-Acquisition Performance in Transitional Economies." *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 27(6):856–76. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-02-2014-0028.

- Bass, B. .. 1985. *Leadership and Performance*. N.Y. Free Press.
- Belias, Dimitrios, and Athanasios Koustelios. 2014. "Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction: A Review." *International Review of Management and Marketing* 4(2):132–49.
- Boamah, Sheila A., Heather K. Spence Laschinger, Carol Wong, and Sean Clarke. 2018. "Effect of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Patient Safety Outcomes." *Nursing Outlook* 66(2):180–89. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2017.10.004.
- Braun, Susanne, Claudia Peus, Silke Weisweiler, and Dieter Frey. 2013. "Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, and Team Performance: A Multilevel Mediation Model of Trust." *Leadership Quarterly* 24(1):270–83. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.11.006.
- Burns, James MacGregor. 1978. *Leadership*. N.Y, Harper and Row.
- Chandra, Teddy, Layla Hafni, Stefani Chandra, Astri Ayu Purwati, and Jennifer Chandra. 2019. "The Influence of Service Quality, University Image on Student Satisfaction and Student Loyalty." *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 26(5):1533–49. doi: 10.1108/BIJ-07-2018-0212.
- Chen, Chun Hsi Vivian, Hung Hui Li, and Ya Yun Tang. 2009. "Transformational Leadership and Creativity: Exploring the Mediating Effects of Creative Thinking and Intrinsic Motivation." *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development* 6(2):198–211. doi: 10.1504/IJMED.2009.022627.
- Chen, Han, Yvette Green, and Kim Williams. 2021. "Does Perceived Manager Support Reduce Hotel Supervisors' Emotional Exhaustion? The Mediating Role of Control over Time and Negative Emotions." *International Hospitality Review*. doi: 10.1108/IHR-03-2021-0024.
- Chen, Hsi Tien, and Chih Hung Wang. 2019. "Incivility, Satisfaction and Turnover Intention of Tourist Hotel Chefs: Moderating Effects of Emotional Intelligence." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 31(5):2034–53. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-02-2018-0164.
- Chen, Jian Yu, Suk Jun Lim, Hyun Jung Nam, and Joe Phillips. 2020. "Local Culture as a Corporate Social Responsibility Multiplier: Confucian Values' Mediation between Firm Policies and Employees' Attitude." *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration* 12(3–4):387–407. doi: 10.1108/APJBA-04-2019-0088.
- Chi, Hsin Kuang. 2008. "The Effects of Transformation Leadership , Organizational Culture , Job Satisfaction on the Organizational Performance in the Non-Profit Organizations." *Transformation* 4(1):129–37.
- Chin, Wynne W. 1998. "Commentary: Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling." *JSTOR* 22(1):7–16.
- Cho, Yoon Jik, and Hanjun Park. 2011. "Exploring the Relationships Among Trust, Employee Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment." *Public Management Review* 13(4):551–73. doi: 10.1080/14719037.2010.525033.
- Cohen, Jonathan D., Marius Usher, and James L. McClelland. 1998. "A PDP Approach to Set Size Effects within the Stroop Task: Reply to Kanne, Balota, Spieler, and Faust (1998)." *Psychological Review* 105(1):188–94. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.105.1.188.
- Cummings, Greta G., Kaitlyn Tate, Sarah Lee, Carol A. Wong, Tanya Paananen, Simone P. M. Micaroni, and Gargi E. Chatterjee. 2018. "Leadership Styles and Outcome Patterns for the Nursing Workforce and Work Environment: A Systematic Review." *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 85(9):19–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.04.016.
- Deinert, Anika, Astrid C. Homan, Diana Boer, Sven C. Voelpel, and Daniela Gutermann.

2015. "Transformational Leadership Sub-Dimensions and Their Link to Leaders' Personality and Performance." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1095–1120. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.08.001.
- DeShields, Oscar W., Ali Kara, and Erdener Kaynak. 2005. "Determinants of Business Student Satisfaction and Retention in Higher Education: Applying Herzberg's Two-factor Theory." *International Journal of Educational Management* 19(2):128–39. doi: 10.1108/09513540510582426.
- Dinita, Setyawati. 2013. "Assets or Commodities? Comparing Regulations of Placement and Protection of Migrant Workers in Indonesia and the Philippines." *ASEAS - Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies* 6(2):264–90.
- Dirani, Khalil M. 2009. "Measuring the Learning Organization Culture, Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction in the Lebanese Banking Sector." *Human Resource Development International* 12(2):189–208. doi: 10.1080/13678860902764118.
- Dirisu, Joy, Rowland Worlu, Adewale Osibanjo, Odunayo Salau, Taiye Borishade, Sandra Meninwa, and Tolulope Atolagbe. 2018. "An Integrated Dataset on Organisational Culture, Job Satisfaction and Performance in the Hospitality Industry." *Data in Brief* 19:317–21. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.137.
- Ekowati, Vivin Maharani, Eka Afnan Troena, and Noermijati Noermijati. 2013. "Organizational Citizenship Behavior Role in Mediating the Effect of Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance: Studies in PT Bank Syariah Mandiri Malang East Java." *International Journal of Business and Management* 8(17):1–12. doi: 10.5539/ijbm.v8n17p1.
- Eliyana, Anis, Syamsul Ma'arif, and Muzakki. 2019. "Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment Effect in the Transformational Leadership towards Employee Performance." *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 25(3):144–50. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2019.05.001.
- Gentle, J. E., W. K. Härdle, and Y. Mori. 2011. *Handbook of Statistical Bioinformatics*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- González-Rodríguez, María Rosario, Rosario Carmen Martín-Samper, Mehmet Ali Köseoglu, and Fevzi Okumus. 2019. "Hotels' Corporate Social Responsibility Practices, Organizational Culture, Firm Reputation, and Performance." *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 27(3):398–419. doi: 10.1080/09669582.2019.1585441.
- Gurmani, Jehanzeb Khan, Noor Ullah Khan, Muhammad Khaliq, Muhammad Yasir, Asfia Obaid, and Nur Ain Ayunni Sabri. 2021. "Do Environmental Transformational Leadership Predicts Organizational Citizenship Behavior towards Environment in Hospitality Industry: Using Structural Equation Modelling Approach." *Sustainability* 13(10):1–29. doi: 10.3390/su13105594.
- Hair, Joseph F., Christian M. Ringle, and Marko Sarstedt. 2013. "Editorial - Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Rigorous Applications, Better Results and Higher Acceptance." *Long Range Planning* 46(1–2):1–12.
- Hair, Joseph F., Ronald L. Tatham, Rolph E. Anderson, and William Black. 2010. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hartnell, Chad A., Amy Y. Ou, Angelo J. Kinicki, Dongwon Choi, and Elizabeth P. Karam. 2019. "A Meta-Analytic Test of Organizational Culture's Association with Elements of an Organization's System and Its Relative Predictive Validity on Organizational Outcomes." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 104(6):832–50. doi: 10.1037/apl0000380.
- Hazana Abdullah, Nor, Alina Shamsuddin, and Eta Wahab. 2015. "Does Organizational Culture Mediate the Relationship between Transformational Leadership and

- Organizational Commitment.” *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 4(1):18–32. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2015.60344.
- Hendrato, Muhammad Luthfi, Arief Subyantoro, and Wisnalmawati. 2021. “The Effect of Organizational Culture and Information Technology on Employee Performance with Employee Satisfaction as a Mediator in The Electronic Court System (E-Court) in District Court of Sleman, Yogyakarta.” *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Sustainable Innovation 2020-Accounting and Management* 176:242–46. doi: 10.2991/aer.k.210121.033.
- Herzberg, Frederick. 1987. “One More Time: How Do You Motivate Employees? With Retrospective.” *Harvard Business Review* Sept-Oct(87507):6–16.
- Hewagama, Gayani, Peter Boxall, Gordon Cheung, and Ann Hutchison. 2019. “Service Recovery through Empowerment? HRM, Employee Performance and Job Satisfaction in Hotels.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 81(Agust):73–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.03.006.
- Huikko-Tarvainen, Sari, Pasi Sajasalo, and Tommi Auvinen. 2021. “Just a Leader? Leadership Work Challenges and Identity Contradiction Experienced by Finnish Physician Leaders.” *Journal of Health Organization and Management* 35(9):195–210. doi: 10.1108/JHOM-10-2020-0421.
- Hussain, Mohammad Kamal, and Rayan Abdullah M. Khayat. 2021. “The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Job Satisfaction and Organisational Commitment Among Hospital Staff: A Systematic Review.” *Journal of Health Management* 23(4):614–30. doi: 10.1177/09720634211050463.
- Ineson, Elizabeth M., Eszter Benke, and József László. 2013. “Employee Loyalty in Hungarian Hotels.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 32(1):31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.04.001.
- Irwan, Andi, Mahfudnurnajamuddin Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Syamsu Nujum, and Suriyanti Mangkona. 2020. “The Effect of Leadership Style, Work Motivation and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance Mediated by Job Satisfaction.” *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding* 7(8):642–57. doi: 10.18415/ijmmu.v7i8.2007.
- Jaiswal, Neeraj Kumar, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. “Transformational Leadership, Innovation Climate, Creative Self-Efficacy and Employee Creativity: A Multilevel Study.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 51:30–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.07.002.
- Jardioui, Meriam, Patrizia Garengo, and Semma El Alami. 2019. “How Organizational Culture Influences Performance Measurement Systems in SMEs.” *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 69(2):217–35. doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0363.
- Jensen, Susan M., and Fred Luthans. 2006. “Entrepreneurs as Authentic Leaders: Impact on Employees’ Attitudes.” *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 27(8):646–66. doi: 10.1108/01437730610709273.
- Kaur Bagga, Simranjeet, Shikha Gera, and Syed Nadimul Haque. 2022. “The Mediating Role of Organizational Culture: Transformational Leadership and Change Management in Virtual Teams.” *Asia Pacific Management Review* xxx(xxxx):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.07.003.
- Keskin, Halit, Ali E. Akgun, Ayşe Günsel, and Salih Zeki İmamoğlu. 2005. “The Relationships between Adhocracy and Clan Cultures and Tacit Oriented KM Strategy.” *Journal of Transnational Management* 10(3):39–53. doi: 10.1300/J482v10n03_04.
- Khan, Asif, Sughra Bibi, Jiaying Lyu, Mohammad Alam, Muhammad Mussa Khan, and

- Mohammad Nurunnabi. 2021. "The Quest of Tourism and Overall Well-Being: The Developing Economy of Pakistan." *PSU Research Review* 5(2):120–40. doi: 10.1108/PRR-07-2019-0022.
- Khan, Muhammad Asad, Fadillah Binti Ismail, Altaf Hussain, and Basheer Alghazali. 2020. "The Interplay of Leadership Styles, Innovative Work Behavior, Organizational Culture, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior." *SAGE Open* 10(1–16). doi: 10.1177/2158244019898264.
- Khan, Naseer Abbas, Ali Nawaz Khan, Mohsin Ali Soomro, and Shahid Kalim Khan. 2020. "Transformational Leadership and Civic Virtue Behavior: Valuing Act of Thriving and Emotional Exhaustion in the Hotel Industry." *Asia Pacific Management Review* 25(4):216–25. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2020.05.001.
- Khan, Saif-Ur-Rehman, Mahwish Anjam, Mohammad Abu Faiz, Faisal Khan, and Hashim Khan. 2020. "Probing the Effects of Transformational Leadership on Employees' Job Satisfaction With Interaction of Organizational Learning Culture." *SAGE Open* 10(2):1–9. doi: 10.1177/2158244020930771.
- Kim, Hougyn. 2014. "Transformational Leadership, Organizational Clan Culture, Organizational Affective Commitment, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Case of South Korea's Public Sector." *Public Organization Review* 14(3):397–417. doi: 10.1007/s11115-013-0225-z.
- Ko, Young Jin, and Jin Nam Choi. 2019. "Overtime Work as the Antecedent of Employee Satisfaction, Firm Productivity, and Innovation." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 40(3):282–95. doi: 10.1002/job.2328.
- Krejcie, Robert V., and Daryle W. Morgan. 1970. "Determining Sample Size for Research Activities." *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 30(3):607–10. doi: 10.1177/001316447003000308.
- Krishnan, Venkat R. 2012. "Transformational Leadership and Personal Outcomes: Empowerment as Mediator." *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 33(6):550–63. doi: 10.1108/01437731211253019.
- Labrague, Leodoro J., Chidozie E. Nwafor, and Konstantinos Tsaras. 2020. "Influence of Toxic and Transformational Leadership Practices on Nurses' Job Satisfaction, Job Stress, Absenteeism and Turnover Intention: A Cross-Sectional Study." *Journal of Nursing Management* 28(5):1104–13. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13053.
- Lasrado, Flevy, and Rassel Kassem. 2020. "Let's Get Everyone Involved! The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Organizational Excellence." *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* 38(1):169–94. doi: 10.1108/IJQRM-11-2019-0349.
- Lee, Byunghyun, Changjae Lee, Ilyoung Choi, and Jaekyeong Kim. 2022. "Analyzing Determinants of Job Satisfaction Based on Two-Factor Theory." *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14(19):1–19. doi: 10.3390/su141912557.
- Lehmann-Willenbrock, Nale, Annika L. Meinecke, Jens Rowold, and Simone Kauffeld. 2015. "How Transformational Leadership Works during Team Interactions: A Behavioral Process Analysis." *Leadership Quarterly* 26(6):1017–33. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.07.003.
- Liu, Xinyi, Ying Zeng, Juan He, and Zhiyong Li. 2022. "Value Cocreation Research in Tourism and Hospitality: A Comparative Bibliometric Analysis." *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 34(2):663–86. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-05-2021-0666.
- Liu, Yan, Mehmet Şükrü Bellibaş, and Sedat Gümüş. 2021. "The Effect of Instructional Leadership and Distributed Leadership on Teacher Self-Efficacy and Job Satisfaction: Mediating Roles of Supportive School Culture and Teacher

- Collaboration.” *Educational Management Administration & Leadership* 49(3):430–53. doi: 10.1177/1741143220910438.
- Locke, Edwin A., and Gary P. Latham. 2019. “The Development of Goal Setting Theory: A Half Century Retrospective.” *Motivation Science* 5(2):93–105. doi: 10.1037/mot0000127.
- Lok, Peter, and John Crawford. 1999. “The Relationship between Commitment and Organizational Culture, Subculture, Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction in Organizational Change and Development.” *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 20(7):365–74. doi: 10.1108/01437739910302524.
- Mahalinga Shiva, M. S. A., and Damodar Suar. 2012. “Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture, Organizational Effectiveness, and Programme Outcomes in Non-Governmental Organizations.” *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 23(3):684–710. doi: 10.1007/s11266-011-9230-4.
- Meng, Juan, and Bruce K. Berger. 2019. “The Impact of Organizational Culture and Leadership Performance on PR Professionals’ Job Satisfaction: Testing the Joint Mediating Effects of Engagement and Trust.” *Public Relations Review* 45(1):64–75. doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.11.002.
- Mesu, Jos, Karin Sanders, and Maarten van Riemsdijk. 2015. “Transformational Leadership and Organisational Commitment in Manufacturing and Service Small to Medium-Sized Enterprises.” *Personnel Review* 44(6):970–90. doi: 10.1108/PR-01-2014-0020.
- Metwally, Dina, Pablo Ruiz-Palomino, Mohamed Metwally, and Leire Gartzia. 2019. “How Ethical Leadership Shapes Employees’ Readiness to Change: The Mediating Role of an Organizational Culture of Effectiveness.” *Frontiers in Psychology* 10(November):1–18. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02493.
- Mickson, Michael K., Alex Anlesinya, and Ebenezer Malcalm. 2021. “Mediation Role of Diversity Climate on Leadership and Job Satisfaction in the Ghanaian Public Sector.” *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development* 17(2):167–88. doi: 10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2019-0080.
- Mittal, Swati, and Rajib Lochan Dhar. 2015. “Transformational Leadership and Employee Creativity: Mediating Role of Creative Self-Efficacy and Moderating Role of Knowledge Sharing.” *Management Decision* 53(5):894–910. doi: 10.1108/MD-07-2014-0464.
- Mohamed, Ramesh Kumar Moona Haji, Dr Che Supian Mohamad Nor, Nurfadhilah Abu Hasan, Venkadesan Olaganthan, and Yoshudha Gunasekaran. 2013. “The Impact of an Organization’s Culture towards Employees’ Performance: A Study on the Frontline Hotel Employees.” *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 3(8):1–28. doi: 10.6007/ijarbss/v3-i8/107.
- Moin, Muhammad Farrukh, Muhamad Khalil Omar, Feng Wei, Muhammad Imran Rasheed, and Zahid Hameed. 2021. “Green HRM and Psychological Safety: How Transformational Leadership Drives Follower’s Job Satisfaction.” *Current Issues in Tourism* 24(16):2269–77. doi: 10.1080/13683500.2020.1829569.
- Mudana, I. G., I. B. P. Suamba, I. M. A. Putra, and N. W. Ardini. 2018. “Practices of Bali Tourism Development, Threefolding, and Tri Hita Karana Local Knowledge in New Order Indonesia.” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 953(1). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/953/1/012108.
- Mufti, Mishal, Peng Xiaobao, Syeh Jamal Shah, Asma Sarwar, and Yuan Zhenqing. 2020. “Influence of Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction of NGO Employee: The Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment.” *Journal of Public Affairs*

- 20(1):1–11. doi: 10.1002/pa.1983.
- Nikpour, Amin. 2017. “The Impact of Organizational Culture on Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Employee’s Organizational Commitment.” *International Journal of Organizational Leadership* 6(1):65–72. doi: 10.33844/ijol.2017.60432.
- Nowiński, Witold, Mohamed Yacine Haddoud, Drahoslav Lančarič, Dana Egerová, and Csilla Czeglédi. 2019. “The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education, Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy and Gender on Entrepreneurial Intentions of University Students in the Visegrad Countries.” *Studies in Higher Education* 44(2):361–79. doi: 10.1080/03075079.2017.1365359.
- Nuraeny, Henny. 2017. “Trafficking of Migrant Workers in Indonesia: A Legal Enforcement and Economic Perspective of Prevention and Protection Efforts.” *European Research Studies Journal* 20(4):16–26. doi: 10.35808/ersj/871.
- Ohunakin, Folakemi, Adenike A. Adeniji, Olumuyiwa A. Oludayo, Adewale O. Osibanjo, and Oluseyi O. Oduyoye. 2019. “Employees’ Retention in Nigeria’s Hospitality Industry: The Role of Transformational Leadership Style and Job Satisfaction.” *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality and Tourism* 18(4):441–70. doi: 10.1080/15332845.2019.1626795.
- Omama Koranteng, Francisca, Faisal Iddris, Gabriel Dwomoh, and Courage Simon Kofi Dogbe. 2022. “Assessing the Moderating Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Organizational Leadership and Organizational Efficiency in the Banking Sector.” *Evidence-Based HRM: A Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship* 10(3):330–46. doi: 10.1108/EBHRM-07-2021-0143.
- Patiar, Anoop, and Ying Wang. 2016. “The Effects of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Hotel Departmental Performance.” *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 28(3):586–608. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-01-2014-0050.
- Pawirosumarto, Suharno, Purwanto Katijan Sarjana, and Rachmad Gunawan. 2017. “The Effect of Work Environment, Leadership Style, and Organizational Culture towards Job Satisfaction and Its Implication towards Employee Performance in Parador Hotels and Resorts, Indonesia.” *International Journal of Law and Management* 59(6):1337–58. doi: 10.1108/IJLMA-10-2016-0085.
- Phuong, Nguyen Ngoc Duy, Mai Ngoc Khuong, Le Huu Phuc, and Le Nguyen Thanh Dong. 2018. “The Effect of Two-Dimensional Factor on Municipal Civil Servants’ Job Satisfaction and Public Policy Implications.” *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 5(3):133–42. doi: 10.13106/jafeb.2018.vol5.no3.133.
- Portela Maquieira, Silvia, Juan José Tarí, and José F. Molina-Azorín. 2020. “Transformational Leadership and the European Foundation for Quality Management Model in Five-Star Hotels.” *Journal of Tourism Analysis: Revista de Análisis Turístico* 27(2):99–118. doi: 10.1108/JTA-02-2019-0007.
- Raharjo, Kusdi, Nurjannah, Solimun, and Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes. 2018. “The Influence of Organizational Culture and Job Design on Job Commitment and Human Resource Performance.” *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 31(7):1346–67. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-07-2017-0286.
- Rijal, Sapna. 2016. “The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture on Learning Organization: A Comparative Analysis of the It Sector.” *Journal of Administrative and Business Studies* 2(3):121–30. doi: 10.20474/jabs-2.3.3.
- Ruthankoon, Rathavoot, and Stephen Olu Ogunlana. 2003. “Testing Herzberg’s Two-Factor Theory in the Thai Construction Industry.” *Engineering, Construction and*

- Architectural Management* 10(5):333–41. doi: 10.1108/09699980310502946.
- Sabuhari, Rahmat, Achmad Sudiro, Dodi W. Irawanto, and Mintarti Rahayu. 2020. “The Effects of Human Resource Flexibility, Employee Competency, Organizational Culture Adaptation and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance.” *Management Science Letters* 10(8):1777–86. doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2020.1.001.
- Sajid, M. 2016. “The Impact of Job Satisfaction and Knowledge Sharing on Employee Performance.” *Journal of Resources Development and Management* 21(1992):16–23.
- Sarros, James C., Brian K. Cooper, and Joseph C. Santora. 2008. “Building a Climate for Innovation through Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture.” *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies* 15(2):145–58. doi: 10.1177/1548051808324100.
- Shahzad, Imran Ahmad, Muhammad Farrukh, Nazar Omerabdallah Ahmed, Li Lin, and Nagina Kanwal. 2018. “The Role of Transformational Leadership Style, Organizational Structure and Job Characteristics in Developing Psychological Empowerment among Banking Professionals.” *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management* 9(2):107–22. doi: 10.1108/JCHRM-01-2018-0002.
- Simosi, Maria, and Athena Xenikou. 2010. “The Role of Organizational Culture in the Relationship between Leadership and Organizational Commitment: An Empirical Study in a Greek Organization.” *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 21(10):1598–1616. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2010.500485.
- Singh, Monu, and Abhigyan Bhattacharjee. 2020. “A Study to Measure Job Satisfaction Among Academicians Using Herzberg’s Theory in the Context of Northeast India.” *Global Business Review* 21(1):197–218. doi: 10.1177/0972150918816413.
- Song, Xudong, Kan Shi, and Wei Zhou. 2022. “Mechanisms of Organizational Cultural Tightness on Work Engagement during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Moderating Role of Transformational Leadership.” *Behavioral Sciences* 13(1):27. doi: 10.3390/bs13010027.
- Sow, Mouhamadou, Jeanie Murphy, and Rosa Osuoha. 2017. “The Relationship between Leadership Style, Organizational Culture, and Job Satisfaction in the U.S. Healthcare Industry.” *Management and Economics Research Journal* 3:1–10. doi: 10.18639/merj.2017.03.403737.
- Stone, M. 1974. “Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 36(2):111–33. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1974.tb00994.x.
- Tayal, Ritu, Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay, and Reena Singh. 2022. “Transformational Leadership: An Antecedent for Organizational Effectiveness in Indian Banks.” *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems* 52(5):718–39. doi: 10.1108/VJIKMS-09-2020-0165.
- Thamrin, H. M. 2012. “The Influence of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance.” *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology* 3(5):566–72. doi: 10.7763/ijimt.2012.v3.299.
- Thant, Zaw Min, and Yongjin Chang. 2021. “Determinants of Public Employee Job Satisfaction in Myanmar: Focus on Herzberg’s Two Factor Theory.” *Public Organization Review* 21(1):157–75. doi: 10.1007/s11115-020-00481-6.
- Tian, Hongyun, Shuja Iqbal, Shamim Akhtar, Sikandar Ali Qalati, Farooq Anwar, and Muhammad Aamir Shafique Khan. 2020. “The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Employee Retention: Mediation and Moderation Through Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Communication.” *Frontiers in Psychology*

- 11(March):1–11. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00314.
- Top, Mehmet, Mesut Akdere, and Menderes Tarcan. 2015. “Examining Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Organizational Trust in Turkish Hospitals: Public Servants versus Private Sector Employees.” *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 26(9):1259–82. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2014.939987.
- Tran, Quan H. N. 2021. “Organisational Culture, Leadership Behaviour and Job Satisfaction in the Vietnam Context.” *International Journal of Organizational Analysis* 29(1):136–54. doi: 10.1108/IJOA-10-2019-1919.
- Tseng, Shu Mei. 2010. “The Correlation between Organizational Culture and Knowledge Conversion on Corporate Performance.” *Journal of Knowledge Management* 14(2):269–84. doi: 10.1108/13673271011032409.
- Uitley, Dawn R., Jerry Westbrook, and Susan Turner. 1997. “The Relationship between Herzberg’s Two-Factor Theory and Quality Improvement Implementation.” *EMJ - Engineering Management Journal* 9(3):5–14. doi: 10.1080/10429247.1997.11414946.
- Yao, Tang, Qi Qiu, and Yigang Wei. 2019. “Retaining Hotel Employees as Internal Customers: Effect of Organizational Commitment on Attitudinal and Behavioral Loyalty of Employees.” *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 76(March):1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.03.018.
- Yiing, Lee Huey, and Kamarul Zaman Bin Ahmad. 2009. “The Moderating Effects of Organizational Culture on the Relationships between Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Commitment and between Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction and Performance.” *Leadership and Organization Development Journal* 30(1):53–86. doi: 10.1108/01437730910927106.
- Zeb, Ali, Fazal Akbar, Khawar Hussain, Adnan Safi, Muhammad Rabnawaz, and Faheem Zeb. 2021. “The Competing Value Framework Model of Organizational Culture, Innovation and Performance.” *Business Process Management Journal* 27(2):658–83. doi: 10.1108/BPMJ-11-2019-0464.



Transfer Rupiah

Transfer Berhasil!

11 Apr 2023 · 11:18:18 WIB · No. Ref. 2304111121681568185

Penerima

METASARI KARTIKA

Bank Negara Indonesia - 1509844441

Detail Transaksi

Nominal Transfer **Rp 1.885.000**

Metode Transfer **BI Fast**

Tujuan Transaksi **Lainnya**

Biaya Transaksi **Rp 2.500**

Total Transaksi **Rp 1.887.500**

Rekening Sumber

I NENGAH ARISTANA

Bank Mandiri -6078

Keterangan Transaksi

-